

# A genetic toolkit and gene switches to limit *Mycoplasma* growth for a synthetic vaccine chassis

**Alicia Broto**

Imperial College London

**Erika Gaspari**

European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP)

**Samuel Miravet-Verde**

Centre for Genomic Regulation

**Vitor Martins dos Santos**

Wageningen University and Research

**Mark Isalan** (✉ [m.isalan@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:m.isalan@imperial.ac.uk))

Imperial College London <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4652-0365>

---

## Article

**Keywords:** mycoplasmas, synthetic gene switches, biosafety systems

**Posted Date:** October 4th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-951697/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Nature Communications on April 7th, 2022.  
See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29574-0>.

# A genetic toolkit and gene switches to limit *Mycoplasma* growth for a synthetic vaccine chassis

Alicia Broto<sup>1</sup>, Erika Gaspari<sup>2,5</sup>, Samuel Miravet-Verde<sup>3</sup>, Vitor A.P. Martins dos Santos<sup>2,4</sup> and Mark Isalan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Systems and Synthetic Biology. Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Dr Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>4</sup>LifeGlimmer GmbH, Berlin, Germany.

<sup>5</sup>European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP), The Hague, The Netherlands

\*Correspondence should be addressed to M.I. (email: m.isalan@imperial.ac.uk).

should be addressed to M.I. (email: m.isalan@imperial.ac.uk).

## Abstract

*Mycoplasmas* have exceptionally streamlined genomes and are strongly adapted to their many hosts, which provide them with essential nutrients. Owing to their relative genomic simplicity, *Mycoplasmas* have been used for the development of chassis to deploy tailored vaccines. However, the dearth of robust and precise toolkits for genomic manipulation and tight regulation has hindered any substantial advance. Herein we describe the construction of a robust genetic toolkit for *M. pneumoniae*, and its successful deployment to engineer synthetic gene switches that control and limit *Mycoplasma* growth, for biosafety containment applications. We found these synthetic gene circuits to be stable and robust in the long-term, in the context of a minimal cell. With this work, we lay a foundation to develop viable and robust biosafety systems to exploit a synthetic *Mycoplasma* chassis for live attenuated vaccines or even for live vectors for biotherapeutics.

## Introduction

*Mycoplasmas* are perhaps best known for their reduced genome sizes, making them appealing minimal-cell models. When whole-genome sequencing began in the 1990s, *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* were among the first bacteria to be sequenced<sup>1,2</sup>. Since then, *Mycoplasmas* have been intensively exploited in systems biology studies and whole-cell modelling projects<sup>3</sup>. Moreover, they have been at the centre of significant milestones in synthetic biology, including the genome transplantation technique<sup>4</sup>, the first chemically-synthesised bacterial genome<sup>5</sup>, and the first synthetic cell<sup>6</sup>; achievements that culminated in the design of a minimal bacterial genome<sup>7</sup>.

Due to their genomic austerity, *Mycoplasmas* exhibit limited biosynthetic capabilities. Their strict nutritional needs result in a parasitic lifestyle for most *Mycoplasma* species<sup>8</sup>, which has made them a major problem in animal husbandry. For instance, every year infections caused by *Mycoplasmas* in poultry, cows and pigs produce multimillion-euro losses worldwide. These bacteria lack cell walls and so are resistant to many of the common antibiotics<sup>9-11</sup>. Furthermore, there are no vaccinations available against many *Mycoplasma* species infecting humans and animals (e.g., *M. bovis*<sup>12</sup>). In fact, there are only three effective vaccines on the market, all of them based on large-scale production of attenuated or inactivated *Mycoplasma*<sup>13-15</sup>. Increasing the repertoire of such vaccines is, therefore, desirable.

Recently, efforts have been focused on engineering a *Mycoplasma* based cell-chassis for vaccines and other applications. One of the most advanced projects<sup>16</sup> is capitalising upon the omics data generated for *M.*

*pneumoniae*<sup>2,17-33</sup>. This is being used to design a universal *Mycoplasma* chassis that can be deployed as a single- or multi-species vaccine in a range of animal hosts, by displaying selected surface antigens. The main idea is to use genome engineering of *M. pneumoniae* to remove pathogenic determinants and so develop a non-pathogenic chassis, which will also retain some interesting *Mycoplasma* features, such as the lack of cell-walls, limited metabolic capabilities and a special genetic code<sup>34-36</sup>. Such a cell chassis will have potential for synthetic biology applications<sup>37</sup> (e.g. drug delivery systems<sup>38</sup>) as well as providing a scaffold for vaccines. However, developing an *M. pneumoniae* chassis as a live vector will require the implementation of biosafety measures to maximise containment of the resulting strain. In this regard, synthetic gene switches or kill-switches<sup>39</sup> can provide genetic barriers limiting *Mycoplasma* growth to bioreactors during bioproduction. One of the main aims of the present work is therefore to develop such switches using transcription factors.

*M. pneumoniae* presents a very limited set of endogenous transcription factors: only 9 in total (compared with around 400 in *Escherichia coli*), including only two sigma factors<sup>24</sup>. The study of *Mycoplasma* biology is also limited, given the reduced amount of molecular genetic tools available. For example, while inducible gene expression systems have been widely exploited in model bacteria, like *E. coli* or *Bacillus subtilis*, that is not the case for *Mycoplasma*, despite their huge potential as cell chassis. With new advances in genome engineering techniques<sup>40-44</sup>, it is more important than ever to increase the gene regulation toolkit for these microorganisms.

In terms of developing gene regulation tools for *Mycoplasma*, a decade ago the tetracycline-inducible promoter P<sub>xyl</sub>/tetO<sub>2</sub> from *B. subtilis* was shown to be functional in the animal pathogen *Mycoplasma agalactiae*<sup>45</sup>. Since that first report, this tetracycline-inducible promoter has only been exploited in two other *Mycoplasma* species: *M. genitalium*<sup>46</sup> and *M. pneumoniae*<sup>40</sup>. Nonetheless, the use of this system is still rather limited, as it is the only inducible promoter available. Increasing the amount and versatility of such tools, and porting other well-used tools from other model bacteria, will contribute to the exploitation of *Mycoplasma* as a synthetic biology asset.

In this study, we present the adaptation to *M. pneumoniae* of three well-characterised inducible systems: AraR from *Bacillus subtilis*<sup>47</sup>, LacI systems from *E. coli*<sup>48,49</sup> and the CI system from phage lambda<sup>50,51</sup>. Also, we have expanded the versatility of the TetR system from *E. coli*, and tested synthetic theophylline-riboswitches in *M. pneumoniae*. With this new genetic toolkit, we have engineered synthetic gene switches to control and limit *Mycoplasma* growth for biosafety containment applications. Finally, we have studied the long-term stability and robustness of these synthetic gene circuits in the context of a minimal cell. With this work, we provide the initial steps to developing viable biosafety systems to help exploit a synthetic *Mycoplasma* chassis for live attenuated vaccines<sup>52-54</sup> or even for a live bio-therapeutics (LBT) vector<sup>55-59</sup>.

## Results

### I. A gene regulation toolkit for *Mycoplasma*

A choice of orthogonal gene expression systems is currently missing from the genetic toolkit available for *M. pneumoniae*. Until now, only one tetracycline-inducible promoter from *B. subtilis* has been reported to function in *Mycoplasma*. To overcome this limitation, we set out to engineer three well-known repressor systems to be orthogonal genetic switches in *M. pneumoniae*: the AraR system from *B. subtilis*<sup>47</sup>, the LacI system from *E. coli*<sup>48,49</sup> and the CI system from phage lambda<sup>50,51</sup>.

First, we set out to establish the expression of the transcription factors (TFs) AraR, LacI and CI in *M. pneumoniae*. Genes coding for the repressor AraR and the L-arabinose permease AraE were isolated from genomic DNA from *B. subtilis* and expressed suitably (Suppl. Fig. 1), although later we showed that AraE is not needed for AraR to function in *M. pneumoniae* (Suppl. Fig. 3). By contrast, we used codon-optimised genes for the expression of LacI and CI proteins (Suppl. Fig. 1). The constitutive promoter p438 from *M. genitalium*<sup>60</sup> was successfully used for the expression of all these proteins, except for LacI. To reach a suitable expression level of the LacI protein, it was necessary to use a stronger synthetic promoter (pS) and to engineer the 5'-end of the gene to insert the coding sequence for a short leader-peptide to improve

translation and stability of the protein in *M. pneumoniae*. This leader aims to reduce the secondary structure of the 5'-end of the gene, as reduced secondary structure correlates with increased expression in bacteria<sup>61</sup> and this correlation may be even more important in a bacterium that does not depend on RBSs for translation initiation<sup>62</sup>. As shown in [Suppl. Fig. 1](#), all proteins were thus successfully expressed in *M. pneumoniae*.

The next step was to engineer promoters with operator sites for the new TFs (AraR, LacI and CI). Additionally, we designed promoters with TetR-operator boxes to gain the versatility of the tetracycline-inducible system for *M. pneumoniae*. We used the promoter consensus sequence of *M. pneumoniae*<sup>33</sup> in the design of promoter/operator pairs. Moreover, we took into account each TF-operator consensus sequence, the amount and position of the operator boxes inside the promoter region, the structure of the repressor binding to the DNA and the influence of DNA-bending<sup>47,49,63–6750,51,68–74</sup>. The promoter candidates that we designed were first evaluated *in silico* using the same algorithm developed to predict promoters in the genome of *M. pneumoniae*<sup>33</sup>. We also included in the analysis a few heterologous inducible promoters extracted from the original hosts of the systems, but most of them did not meet the threshold of the test ([Suppl. Table 1A](#)). Subsequently, candidates predicted to be a promoter sequence in *M. pneumoniae* were synthesised and cloned into a minitransposon (MTn) platform. The latter includes a transcriptional terminator to insulate the promoter activity and an *mCherry* reporter gene ([Suppl. Fig. 2A](#)). These MTns were used to generate polyclonal *M. pneumoniae* mutant strains. Thus, we analysed the activity of the new synthetic promoters in an mCherry time-course assay ([Suppl. Fig. 2](#)). To determine the background noise in these experiments, we used a strain carrying an empty MTn. Also, we used a strain with an MTn with the constitutive promoter p438 as a positive control for the mCherry signal. All synthetic promoters tested produced mCherry expression in *M. pneumoniae*. Additionally, we confirmed mCherry expression in the late exponential phase of growth by western blot ([Suppl. Fig. 2B](#)). Importantly, we achieved a wide range in the strength of the synthetic promoters ([Suppl. Fig. 2](#)). Also, the results confirmed that all predictions made *in silico* turned out to be functional promoters in *M. pneumoniae*.

