

G-Protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor Activation Upregulates Interleukin-1 Receptor Antagonist in the Hippocampus after Global Cerebral Ischemia: Implications for Neuronal Self Defense

NING BAI

North China University of Science and Technology

QUANGUANG ZHANG

Augusta University

WENLI ZHANG

NORTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

BIN LIU

North China University of Science and Technology

FANG YANG

North China University of Science and Technology

Darrell Brann

Augusta University

Ruimin Wang (✉ ruimin-wang@163.com)

North China University of Science and Technology

Research

Keywords: Global Cerebral Ischemia, G-protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor 1 (GPER, GPR30), Inflammasome, NACHT-, LRR- and PYD-containing Protein 3 (NLRP3), Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL1RA)

Posted Date: December 16th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18901/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: G-protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor (GPER/GPR30) is a novel membrane-associated estrogen receptor that can induce rapid kinase signaling in various cells. Activation of GPER can prevent hippocampal neuronal cell death following transient global cerebral ischemia (GCI), although the mechanisms remain unclear. In the current study, we sought to address whether GPER activation exerts potent anti-inflammatory effects in the rat hippocampus after GCI as a potential mechanism to limit neuronal cell death.

Methods: GCI was induced by four-vessel occlusion in ovariectomized-female SD rats. Specific agonist G1 or antagonist G36 of GPER was administered using minipump and antisense oligonucleotide (AS) of interleukin-1 β receptor antagonist (IL1RA) was administered using brain infusion kit. Protein expression of IL1RA, NF κ B-P65, phosphorylation of CREB (p-CREB), Bcl2, Cleaved-caspase 3 and microglial markers Iba1, CD11b, as well as inflammasome components NLRP3, ASC, Cleaved-caspase 1, and Cle-IL1 β in the hippocampal CA1 region were investigated by Immunofluorescent staining and Western blot analysis. The Duo-Link II in situ proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed to detect the interaction between NLRP3 and ASC. Immunofluorescent staining for NeuN and TUNEL analysis were used to analyze neuronal survival and apoptosis, respectively. We performed Barnes maze and Novel object tests to compare the cognitive function of the rats.

Results: The results showed that G1 attenuated GCI-induced elevation of Iba1 and CD11b in the hippocampal CA1 region at 14d reperfusion, and this effect was blocked by G36. G1 treatment also markedly decreased expression of the NLRP3-ASC-Caspase 1 inflammasome and IL1 β activation, as well as downstream NF κ B signaling, the effects reversed by G36 administration. Intriguingly, G1 caused a robust elevation in neurons of a well-known endogenous anti-inflammatory factor IL1RA, which was reversed by G36 treatment. G1 also enhanced p-CREB level in the hippocampus, a transcription factor known to enhance expression of IL1RA. Finally, in vivo IL1RA-AS abolished the anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective and anti-apoptotic effects of G1 after GCI, and reversed the cognitive-enhancing effects of G1 at 14d after GCI.

Conclusions: Taken together, the current results suggest that GPER preserves cognitive function following GCI in part by exerting anti-inflammatory effects and enhancing the defense mechanism of neurons by upregulating IL1RA.

Background

Global cerebral ischemia (GCI) is well known to result in significant neurological and cognitive defects in animals and humans [1]. GCI has multiple causes, including cardiac arrest, asphyxiation and hypotensive shock. The hippocampal CA1 region is highly vulnerable to damage from GCI, resulting in significant delayed death of hippocampal pyramidal neurons [2, 3]. Currently, there are no effective therapies for preserving cognitive function after global cerebral ischemia. Therapeutic hypothermia has been used

clinically, but a meta-analysis failed to find a strong benefit on survival or neurological outcome [4]. Thus, there is a clear need to find new potential therapies for preserving cognitive function after GCI.

In previous studies, we and others showed that activation of G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) is strongly neuroprotective in an animal model of GCI [5–7]. GPER, also known as GPR30, is the most recently identified member of the estrogen receptor family. Beginning with studies published in 2000, it was found that GPER binds the potent estrogen, 17 β -estradiol (E2), and helps mediate E2-induced rapid activation of extracellular regulated kinases (ERKs) and cAMP generation [8–10]. GPER is highly localized in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus of the brain, with strong expression also noted in the basal forebrain, thalamus and dorsal striatum [5, 11]. Studies to explore the role and functions of GPER in the brain have employed G1, a specific agonist, and either G15 or G36, GPER antagonists [12, 13]. GPER shRNA and antisense oligonucleotide knockdown has also been employed in several studies [5, 14]. Intriguingly, we and others previously reported that GPER activation via G1 administration can rapidly activate PI3K-Akt and MEK-ERK rapid kinase signaling pathways in the hippocampus and exert strong neuroprotection against GCI [5–7]. We also showed that E2 neuroprotection against GCI could be abolished by GPER knockdown in the hippocampal CA1 region [5].

Neuroinflammation can also contribute significantly to neuronal cell death in neurodegenerative disorders and in cardiac arrest, and attenuation of neuroinflammation can be neuroprotective [15, 16]. An important advance in the neuroinflammation field was the identification of inflammasomes as critical proteins that trigger neuroinflammation [17]. NLRP3 inflammasome is most studied inflammasome in the CNS. It is a multiprotein complex that mediates activation of caspase-1 and promotes secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and interleukin-18 (IL-18) [18]. After activation and release, the biological actions of IL-1 β are known to be mediated by the type 1 IL-1 receptor (IL-1R). Intriguingly, an endogenous IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL1RA) has been identified in neurons that can block IL-1 β pro-inflammatory actions by competing with IL-1 β