To study the complete inducible systems in *M. pneumoniae*, we generated new polyclonal strains by re-transforming the strains carrying the TFs with the different MTn expressing mCherry via the synthetic promoters. In this case, we performed the mCherry time-course assays at different concentrations of the corresponding inducer. Similarly to the previous time-course assays, we used a strain without the *mCherry* gene as a negative control and a strain with the *mCherry* gene with the constitutive promoter p438 as a positive control. In the positive control, as expected, the expression of mCherry is independent of any of the TFs or inducers ([Suppl. Fig. 4](#)). By contrast, strains expressing the relevant TF alone produce little mCherry signal with the inducible promoters, suggesting a limited basal level, which is a desirable feature. The addition of inducer to the medium reveals a clear mCherry signal, and that signal increases with increasing amounts of inducer. We confirmed the variations in mCherry expression depending on the inducer concentration in the late exponential phase by western blot or fluorimetry ([Figure 1](#)). In this way, we showed that all inducible systems are functional in *M. pneumoniae*. Induction of the reporter is dose-dependent in *M. pneumoniae* and all systems appear to work with promoters with very different strengths ([Figure 1](#)).

To complete the toolkit, we evaluated several synthetic theophylline-dependent riboswitches<sup>75,76</sup> in *M. pneumoniae*, using the MTn-reporter platform. The results show that theophylline-dependent riboswitches are functional in *M. pneumoniae* but with relatively high basal levels and narrow dynamic ranges ([Suppl. Fig. 5](#)). Recently, a transcriptional study in *M. pneumoniae* used a new high-throughput screening system based on ELM-seq methodology<sup>22</sup>. It would be interesting to apply this methodology to find better riboswitches for *Mycoplasma* in the future.

## II. Engineering synthetic gene circuits in *Mycoplasma*

We applied the new tools developed above in the design of gene switches to limit *Mycoplasma* growth, for example for live vaccine-chassis safety-switches. The first case studied was a kill-switch designed to generate fatal toxicity by producing multiple double-strand breaks (DSB) on the *Mycoplasma* chromosome. Such damage is produced by a nuclease and, as *M. pneumoniae* cells lack an efficient system to repair DSB,

the cells consequently die. The design of a kill-switch is usually a challenging case for circuit engineering, because of the need for absolute ON and OFF states, but it is even more difficult when working with an organism that has promiscuous transcription and translation systems, which generate a large amount of noise<sup>20,24,\*</sup>. We therefore tested several kill-switches and nucleases. Notably, the toxicity burden imposed on the system by the slightest basal expression of a toxin, a nuclease in this case, was the main limitation for a successful kill-switch in *Mycoplasma* (see [Suppl. Fig. 6 for examples](#)). We only overcame this problem when using an RNA-dependent site-targeted nuclease, the Cas9 protein from *Streptococcus pyogenes*<sup>77</sup>. In this case, the need of two elements (the nuclease and the RNA) to generate a DSB increases the toxicity threshold, and the system tolerates a more-achievable basal expression of the toxin. Nonetheless, for a successful kill-switch, we still had to optimise the induction of Cas9. For that, we engineered a weak inducible promoter (pLG64) and, more importantly, we changed the initiation codon from ATG to a weak CTG start codon. Thus, we managed to reduce basal Cas9 expression to below detectable levels by western blot while still inducing enough Cas9 to produce toxicity in the presence of a guide-RNA (gRNA)<sup>78</sup> ([Figure 2](#)). This kill-switch (**KS1**) is an IPTG switch for Cas9 expression, combined with the constitutive expression of a gRNA that targets a repeated-sequence in the chromosome ([Figure 2](#)). Polyclonal strains with the KS1 inserted in the genome by transposition have similar growth with or without gRNA in the construct, indicating a good silencing of *cas9* before induction. Conversely, severe growth impairment (reflected in an elongated lag-phase) is only observed in the strain carrying a gRNA upon the addition of the inducer IPTG in the medium, indicating some successful induction of cell death. After this initial phase, escape mutants appear to restore growth and, after the first round of IPTG treatment, the population becomes insensitive to further IPTG-induced killing (*cf.* later experiments below, with sequence analyses of escape mutants and the eventual implementation of designs to obviate these effects). The circuit with multiple single-target gRNAs that promote DSB in different genes (instead of a single gRNA with multiple targets) has a similar performance ([Suppl. Fig. 7](#)). We also re-engineered the kill-switch in a way that the LacI represses the *cas9* and two gRNAs simultaneously ([Suppl. Fig. 7](#)). Again, the new design is fully inducible but performs similarly to the original KS1. Therefore, the silencing and induction of a single *cas9* gene appears sufficient to reduce growth, but only for a certain period of time, and so we decided to search for additional kill-switch designs to complement KS1.

We engineered a second kill-switch (**TKS2**) using the same nuclease, Cas9. This time, we focused on engineering a synthetic addiction that would maximise the containment of *M. pneumoniae* when combined with a kill-switch. For that, theophylline (Theo, a methylxanthine chemically-related to caffeine) is a good candidate since it is effectively absent in animal tissue *in vivo*<sup>79</sup>. Thus, a theophylline-dependent switch could prevent unwanted growth in a vaccinated host. The TKS2 was designed as a positive feedback loop that incorporates a theophylline-riboswitch to regulate the expression of the main TF in the circuit, the TetR ([Figure 3](#); for intermediate optimisation steps see [Suppl. Fig. 9 and 10](#)). The inhibition of TetR with tetracycline, or its analogue anhydrotetracycline (ATc), activates the circuit. Similarly, the lack of theophylline is designed to achieve similar results by decreasing TetR expression ([Figure 3](#)). Either way, the loss of TetR triggers the expression of the nuclease Cas9, the Cre recombinase and the CI repressor, with all three elements arranged in a single operon ([Figure 3](#)). The Cre recombinase in the circuit acts here as an indirect repressor of the TetR. To achieve that, we included a pair of lox sites flanking the *tetR* gene. Hence, the induction of Cre eliminates TetR from the system by recombining the pair of lox sites. Moreover, we made the activation of the circuit irreversible with a pair of mutant lox sites (lox66 and lox71). Finally, the TKS2 also includes a CI for its potential to be exploited as a repressor of a *Mycoplasma* conditional-survival gene. Although we successfully engineered some *Mycoplasma* promoters to include CI-operators ([Suppl. Fig. 8](#)), we found that these did not improve circuit function ([Suppl. Fig. 10](#)) and so these were not included in the final TKS2 design. For now, the *cI* gene has a passive role in the stabilisation of the circuit before induction ([Figure 3B](#)).

We first optimised the circuit TKS2 in the absence of a gRNA. The TetR expression, crucial to prevent leaky expression of the toxic elements, was initially insufficient even in the presence of theophylline ([Figure 3](#)). We found we had to duplicate the riboswitch-*tetR* cassette in the circuit to improve its expression significantly to provide the desired silencing of the kill-switch ([Figure 3](#)). As explained above, for a successful kill-switch, limiting the basal expression of the toxins is the most important thing. In this circuit,

preventing the leaky expression of the Cre recombinase was even more important. Similarly to Cas9 induction, we managed to decrease Cre expression, below the western blot detection limit, by mutating the initiation codon to CTG, by adding nine suboptimal codons among the twenty codons at the beginning of the *cre* gene and by avoiding putting *cre* in the first position of the polycistron (Suppl. Fig. 9 and 10). We observed the induction of Cre by the reduction of the size of the PCR product generated when amplifying the fragment of the circuit flanked by the lox sites (Figure 3B and 3C). We also confirmed the ATc-induction of the three elements (CI, Cas9 and Cre) by western blot or PCR (Figure 3C and 3D). Moreover, the dilution of the theophylline that occurs when passaging the strain in theophylline-free medium also produced increasing amounts of the three elements and resulted the programmed loss of the TetR (Figure 3D). Therefore, the network components appeared functional.

We next inserted the circuit TKS2 by transposition into a strain that already had the KS1 circuit with a functional gRNA. The resulting double kill-switch strain induces strong growth impairments with either IPTG or ATc. The best result is achieved when both inducers are added together to trigger both circuits simultaneously (KS1 and TKS2). In that case, no growth was observed even during 10 days of incubation, indicating a strong kill-switch effect (Suppl. Fig. 11). Transferring the strain into a theophylline-free medium by itself has a small impact on growth. Nevertheless, this is to be expected as in this case only one of the two kill-switches in the strain would be activated. Overall, the double circuit functions as a strong and efficient *Mycoplasma* kill-switch, in the presence of the two small molecule inducers.

### III. Long-Term kill-switch circuit stability and robustness in the context of a minimal cell

The optimisation and analysis of the circuits were initially studied using polyclonal strains with the circuits inserted in the genome by transposition. Later, we isolated clonal strains and determined the location of the circuits in the genome by sequencing. We grew the clonal strains by sequential passaging every 48h for a month (15<sup>th</sup> passages) to study the long-term stability and robustness of the biosafety systems.

As explained above, a polyclonal strain with the single biosafety circuit KS1 has severe growth impairments in the IPTG-medium, but killing is not complete as some individuals within the strain restore growth and so the population becomes immune to the kill-switch. We analysed this further with the clonal strain C5, which has a single minitransposon with the single KS1 kill-switch inserted into the gene *mpn462* (hypothetical protein), classified as non-essential in *M. pneumoniae*<sup>18</sup>. As expected, the IPTG-induced killing produces a much stronger growth impairment in the C5 strain compared to the polyclonal strain (Figure 4, Suppl. Fig. 12, and Suppl. File 2). Nonetheless, the escape frequency (E.F.) of this strain, calculated as the ratio between the IPTG-insensitive CFUs and the total CFUs obtained in rich medium, is initially  $7 \times 10^{-6}$  but increases rapidly with repeated growth, becoming  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  at the 15<sup>th</sup> passage.

When sequencing the circuits of some IPTG-insensitive clones, we found *cas9* frameshift mutations that explain the inactivation of this single integrated circuit. *Mycoplasmas* have high mutation rates<sup>10,80</sup> and, the accumulation of mutations that inactivate the circuit is expected. However, the question is how resilient is the kill-switch to mutation and whether it is more sensitive to the accumulation of mutations. Therefore, we did the whole-genome sequencing of the strain C5 at different passages (p2, p3 and p15) or after IPTG-treatment at passage 3 (p3<sup>IPTG</sup>). Subsequently, we performed variant calling analyses to explore potential mutations in the population that could be generating escapees for the kill-switch mechanism (Suppl. Table 2). For this, we analysed two bio-replicates for each sample (or three in the case of passage p2). We first evaluated the frequency per base at which variants are found, considering the kill-switch, and compared to genes in *M. pneumoniae* and its intergenic regions. Although the kill-switch consistently presents higher variant frequencies (2.9% on average for samples p2, p3 and p15, and 2.4% for p3<sup>IPTG</sup>), these frequencies are only significant for the sample p2 when compared to *Mycoplasma* genes and intergenic regions (Mann-Whitney U one-tailed test, p-value=0.04; p-value>0.05 in the other cases) (Suppl. Fig. 13). Nevertheless, taking the variant frequencies normalised by the total reads matching the reference, the kill-switch for non-induced samples presents fractions comparable to those found in genes and intergenic regions (median fraction of 1.49%, 1.55%, 1.47%, respectively). In all passages analysed, we observed that the kill-switch

fractions tend to be equal or lower than in the other genomic distributions suggesting that mutations in the kill-switch are not more frequent than expected in the genome (Suppl. Fig. 13).

Focusing on the kill-switch, the analysis of the two p3<sup>IPTG</sup> replicates revealed a group of more-frequent variants present with a fraction equal to or higher than the population median (*i.e.*, 1.5%) (Suppl. Table 3). These variants, specified in table 1, affect either the *lacI<sup>f</sup>* or *cas9<sup>B</sup>* genes, including missense (n=16), frameshift (n=3) and stop-gaining mutations (n=4) (Suppl. Fig. 14). The most frequent variant is reproducible between the two replicates. It is found in the *lacI<sup>f</sup>* gene and affects one of the three critical residues for IPTG binding (R208 in LacI<sup>f</sup>, corresponding to R197 in *E. coli* LacI<sup>48</sup>): a stop-gaining mutation in one replicate (R208\*; fraction=25.3%), which truncates the protein but preserves the DNA-binding domain, and the other a missense mutation preventing IPTG binding<sup>48</sup> (R208C; fraction=20.9%). The following most frequent variants correspond to frameshift mutations in the *cas9<sup>B</sup>* gene truncating the protein and abolishing cleavage activity<sup>81</sup> and missense mutations in the *lacI<sup>f</sup>* gene affecting the hydrophobic surface of the IPTG-binding pocket<sup>48</sup> (Table 1).

It should also be noted that we find a wide range of scarce variants in all samples, most of them represented by a minimal number of reads and sometimes just one (Suppl. Table 1 and Suppl. Fig. 14). However, considering the reproducibility of the most frequent variants and their expected impact in abolishing the killing activity of the circuit, they alone could explain the population that survived and became insensitive to the IPTG stress.

Taken together, these results indicate that we managed to silence the basal levels of the kill-switch very effectively and, consequently, it is not producing a significant selection pressure in the strain without chemical induction, which is a remarkable achievement. After induction, we still find a limited group of variants that affect crucial elements of the kill-switch mechanism and together explain the gain of resistance to the kill-switch. Given that the accumulation of mutations is an intrinsic property of the strain, the only way to increase the resilience of the kill-switch is to introduce redundancy in the system, as follows.

Effective redundancy in the system was first attempted by including multiple copies of the whole circuit KS1. The clone C20, derived from the parental strain C5, has a second minitransposon with the circuit KS1<sup>3g</sup> inserted in the intergenic region between genes *mpn648* and *mpn649*. This strain, with two copies of the kill-switch, shows no growth during a period of 10 days when treated with IPTG, indicating a strong kill-switch effect (Figure 4 and Suppl. Fig. 12). The escape frequency for this strain is below the detection limit ( $3 \times 10^{-9}$ ), as no colonies were found in plates with IPTG. We also performed a variant-calling analysis from the whole-genome sequencing results of the strain C20, at early passages p2 and p3, and the late passage p15. For C20 samples, the frequency of variants per base decreased compared to C5 (0.9% on average), remaining significantly lower when compared to genes and intergenic regions (Mann-Whitney U one-tailed test, p-value<0.05 in every comparison). We evaluated whether this lower frequency could be an effect of the shared sequences between the two copies of the kill-switch (KS1 and KS1<sup>3g</sup>) but the total coverage per base within the kill-switches for C20 samples was in fact higher than in C5 samples (Suppl. Fig. 13). As in the previous case C5, in the absence of any pressure, mutations appear to be accumulated evenly across the whole chromosome and so the chances of inactivating the kill-switch are fairly low. In this case, it was not possible to sequence samples after IPTG-stress because no sample could be produced with this very strong kill-switch. By applying regression models to the parameters of the growth curves, we estimated the arise of escape mutants over strain passages, so to predict the number of passages that *M. pneumoniae* would take to inactivate the kill-switches in the strains C5 and C20. The simulation shows that the clone C5 is predicted to inactivate the circuit after 20 strain passages, whereas clone C20 does so after 30 strain passages (Suppl. Fig. 15). The two predicted growth curves are compared to data for passages p2, p7 and p15 of clone C5 and for passages p2 and p15 of clone C20. Such high passages could be easily avoided during industrial production of vector by keeping low-passage stocks and resequencing single clones if needed, as part of quality control. We were also unable to insert a third copy of the KS1 in the cells, even after several attempts at transformation. The third copy likely increases the basal expression of Cas9 to a point that is too toxic for the cells. This suggests that cells cannot overcome the system with three copies of the kill-switch.

Another potentially interesting biosafety system with some inbuilt redundancy is the combination of circuits KS1 and TKS2, which includes theophylline biocontainment. We studied the long-term stability of this system with the clone C26. This clonal strain, also derived from the parental C5, has a second minitransposon with TKS2 inserted into the *mpn062* gene (purine nucleoside phosphorylase, *deoD*). This gene was classified as non-essential at least in one of the essentiality studies in *M. pneumoniae*<sup>82</sup>. This was confirmed simulating *in silico* the disruption of each gene comprised in the most updated metabolic model of *M. pneumoniae* (iEG158\_mpn)<sup>83</sup>, which showed that the disruption of *mpn062* would decrease growth only 1.2% (Suppl. Table 4). The C26 clone grows slightly slower compared to strains C5 and C20, which might be due to the disruption of this *deoD* gene. As expected for a strong double-kill switch, no growth was observed during 10 days treatment with IPTG and ATc (Figure 4). The escape frequency for clone C26, when both circuits are activated, is below the detection limit, as no colonies were found in plates with IPTG and ATc. However, the escape frequency of this clone C26 in ATc alone is higher, suggesting that circuit TKS2 is leakier compared to KS1 and produces a small selection pressure on the strain before proper circuit activation. Whole-genome sequencing results of samples from early and late passages of C26 (passages p2 and p15 in the presence of theophylline) revealed no significant differences in the sequences of the circuits in terms of frequency of variants per base and fraction of alternative read counts, compared to C5 (Mann-Whitney U on e-tailed test, p-value<0.05 in both p2 and p15 comparisons; Suppl. Fig. 13). In summary, the clonal double kill-switches developed here are capable of stably blocking *Mycoplasma* growth even after 15 rounds of prior passaging. These properties meet the challenging requirements of kill-switches and add to the utility of *M. pneumoniae* as a potential synthetic biology and vaccine chassis.

## Discussion

For the first time, there is a set of inducible systems for *Mycoplasma*. This work presented the adaptation to *M. pneumoniae* of well-characterised TF-repressor systems from gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, and phage. The expression of the different TFs does not appear to be toxic. More importantly, we showed it is possible to engineer synthetic inducible promoters for *Mycoplasma*. The promoter design is the essential step to make the inducible systems functional, especially considering that most heterologous inducible promoters did not meet the threshold in the test for *Mycoplasma* promoters. Altogether, the systems presented here represent the first gene regulation toolkit for *M. pneumoniae* and will lead the way to expand such tools for *Mycoplasma*. In the future, also, it will be interesting to explore the activity of TF-activators in *Mycoplasma*.

In this study, we used the new gene regulation toolkit to engineer gene switches to limit *M. pneumoniae* growth. We presented two kill-switches, KS1 and TKS2, with different complexities but both based on the toxicity that an RNA-dependent nuclease produces in a cell incapable of repairing genomic DSB. The most challenging step in engineering such switches was to overcome the basal toxicity that would compromise cell viability. To this end, we optimised the OFF state of the kill-switch. This allowed us to achieve a good balance between undetectable basal expression and a high toxicity once the kill-switch is induced. Remarkably, we successfully included a synthetic Theo-riboswitch in the design of the more complex circuit TKS2, despite the narrow dynamic range that this RNA elements provide. The inclusion of this RNA-regulatory factor created a synthetic Theo-addiction which can be exploited for *Mycoplasma* biocontainment.

This is the first time that circuit engineering has been applied in a minimal cell model. In this case, the genome-reduced bacterium *M. pneumoniae* exhibits a transcriptional regulation dominated by TF-independent mechanisms and with an important portion of unexplained variation associated with genetic stochasticity<sup>24</sup>. Therefore, it was a challenge to engineer orthogonal circuits in *Mycoplasma* based on canonical TF-repressors and riboswitches. We succeeded in engineering tight kill-switches based on CRISPR/Cas9 with reasonable long-term stability, despite the high mutation rate of *Mycoplasma* and their limited DNA repair machineries<sup>8,80,84</sup>. The durability of the biosafety circuits is a relevant concern for bioproduction<sup>85</sup>; engineered systems will always be subjected to the evolutionary pressure of the *Mycoplasma*, but, remarkably, we found that the kill-switches had been well silenced and do not increase

that pressure, as the accumulation of mutations in the kill-switches is comparable to the rate found for the genome. Notably, we showed that a small inbuilt redundancy, like the duplication or combination of two kill-switches, is sufficient to decrease the escape frequency below the NIH recommended threshold for GMOs ( $<10^{-8}$ )<sup>86</sup>. This significantly increases the long-term stability of the biosafety circuits, which is predicted to reach up to 30 passages in the case of the double KS1 included in strain C20. This would be more than adequate for industrial bioproduction.

The new gene regulation toolkit for *Mycoplasma* opens a new horizon. Not only will it contribute to better tools to improve the understanding of *Mycoplasma* essential genes with unknown function(s), but, more importantly, together with the new genome engineering tools<sup>40-44</sup>, it will qualify *Mycoplasma* as a true synthetic biology asset.

Furthermore, the *Mycoplasma* biosafety circuits show successful efficacy and robustness *in vitro*. In a follow-up, it will be useful to corroborate these results in the context of a large-scale production, and more importantly, *in vivo*, where an immune system will have a role to play, too.

Recent advances in *Mycoplasma* research have led to designing different chassis with potential as live attenuated vectors, whether for vaccination or drug delivery systems<sup>16,41,87,88</sup>. For the aim of engineering live biotherapeutics for livestock or humans, it will be essential to ensure the biosafety of the products, during bioproduction as well. In this regard, we have presented two possible strategies to enhance the biocontainment of a MycoChassis: kill-switches that can be triggered upon demand and a synthetic addiction. Such genetic safeguards, which ensure confinement to controlled growth conditions<sup>89-91</sup>, will contribute to gaining public confidence to bring the products in the market.

## Figure Legends

**Figure 1. First genetic toolkit for *Mycoplasma*.** (A) Schematic representation of the inducible systems based on the AraR repressor (L-arabinose inducer), LacI repressor (IPTG inducer), CI repressor, TetR repressor (ATc inducer). Blunt arrows indicate repression. (B) Induction time course results for one synthetic promoter selected for each repressor system. The upper graphs show synchronised growth (growth index as the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) between uninduced (blue) or induced (red and orange) cultures with the corresponding inducer for each repressor. The lower graphs show the corresponding mCherry kinetics (fluorescence in arbitrary units). The mCherry expression depending on the inducer concentration was confirmed by Fluorimetry (C) and western blot (D) in the late exponential phase for several synthetic promoters in each repressor system. All results from mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation.

**Figure 2. Design and characterisation of the kill-switch KS1.** (A) Schematic representation of the kill-switch KS1. Blunt arrows indicate repression and pointy arrow activation. (B) Schematic representation of relevant DNA segments of the MTn<sub>par</sub> with the KS1 used to generate a polyclonal strain (puromycin used for selection). White triangles represent the inverted repeats flanking the fragment of the MTn inserted in the genome of *Mycoplasma* (IR); Bend-arrows for constitutive promoters (black) and IPTG-inducible (white) promoters. Arrows for genes (grey), gRNA (stripped; gRNA<sup>2</sup> has eight targets in the genome of *M. pneumoniae*); par, selection cassette. (C) Western blot results showing Cas9 expression in strains with the KS1 kill-switch with the cas9 gene with the canonical initiation codon ATG (a) or a CTG codon instead (b). Protein expression was analysed using 10 µg of total protein extract from pre-induced (-) and 1 mM IPTG-induced (IPTG) samples. (D) Growth kinetics of polyclonal strains with KS1 without any gRNA (I), with a single gRNA copy (II) and same but pre-treated with IPTG in the previous passage (III). Graphs show growth kinetics (growth index corresponding to the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) when KS1 is uninduced (0 mM IPTG, blue lines) or IPTG-induced (kill-switch activated with 1 mM IPTG, red lines). Mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation.

**Figure 3. Design and characterisation of kill-switch TKS2.** (A) Schematic representation of the circuit TKS2. Blunt arrows indicate repression and pointy arrow activation. (B) Schematic representation of relevant DNA segments of the MTncat with TKS2 used to generate a polyclonal strain (chloramphenicol used for selection). White triangles for the inverted repeats (IR); Bend-arrows for constitutive promoters (black) and ATc-inducible (white) promoters. Arrows for genes (grey); Black semicircles show the RBSs; A white square for the riboswitch (RS); Black triangles indicate the position and orientation of the lox sites (lox66 and lox71); cat, selection cassette. (C) DNA gel showing the results from the PCR analysis of Cre/lox recombination in the TKS2 from genomic DNA samples from passages 1 and 2 in theophylline medium with Cre basal expression (p1+ and p2+) and passage 2 in theophylline-free medium and Cre induction (p2+). PCR product size expected for the full fragment, before Cre/lox recombination, and the distance between lox sites are indicated in (B) between the small head arrows S5 and S17, showing the position of the primers pair used in the assay (in blue for 2xTetR). (D) Western blot results showing TetR, CI and Cas9 expression in strains with the kill-switch TKS2. Expression of the proteins was analysed using 10 ug of total protein extracts from incubations of the strains at 0.5 mM theophylline (+); theophylline-free medium producing slow activation of TKS2 (-) or 50 ng/mL of ATc to trigger the kill-switch (ATc). Results presented from the circuit engineered with one or two copies of the pSpi-riboswitch-tetR cassette between the lox pair sites in the circuit (1xTetR or 2xTerR, respectively).

**Figure 4. Study of the long-term performance of the three biosafety systems in clonal *M. pneumoniae* strains.** (A) Growth kinetics (growth index corresponding to the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) of the clonal strains C5 (I), C20 (II) and C26 (III) analysed at an early (p2) and late passage (p15) at different induction conditions. We compare the growth of strains C5 and C20 (with one and two copies of the kill-switch KS1, respectively) when uninduced at the early passage p2 (0 mM IPTG, blue lines) or IPTG-induced that triggers the kill-switch at the passage 2 (1mM IPTG; p2, red lines) or passage 15 (1mM IPTG; p15, orange line). We analysed the growth of strain C26 (with KS1 and TKS2) in theophylline-medium (0.5 mM Theophylline, permissive growth conditions with both circuits inactive, blue line), or theophylline-free medium (0 mM Theophylline, slow activation of TKS2, light brown line) in the early passage p2. The growth is also analysed when both circuits are activated simultaneously in ATc and IPTG medium at passage 2 (1mM IPTG and 50 ng/mL ATc; p2, red line) or passage 15 (1mM IPTG and 50 ng/mL ATc; p15, orange line). The black line is a negative control of the growth index reads from empty medium and shows the baseline of these long experiments, as the Abs560 reads have a drift (SP4, black line). Mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (B) Escape frequency (E.F) for strain C5 at several strain passages, determined as the ratio between IPTG-resistant CFUs and the total CFUs. The dashed line shows the detection limit calculated in the analysis, considering the total CFUs plated.

**Table 1. List of the significant variants found after the IPTG-induction of KS1 in the strain C5 at passage 3 (sample p3IPTG).** Columns correspond to genome loci (base pair position in the C5 genome; POS); Estimate of the probability of a polymorphism at the loci described by the record (QUAL). This value is presented in phred scale and takes into consideration both mapping and genotype quality for a specific variant; total number of reads obtained for the loci (TOT); Total number of reads matching the reference (REFN); number of reads presenting a variant (ALTN); Frequency of the variant normalized by the total reads found mapping that specific loci (FRAC); Reference sequence in the genome (REF); variant sequence (ALT); potential effect of the variant as given by snpeff<sup>1</sup> (EFF); Degree of impact of the variant (IMPACT), which is classified as “LOW” (synonymous mutations), “MODERATE” (missense), “HIGH” (non-synonymous mutations, start or stop loss), or MODIFIER (variant found in an intergenic region); gene affected (AFF, in case the mutation is found intergenic, it is reported the closest downstream gene); Mutation or variant (MUT, in nucleotides when found intergenic); Type of annotation assigned to the loci (ANN\_TYPE), grouped as intergenic, gene, or cassette (covering the principal elements in the kill-switch); and, in last column, short explanation of the expected effect of the variant in the mechanism of the kill-switch based on the literature (info). (Full list of variants at Suppl. Table 3).

## Methods

### DNA cloning and construct construction

Subcloning was performed using restriction enzyme sites, Gibson assembly<sup>92</sup>, or reverse PCR and KLD reaction (NEB M0554). All constructs derive from an MTn vector, which could be either an MTn*par*<sup>93</sup> or MTn*cat*<sup>94</sup>.

Designed promoter/operator pair sequences were evaluated *in silico* using the algorithm developed to predict promoters in the genome of *M. pneumoniae*<sup>33</sup>. The MTn-reporter platform, used to test promoter candidates or RSs, is an MTn*par* derivative with a transcriptional terminator and a *mCherry*<sup>95</sup> reporter gene (Uniprot no. X5DSL3), with a 5' end engineered with a short sequence coding for the leader peptide mp200<sup>96</sup> to facilitate translation (Suppl. Fig. 2A). We constructed two versions of the platform, one with the terminator TrnMPN (A) and the other with the T142 (B). We inserted the promoter p438 and an RBS site upstream of the reporter gene to obtain the MTn used in the positive control of mCherry expression in *Mycoplasma*. Then, we generated a collection of MTn-reporter vectors changing the p438 sequence by the different promoter candidates using Gibson cloning. For the test of the RSs, the RBS region was substituted by the different RS sequences tested.

Genes coding for the AraR repressor (Uniprot no. P96711) and the AraE L-arabinose permease (Uniprot no. A0A6M3ZGR6) were isolated from *B. subtilis* str. 168 genomic DNA (ATCC 23857D-5). *Mycoplasma* codon-optimised genes purchased from GenScript were used for the optimisation of the expression of LacI (Uniprot no. P03023) and CI (Uniprot no. P03034) repressor proteins and, also, for the nucleases ApoRI (or AseI and SspI) and Cas9 (Uniprot no. Q99ZW2) used in the kill-switches. The genes coding for TetR repressor (Uniprot no. B1VCF0) and Cre recombinase (Uniprot no. P06956) proteins were extracted from the plasmid pΔMG\_217Cre<sup>46</sup> kindly provided by Dr. J. Pinyol (IBB, UAB, Spain). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to generate the gene variants of *cas9* (B) and *cre* (B and C) genes. Promoters, ribosomal binding sites (RBSs), terminators and the gRNAs were ordered as primers (Sigma). PCR primers were also used to insert a short sequence coding for a leader peptide at the 5' end of the genes or a 3' end tag for protein detection.

All MTn constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. All DNA sequences (primers, DNA parts, tools and circuits) are listed in the Suppl. Table 1B-F and GenBank files of the main plasmids are provided as supplementary data.

### Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Standard DNA cloning was performed with chemically competent cells TOP10 (Invitrogen), XL-1 Blue (Agilent), or DH5α F'1q (NEB). All constructs and circuits designed for *M. pneumoniae* were ensembled in an *E. coli* host. This step presents a bottleneck that impeded the generation of some constructs and their test in *M. pneumoniae*. To overcome this limitation, we exploited the use of codon TGA coding for W in *Mycoplasma* in relevant ORF sequences. We also used *E. coli* strains with constitutive expression of LacI or TetR to prevent unwanted expression from the synthetic L-arabinose- or ATc-inducible promoters included in some constructs.

All *M. pneumoniae* strains generated in this work are derived from M129 wild type strain (ATCC 29342) and were cultivated in SP-4 medium, at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Puromycin (3.3 μg/mL), chloramphenicol (20 μg/mL), L-arabinose (0.2% or 0.5%), anhydrotetracycline (ATc; 10 or 50 ng/mL), IPTG (0.4 mM or 1 mM) or Theophylline (Theo; 0.5 mM) were added when appropriate. Polyclonal *M. pneumoniae* strains were generated by electroporation using one μg of MTn vectors as previously described<sup>40</sup>. Given the MTn vectors are randomly inserted in the mycoplasma genome, a pool of transformant cells with the pertinent MTn is recovered in SP-4 with selection antibiotic and theophylline when necessary. For clonal strains, after electroporation, individual clones were isolated in SP-4 plates with 0.8% agar and supplemented with the relevant antibiotic(s) and theophylline when pertinent. The location of the MTn in each clone was determined by A-PCR as previously described<sup>40</sup> and then confirmed by PCR and sanger sequencing. For

long-term studies, *M. pneumoniae* clonal strains C5, C20 and C26 were serially passaged every 48h for 15th passages in SP-4 medium supplemented with puromycin, puromycin and chloramphenicol, or puromycin, chloramphenicol and theophylline, respectively.

All strains used in this work are listed in the [Suppl. Table 1G](#).

### **Western blot**

For protein detection, total protein extracts were prepared from late-exponential phase cultures of *M. pneumoniae* strains for SDS-PAGE following standard procedures. Briefly, cells grown in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks were washed three times with PBS and extracted with 50 µL of 1% SDS in TE prior to quantification using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Scientific). Ten or 25 µg of total protein extracts were loaded per sample in SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the iBlot system (Invitrogen). For immunodetection, membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk (Sigma) in PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 and probed as previously described<sup>97</sup> using mouse monoclonal 8C5.5 anti-mCherry (BioLegend 677702, 1:1000 dilution), mouse monoclonal M2 anti-Flag (Sigma F1804, 1:2000 dilution), mouse monoclonal V5-10 anti-V5 (Sigma V8012, 1:200 dilution), mouse monoclonal 0.T.81 anti-LacI (abcom 33832, 1:500 dilution), mouse monoclonal 9E10 anti-cMyc (Sigma M4439, 1:2000 dilution), rabbit polyclonal anti-TetR (Sigma T0951, 1:1000 dilution), mouse monoclonal 7.23 anti-Cre recombinase (BioLegend 900901, 1:500 dilution), and mouse monoclonal 7A9 anti-Cas9 (BioLegend 844301, 1:1000 dilution) antibodies. Polyclonal anti-mouse IgG (Jackson Immune Research 515-035-003, 1:5000 dilution) or polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson Immune Research 111-035-003, 1:5000 dilution) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were used as secondary antibodies. Blots were developed with the Clarity™ Western ECL Substrate detection Kit (BioRad) and signals detected in a ChemiDoc XRS+ Gel Imaging System (BioRad).

### **Quantification of mCherry expression by fluorimetry.**

Cell extracts were prepared from late-exponential phase cultures of *M. pneumoniae* strains grown in SP-4 medium (and relevant antibiotics) at different concentrations of the pertinent inducer in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks. The adherent layer of cells was washed three times with PBS and then scraped off in 500 µL PBS. The cell suspension was passed 10 times through a 25-gauge (G25) syringe needle to disaggregate cell clumps. The mCherry fluorescence intensity (Ex 585 nm/Em 625 nm) and Abs at 600 nm were measured from 150 µL of the different cell suspensions using a Tecan infinite 200Pro plate reader. Fluorescence intensity (arbitrary units) is normalised by Abs 600 nm showing induced expression of mCherry from three bio-replicates.

### **Escape frequency determination by Colony forming units (CFUs)**

*M. pneumoniae* strains were grown in 15 mL SP-4 medium with the relevant antibiotics, and Theo in the case of strain C26, in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks. At the late-exponential phase, cells were harvest in 1 mL fresh medium, and the cell suspension was passed 10 times through a 25-gauge (G25) syringe needle to disaggregate cell clumps. Ten serial 1/10 dilutions of the cell suspensions were prepared and 10 µL drops of all cell dilutions were plated in triplicate. To determine the total CFUs in the cell suspensions, which defined the limit of detection of escapees, cells grew in SP-4 plates with 0.8% agar, supplemented with antibiotic(s), and 0.5 mM Theo only in the case of the strain C26. To determine the escapees (cells with broken kill-switches), the cell dilutions prepared were plated in parallel in plates with the appropriate inducer(s) to trigger the kill-switches. Samples of the strains C5 and C20 were grown with 1mM IPTG. Samples of the strain C26 were grown in plates without Theo and with 1mM IPTG and 50 ng/mL ATc. Escape frequency was calculated as the ration between CFUs found in plates with inducers and total CFUs.

## Time-course experiments

*M. pneumoniae* strains were grown in 5 mL SP-4 medium with the relevant antibiotics, and Theo when appropriate, in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flask. After 48h, cells were harvest in 1 mL fresh medium, and the cell suspension was passed 10 times through a 25-gauge (G25) syringe needle to disaggregate cell clumps. All cell suspensions from the different strains were adjusted to 0.1 of Abs 600 nm and later quantified by CFU counts.

*M. pneumoniae* growth was monitored using a Tecan Infinite 200Pro plate reader by determining the growth index value (ratio between the Abs430nm and the Abs560 nm)<sup>27</sup>. A dilution of 1:100 or 1:1000 of the cell suspensions was used to inoculate 0.5 µL of fresh SP-4 with the appropriate antibiotics and inducers, and an aliquot of 150 µL of it was placed in a well in 96-well plate with flat transparent bottom. Three independent bio-replicates were analysed. The experiments were performed at 37°C collecting absorbance reads at 460 nm and 560 nm every 2 h during at least 5 days, and up to 15 days. Importantly, in these long-term experiments, we observed that the volume of the samples in the wells is reduced due to evaporation affecting the values of the Abs560nm but not, significantly, the Abs430nm. Because of this effect, in long experiments, we included a sample with only SP-4 medium and no cells as a negative control of growth to define the baseline of the growth kinetics (black line in the graphs in figure 4).

For long-term experiments, *M. pneumoniae* growth was also analysed by genomic DNA. In this case, the cell suspensions of the different strains, prepared as explained above, were used to inoculate 15 mL of fresh SP-4 with the appropriate antibiotics and inducers. The 15 mL were then split in aliquots of 150 µL: one added in a 96-well plate for a growth index kinetics and the rest added in several wells in another 96-well plate for the genomic DNA time-course. Three bio-replicates were prepared for each condition. This second plate was incubated at 37°C and, periodically, samples were harvest from one well for all three bio-replicates and genomic DNA was extracted using the GenElute™ Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma). DNA was quantified by qPCR using primers S124 and S125 (Suppl. Table 1E). One µL DNA sample, 5 pmols of each primer and 5 µL LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche) and a Roche Applied Science LightCycler 480 Instrument (384-wells) were used (10 µL reactions). A serial 1:10 dilutions of a *M. pneumoniae* M129 genomic DNA sample, quantified by nanodrop, was used for the DNA standard curve.

The mCherry expression from synthetic promoters in *M. pneumoniae* was monitored by fluorescence emission (Excitation 585 nm/Emission 625 nm) using a Tecan Infinite 200Pro plate reader. Samples were prepared following the same protocol as explained for the growth kinetics. Three independent bio-replicates were analysed. The experiments were performed at 37°C collecting mCherry fluorescence emission and growth index reads every 2 h during 5 days. In these experiments, growth was monitored to ensure all samples behave similarly. Given the coloured SP-4 medium, the fluorescence reads have a high background signal at the beginning of the time-course, and it decreases with the acidification of the medium. In each experiment, a *M. pneumoniae* strain with an empty MTn was included to obtain the fluorescence background noise that is subtracted from the reads of the mCherry strains.

## Background correction and regression model of clonal strains data to predict growth curves over strain passages

An effect of the medium volume reduction in the culture well is observed in the detection of the rate of absorbances Abs430/Abs560 for the clonal strains data types. Specifically, the volume reduction, due to evaporation, affects mainly Abs560 detection, while the effect on Abs430 is minimal. This is visible in SP4 data, consisting of the detection of Abs430/Abs560 in absence of the bacterium (Suppl. File 2). Linear regression was performed on SP4 data through the R command *lm* for both Abs430 and Abs560, to capture the different entity of the effect of the volume reduction on the detection. Assuming both Abs430 and Abs560, in absence of the microorganism, should not change over time, the slope of the line obtained by linear regression was subtracted from the point-by-point mean of the original data, provided in triplicates. Slopes of the lines correspond to values of  $1^{-08}$  for Abs430 and  $-2^{-07}$  for Abs560. The rates of absorbances

CAbs430/CAbs560 for SP4 were recomputed with the background-corrected absorbances and standard deviations were recalculated.

The difference between Abs430/Abs560 and CAbs430/CAbs560 was used to correct the data for all the strain types, for each condition and time point. All the background corrected curves, compared to the original data, are provided in [Suppl. File 2](#).

CAbs430/CAbs560 data on clonal strains were used for building regression models to predict curve's parameters evolution over strain passages. The R package *Growthcurver*<sup>98</sup> was used to extract  $N_0$  (population size at the beginning of the exponential growth),  $K$  (carrying capacity) and  $r$  (growth rate) parameters for each strain passage. The population growth  $N_t$  over time  $t$  for each strain passage is described by equation [1]:

$$N_t = \frac{K}{1 + \left(\frac{K-N_0}{N_0}\right) e^{-rt}} \quad [1]$$

The death rate was estimated through linear regression with the R command *lm*.

### Simulation of gene disruption in *M. pneumoniae* through metabolic modelling

The most updated metabolic model of *M. pneumoniae* (iEG158\_mpn)<sup>83</sup> comprises all genes involved in the metabolism of this microorganism that have been annotated. Each gene included in the model has been disrupted *in silico* by allowing no flux through the reactions linked to the specific gene. For each disrupted gene, growth has been simulated through Flux Balance Analysis<sup>99</sup>, with biomass yield *i.e.* growth as objective function, using *cobrapy* v. 0.5.11<sup>100</sup> in Python v. 3.4.4. Growth-after-disruption of the single genes has been compared to the wild-type growth of *M. pneumoniae* in iEG158\_mpn and percentages of decrease in growth have been computed ([Suppl. Table 4](#)).

### Whole genome sequencing and variant calling analysis

Genomic DNA samples were prepared from late-exponential phase cultures of *M. pneumoniae* strains C5, C20 and C26 grown in SP-4 medium (and relevant antibiotics and inducers). Cells grown in 75 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks were washed three times with PBS and followed by genomic extraction using the GenElute™ Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma).

The London Biofoundry (Imperial College London) performed sequencing: Extracted genomes were prepared for sequencing with the Illumina Nextera DNA Flex kit (20018704) according to manufacturer recommendations via an automated implementation on an Opentrons OT-2 liquid handler. Resulting libraries were analysed and verified using a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent), Tapestation (Agilent) and Qubit (Thermo Fisher). Three libraries were pooled and diluted to 100 pM, and 20 µl loaded for sequencing and onboard de-multiplexing on an iSeq100 sequencer (Illumina). Sequencing results have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI, under accession number E-MTAB-10981.

A list of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and insertions and deletions (INDELs) present in each sequenced population was obtained by paired-end mapping of raw sequenced reads against by BWA<sup>101</sup>. Then, alignments obtained were analysed by freebayes<sup>102</sup> with relaxed stringency settings (minimum number of reads to trust a variation=2, minimum total reads covering a site=5, minimum fraction between alternative and reference reads=0.001) and filtering out ambiguously mapped, low mapping and/or low base quality reads. This step also calculates the fraction of alternative reads (*i.e.*, sequencing reads reporting a variant from the reference) with the total reads covering the same position, which can be used to evaluate the fixation of a variant in the population. Finally, we annotated the effect of each variant by using SnpEff<sup>103</sup> ([Suppl. Tables S1 and S2](#)). To evaluate the functional impact of each variant found along samples or passage, we used the categorical impact annotated by SnpEff<sup>103</sup> that discriminates between 'low',

‘moderate’ and ‘high’ variants, corresponding to synonymous, missense, and frameshift/stop gained nonsynonymous mutations, respectively. A last impact category ‘modifier’ refers to variants found in intergenic regions. To evaluate the frequency at which mutations were found, variants were explored in terms of the number of variants normalized by the length in base pairs of the genomic region being explored. The regions considered included the cassettes C5 (6,615 bp) and C20 (13,211 bp), and positions in the genome differentiated by those part of a gene (715,100 bp) or intergenic (101,294 bp). The pipeline, references, and processing steps required to generate the intermediate and supplementary files to replicate this analysis can be found at [github.com/CRG-CNAG/killswitch](https://github.com/CRG-CNAG/killswitch).

## Acknowledgements

We thank Marko Storch, Miles Priestman and Marta Ciechonska from the London Biofoundry for sequencing support. This work was funded by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 634942 (MycoSynVac).

## Author contributions

A.B. and M.I. conceived the project and wrote the manuscript; A.B. performed all the experiments; A.B. and S.M-V. analysed the iSeq data; E.G, M.S.-D. and V.M.S. performed the computational and modelling part. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript. M.I. supervised.

## Competing interests

A.B and M.I declare that have filed a patent on this technology, as PCT Application Number PCT/GB2021/050184 (International Publication Number WO 2021/152301 A1, 5<sup>th</sup> August 2021).

E.G. is currently employed as Project Officer at the European & Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP). E.G. declares no Horizon 2020 funding distributed by EDCTP has been deployed in this project and that the object of this manuscript is not involved in EDCTP scopes or funded grants.

## References

1. Fraser, C. M. *et al.* The minimal gene complement of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Science* **270**, 397–399 (1995).
2. Himmelreich, R. *et al.* Complete sequence analysis of the genome of the bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **24**, 4420–4449 (1996).
3. Karr, J. R. *et al.* A Whole-Cell Computational Model Predicts Phenotype from Genotype. *Cell* **150**, 389–401 (2012).
4. Lartigue, C. *et al.* Genome transplantation in bacteria: changing one species to another. *Science* **317**, 632–8 (2007).
5. Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Complete Chemical Synthesis, Assembly, and Cloning of a *Mycoplasma genitalium* Genome. *Science* **319**, 1215–1220 (2008).
6. Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* **329**, 52–6 (2010).
7. Hutchison III, C. A. *et al.* Design and synthesis of a minimal bacterial genome. *Science* **351**, aad6253–aad6253 (2016).
8. Marcone, C. Molecular biology and pathogenicity of phytoplasmas. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **165**, 199–221 (2014).
9. Taylor-Robinson, D. Antibiotic susceptibilities of mycoplasmas and treatment of mycoplasmal infections. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **40**, 622–630 (1997).

10. Bébéar, C., Pereyre, S. & Peuchant, O. Mycoplasma pneumoniae: susceptibility and resistance to antibiotics. *Future Microbiol.* **6**, 423–431 (2011).
11. Wang, X. & Lutkenhaus, J. Characterization of the *ftsZ* gene from Mycoplasma pulmonis, an organism lacking a cell wall. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**, 2314–2319 (1996).
12. Perez-Casal, J., Prysliak, T., Maina, T., Suleman, M. & Jimbo, S. Status of the development of a vaccine against Mycoplasma bovis. *Vaccine* (2017) doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.03.095.
13. Nicholas, R. A. J., Ayling, R. D. & McAuliffe, L. Vaccines for Mycoplasma Diseases in Animals and Man. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **140**, 85–96 (2009).
14. Ishfaq, M. *et al.* Current status of vaccine research, development, and challenges of vaccines for Mycoplasma gallisepticum. *Poultry Science* vol. 99 4195–4202 (2020).
15. Maes, D. *et al.* Perspectives for improvement of Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae vaccines in pigs. *Vet. Res.* 1–20 (2021) doi:10.1186/s13567-021-00941-x.
16. The MycoSynVac project - Engineering of Mycoplasma pneumoniae as a broad-spectrum animal vaccine. EU H2020 grant No 634942. <https://www.mycosynvac.eu/>.
17. Güell, M. *et al.* Transcriptome complexity in a genome-reduced bacterium. *Science* **326**, 1268 (2009).
18. Lluch-Senar, M. *et al.* Defining a minimal cell: essentiality of small ORFs and ncRNAs in a genome-reduced bacterium. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **11**, 780 (2015).
19. Junier, I., Unal, E. B., Yus, E., Llorens-Rico, V. & Serrano, L. Insights into the Mechanisms of Basal Coordination of Transcription Using a Genome-Reduced Bacterium. *Cell Syst.* **3**, 1–11 (2016).
20. Llorens-Rico, V. *et al.* Bacterial antisense RNAs are mainly the product of transcriptional noise. *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1501363–e1501363 (2016).
21. Trussart, M. *et al.* Defined chromosome structure in the genome-reduced bacterium Mycoplasma pneumoniae. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14665 (2017).
22. Yus, E., Yang, J., Sogues, A. & Serrano, L. A reporter system coupled with high-throughput sequencing unveils key bacterial transcription and translation determinants. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 368 (2017).
23. Miravet-Verde, S. *et al.* Unraveling the hidden universe of small proteins in bacterial genomes. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **15**, e8290 (2019).
24. Yus, E. *et al.* Determination of the Gene Regulatory Network of a Genome-Reduced Bacterium Highlights Alternative Regulation Independent of Transcription Factors. *Cell Syst.* **9**, 143–158.e13 (2019).
25. Montero-Blay, A., Piñero-Lambea, C., Miravet-Verde, S., Lluch-Senar, M. & Serrano, L. Inferring Active Metabolic Pathways from Proteomics and Essentiality Data. *Cell Rep.* **31**, 107722 (2020).
26. Kühner, S. *et al.* Proteome organization in a genome-reduced bacterium. *Science* **1235**, 1235–40 (2009).
27. Yus, E. *et al.* Impact of genome reduction on bacterial metabolism and its regulation. *Science (80-. )*. **326**, 1263–8 (2009).
28. Maier, T. *et al.* Quantification of mRNA and protein and integration with protein turnover in a bacterium. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, 511 (2011).
29. van Noort, V. *et al.* Cross-talk between phosphorylation and lysine acetylation in a genome-reduced bacterium. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **8**, (2012).
30. Lluch-Senar, M. *et al.* Comprehensive Methylome Characterization of Mycoplasma genitalium and Mycoplasma pneumoniae at Single-Base Resolution. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003191 (2013).
31. Wodke, J. a H. *et al.* Dissecting the energy metabolism in Mycoplasma pneumoniae through genome-scale metabolic modeling. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **9**, 653 (2013).
32. Lluch-Senar, M. *et al.* Comparative ‘-omics’ in Mycoplasma pneumoniae Clinical Isolates Reveals Key Virulence Factors. *PLoS One* **10**, e0137354 (2015).


33. Llorens-Rico, V., Lluch-Senar, M. & Serrano, L. Distinguishing between productive and abortive promoters using a random forest classifier in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, 3442–3453 (2015).
34. Razin, S. The mycoplasmas. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **42**, 414–470 (1978).
35. Razin, S. Molecular biology and genetics of mycoplasmas (Mollicutes). *Microbiol. Rev.* **49**, 419–55 (1985).
36. Dybvig, K. & Voelker, L. L. Molecular biology of mycoplasmas. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **50**, 25–57 (1996).
37. Gupta, S., Bram, E. E. & Weiss, R. Genetically Programmable Pathogen Sense and Destroy. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **2**, 715–723 (2013).
38. Garrido, V. *et al.* Engineering a genome-reduced bacterium to eliminate *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in vivo. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* (2021) doi:10.15252/msb.202010145.
39. Simon, A. J. & Ellington, A. D. Recent advances in synthetic biosafety. *FI000Research* **5**, 2118 (2016).
40. Piñero-Lambea, C. *et al.* *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* Genome Editing Based on Oligo Recombineering and Cas9-Mediated Counterselection. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **9**, 1693–1704 (2020).
41. Garcia-Morales, L. *et al.* A RAGE Based Strategy for the Genome Engineering of the Human Respiratory Pathogen *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **9**, 2737–2748 (2020).
42. E, R. *et al.* CReasPy-Cloning: A Method for Simultaneous Cloning and Engineering of Megabase-Sized Genomes in Yeast Using the CRISPR-Cas9 System. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **8**, 2547–2557 (2019).
43. Tsarmopoulou, I. *et al.* In-Yeast Engineering of a Bacterial Genome Using CRISPR/Cas9. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **5**, 104–109 (2016).
44. V, B. *et al.* Cloning and Transplantation of the *Mesoplasma florum* Genome. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **7**, 209–217 (2018).
45. Breton, M. *et al.* First report of a tetracycline-inducible gene expression system for mollicutes. *Microbiology* **156**, 198–205 (2010).
46. Mariscal, A. M., Gonzalez-Gonzalez, L., Querol, E. & Piñol, J. All-in-one construct for genome engineering using Cre-lox technology. *DNA Res.* **23**, 263–270 (2016).
47. de Sá-Nogueira, I. & Mota, L. J. Negative regulation of L-arabinose metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*: characterization of the *araR* (*araC*) gene. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 1598–608 (1997).
48. Lewis, M. *et al.* Crystal Structure of the Lactose Operon Repressor and Its Complexes with DNA and Inducer. *Science (80-. )*. **271**, 1247–1254 (1996).
49. Lewis, M. The lac repressor. *C. R. Biol.* **328**, 521–548 (2005).
50. Winstanley, C., Morgan, J. A., Pickup, R. W., Jones, J. G. & Saunders, J. R. Differential regulation of lambda pL and pR promoters by a cI repressor in a broad-host-range thermoregulated plasmid marker system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **55**, 771–777 (1989).
51. Bakk, A. Transcriptional activation mechanisms of the PRM promoter of lambda phage. *Biophys. Chem.* **114**, 229–34 (2005).
52. Frey, J. Biological safety concepts of genetically modified live bacterial vaccines. *Vaccine* **25**, 5598–5605 (2007).
53. Detmer, A. & Glenting, J. Live bacterial vaccines - A review and identification of potential hazards. *Microbial Cell Factories* vol. 5 (2006).
54. Ding, C., Ma, J., Dong, Q. & Liu, Q. Live bacterial vaccine vector and delivery strategies of heterologous antigen: A review. *Immunol. Lett.* **197**, 70–77 (2018).
55. Reardon, S. Bacteria deliver gene therapies. *Nature* **558**, 497–498 (2018).
56. Baban, C. K., Cronin, M., O’Hanlon, D., O’Sullivan, G. C. & Tangney, M. Bacteria as vectors for gene therapy of cancer. *Bioeng. Bugs* **1**, 385–394 (2010).
57. FDA. *Early clinical trials with live biotherapeutic products: chemistry, manufacturing, and control*

- information. *Guidance for Industry* <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/early-clinical-trials-live-biotherapeutic-products-chemistry-manufacturing-and-control-information> (2016).
58. Charbonneau, M. R., Isabella, V. M., Li, N. & Kurtz, C. B. Developing a new class of engineered live bacterial therapeutics to treat human diseases. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1738 (2020).
  59. Ozdemir, T., Fedorec, A. J. H., Danino, T. & Barnes, C. P. Synthetic Biology and Engineered Live Biotherapeutics: Toward Increasing System Complexity. *Cell Systems* vol. 7 (2018).
  60. Pich, O. Q., Burgos, R., Planell, R., Querol, E. & Piñol, J. Comparative analysis of antibiotic resistance gene markers in *Mycoplasma genitalium*: application to studies of the minimal gene complement. *Microbiology* **152**, 519–27 (2006).
  61. Goodman, D. B., Church, G. M. & Kosuri, S. Causes and Effects of N-Terminal Codon Bias in Bacterial Genes. *Science (80-. )*. **342**, 475–479 (2013).
  62. Montero-Blay, A., Miravet-Verde, S., Lluch-Senar, M., Piñero-Lambea, C. & Serrano, L. SynMyco transposon: engineering transposon vectors for efficient transformation of minimal genomes. *DNA Res.* **26**, 327–339 (2019).
  63. Mota, L. J., Tavares, P. & de Sá-Nogueira, I. Mode of action of AraR, the key regulator of L-arabinose metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **33**, 476–489 (1999).
  64. Mota, L. J., Sarmiento, L. M. & de Sá-Nogueira, I. Control of the arabinose regulon in *Bacillus subtilis* by AraR in vivo: crucial roles of operators, cooperativity, and DNA looping. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**, 4190–201 (2001).
  65. Sadler, J. R., Sasmor, H. & Betz, J. L. A perfectly symmetric lac operator binds the lac repressor very tightly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **80**, 6785–9 (1983).
  66. Oehler, S., Amouyal, M., Kolkhof, P., von Wilcken-Bergmann, B. & Müller-Hill, B. Quality and position of the three lac operators of *E. coli* define efficiency of repression. *EMBO J.* **13**, 3348–55 (1994).
  67. Wilson, C. J., Zhan, H., Swint-Kruse, L. & Matthews, K. S. The lactose repressor system: paradigms for regulation, allosteric behavior and protein folding. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **64**, 3–16 (2007).
  68. Meyer, B. J., Kleid, D. G. & Ptashne, M. Lambda repressor turns off transcription of its own gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **72**, 4785–4789 (1975).
  69. Hawley, D. K. & McClure, W. R. The effect of a lambda repressor mutation on the activation of transcription initiation from the lambda PRM promoter. *Cell* **32**, 327–333 (1983).
  70. Benson, N., Sugiono, P. & Youderian, P. DNA Sequence Determinants of Lambda Repressor Binding. *Challenge* **29**, 21–29 (1988).
  71. Hillen, W. & Berens, C. Mechanisms underlying expression of Tn10 encoded tetracycline resistance. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **48**, 345–369 (1994).
  72. Hinrichs, W. *et al.* Structure of the Tet repressor-tetracycline complex and regulation of antibiotic resistance. *Science* **264**, 418–420 (1994).
  73. Ramos, J. L. *et al.* The TetR Family of Transcriptional Repressors. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **69**, 326–356 (2005).
  74. Lanzer, M. & Bujard, H. Promoters largely determine the efficiency of repressor action. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **85**, 8973–8977 (1988).
  75. Topp, S. *et al.* Synthetic riboswitches that induce gene expression in diverse bacterial species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**, 7881–4 (2010).
  76. Topp, S. & Gallivan, J. P. Riboswitches in unexpected places--A synthetic riboswitch in a protein coding region. *RNA* **14**, 2498–2503 (2008).
  77. Deltcheva, E. *et al.* CRISPR RNA maturation by trans-encoded small RNA and host factor RNase III. *Nature* **471**, 602–607 (2011).
  78. Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial

- immunity. *Science (80-. )*. **337**, 816–821 (2012).
79. Ashihara, H., Kato, M. & Crozier, A. Distribution, Biosynthesis and Catabolism of Methylxanthines in Plants. in *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* vol. 200 11–31 (2011).
  80. Rocha, E. P. C. & Blanchard, A. Genomic repeats, genome plasticity and the dynamics of Mycoplasma evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 2031–42 (2002).
  81. Nishimasu, H. *et al.* Crystal structure of Cas9 in complex with guide RNA and target DNA. *Cell* **156**, 935–949 (2014).
  82. Hutchison III, C. A. *et al.* Global Transposon Mutagenesis and a Minimal Mycoplasma Genome. *Science (80-. )*. **286**, 2165–2169 (1999).
  83. Gaspari, E. *et al.* Model-driven design allows growth of Mycoplasma pneumoniae on serum-free media. **6**, (2020).
  84. Carvalho, F. M. *et al.* DNA repair in reduced genome: The Mycoplasma model. *Gene* **360**, 111–119 (2005).
  85. Zakeri, B. & Carr, P. A. The limits of synthetic biology. *Trends Biotechnol.* **33**, 57–58 (2015).
  86. Department of Health and Human Services. National Institutes of Health (NIH). NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant or Synthetic Nucleic Acid Molecules. *NIH Guidel.* **2**, 142 (2019).
  87. Development of a novel vaccine for contagious caprine pleuropneumonia based on a fast-growing Mycoplasma feriruminatoris chassis | IDRC - International Development Research Centre. <https://www.idrc.ca/en/project/development-novel-vaccine-contagious-caprine-pleuropneumonia-based-fast-growing-mycoplasma>.
  88. Jores, J. *et al.* Removal of a Subset of Non-essential Genes Fully Attenuates a Highly Virulent Mycoplasma Strain. *Front. Microbiol.* **0**, 664 (2019).
  89. Torres, L., Krüger, A., Csibra, E., Gianni, E. & Pinheiro, V. B. Synthetic biology approaches to biological containment: pre-emptively tackling potential risks. *Essays Biochem.* **60**, 393–410 (2016).
  90. Schmidt, M. & de Lorenzo, V. Synthetic bugs on the loose: containment options for deeply engineered (micro)organisms. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **38**, 90–96 (2016).
  91. Moe-Behrens, G. H. G., Davis, R. & Haynes, K. A. Preparing synthetic biology for the world. *Front. Microbiol.* **4**, 5 (2013).
  92. Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat. Methods 2009 65* **6**, 343–345 (2009).
  93. Paetzold, B., Carolis, C., Ferrar, T., Serrano, L. & Lluch-Senar, M. In situ overlap and sequence synthesis during DNA assembly. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **2**, 750–5 (2013).
  94. Calisto, B. *et al.* The EAGR box structure: a motif involved in mycoplasma motility. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**, 382–93 (2012).
  95. NC, S. *et al.* Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from *Discosoma* sp. red fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 1567–1572 (2004).
  96. Zimmermann, C. U. & Herrmann, R. Synthesis of a small, cysteine-rich, 29 amino acids long peptide in Mycoplasma pneumoniae. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **253**, 315–321 (2005).
  97. Green, M. R. & Sambrook, J. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2012).
  98. Sprouffske, K. & Wagner, A. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics from microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinforma.* 2016 171 **17**, 1–4 (2016).
  99. JD, O. *et al.* What is flux balance analysis? *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 245–8 (2010).
  100. Ebrahim, A., Lerman, J. A., Palsson, B. O. & Hyduke, D. R. COBRApy: CONstraints-Based Reconstruction and Analysis for Python. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 2013 71 **7**, 1–6 (2013).
  101. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.


- Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
102. Garrison, E. & Marth, G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv:1207.3907v2 [q-bio.GN]* (2012).
  103. Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly (Austin)*. **6**, 80–92 (2012).
- \* [Miravet-Verde, S. \*et al.\* Ultra-deep sequencing technique \(ProTInSeq\) for protein detection, quantification, and functional studies. Manuscript under preparation.](#)

# Figures




**Figure 1**

First genetic toolkit for Mycoplasma. (A) Schematic representation of the inducible systems based on the AraR repressor (L-arabinose inducer), Lacl repressor (IPTG inducer), Cl repressor, TetR repressor (ATc inducer). Blunt arrows indicate repression. (B) Induction time course results for one synthetic promoter selected for each repressor system. The upper graphs show synchronised growth (growth index as the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) between uninduced (blue) or induced (red and orange) cultures with the corresponding inducer for each repressor. The lower graphs show the corresponding mCherry kinetics (fluorescence in arbitrary units). The mCherry expression depending on the inducer concentration was confirmed by Fluorimetry (C) and western blot (D) in the late exponential phase for several synthetic promoters in each repressor system. All results from mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation.




**Figure 2**

Design and characterisation of the kill-switch KS1. (A) Schematic representation of the kill-switch KS1. Blunt arrows indicate repression and pointy arrow activation. (B) Schematic representation of relevant DNA segments of the MTnpar with the KS1 used to generate a polyclonal strain (puromycin used for selection). White triangles represent the inverted repeats flanking the fragment of the MTn inserted in the genome of *Mycoplasma* (IR); Bend-arrows for constitutive promoters (black) and IPTG-inducible (white) promoters. Arrows for genes (grey), gRNA (stripped; gRNA2 has eight targets in the genome of *M. pneumoniae*); par, selection cassette. (C) Western blot results showing Cas9 expression in strains with the KS1 kill-switch with the cas9 gene with the canonical initiation codon ATG (a) or a CTG codon instead (b). Protein expression was analysed using 10 µg of total protein extract from pre-induced (-) and 1 mM IPTG-induced (IPTG) samples. (D) Growth kinetics of polyclonal strains with KS1 without any gRNA (I), with a single gRNA copy (II) and same but pre-treated with IPTG in the previous passage (III). Graphs show growth kinetics (growth index corresponding to the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) when KS1 is uninduced (0 mM IPTG, blue lines) or IPTG-induced (killswitch activated with 1 mM IPTG, red lines). Mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation.



**Figure 3**

Design and characterisation of kill-switch TKS2. (A) Schematic representation of the circuit TKS2. Blunt arrows indicate repression and pointy arrow activation. (B) Schematic representation of relevant DNA segments of the MTncat with TKS2 used to generate a polyclonal strain (chloramphenicol used for selection). White triangles for the inverted repeats (IR); Bendarrows for constitutive promoters (black) and ATc-inducible (white) promoters. Arrows for genes (grey); Black semicircles show the RBSs; A white square for the riboswitch (RS); Black triangles indicate the position and orientation of the lox sites (lox66 and lox71); *cat*, selection cassette. (C) DNA gel showing the results from the PCR analysis of Cre/lox recombination in the TKS2 from genomic DNA samples from passages 1 and 2 in theophylline medium with Cre basal expression (p1+ and p2+) and passage 2 in theophylline-free medium and Cre induction (p2+). PCR product size expected for the full fragment, before Cre/lox recombination, and the distance between lox sites are indicated in (B) between the small head arrows S5 and S17, showing the position of the primers pair used in the assay (in blue for 2xTetR). (D) Western blot results showing TetR, CI and Cas9 expression in strains with the kill-switch TKS2. Expression of the proteins was analysed using 10 ug of total protein extracts from incubations of the strains at 0.5 mM theophylline (+); theophylline-free medium producing slow activation of TKS2 (-) or 50 ng/mL of ATc to trigger the kill-switch (ATc). Results presented from the circuit engineered with one or two copies of the pSpi-riboswitch-tetR cassette between the lox pair sites in the circuit (1xTetR or 2xTerR, respectively).



**Figure 4**

Study of the long-term performance of the three biosafety systems in clonal *M. pneumoniae* strains. (A) Growth kinetics (growth index corresponding to the ratio Abs430nm/Abs560nm) of the clonal strains C5 (I), C20 (II) and C26 (III) analysed at an early (p2) and late passage (p15) at different induction conditions. We compare the growth of strains C5 and C20 (with one and two copies of the kill-switch KS1, respectively) when uninduced at the early passage p2 (0 mM IPTG, blue lines) or IPTG-induced that triggers the kill-switch at the passage 2 (1mM IPTG; p2, red lines) or passage 15 (1mM IPTG; p15, orange line). We analysed the growth of strain C26 (with KS1 and TKS2) in theophylline-medium (0.5 mM Theophylline, permissive growth conditions with both circuits inactive, blue line), or theophylline-free medium (0 mM Theophylline, slow activation of TKS2, light brown line) in the early passage p2. The growth is also analysed when both circuits are activated simultaneously in ATc and IPTG medium at passage 2 (1mM IPTG and 50 ng/mL ATc; p2, red line) or passage 15 (1mM IPTG and 50 ng/mL ATc; p15, orange line). The black line is a negative control of the growth index reads from empty medium and shows the baseline of these long experiments, as the Abs560 reads have a drift (SP4, black line). Mean values from three bio-replicates. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (B) Escape frequency (E.F) for strain C5 at several strain passages, determined as the ratio between IPTG-resistant CFUs and the total CFUs. The dashed line shows the detection limit calculated in the analysis, considering the total CFUs plated.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [4.SupplTable1AG.xlsx](#)
- [7.Suppl.Table4.xlsx](#)
- [3.SupplMatMycoplmttoolsandcircuits.pdf](#)
- [6.Table1andSupplTable3.xlsx](#)
- [8.Suppl.File1.ksanalysisnotebook.pdf](#)
- [9.Suppl.File2.Backgroundcorrection.pdf](#)
- [5.SupplTable2.xlsx](#)
- [table1.jpg](#)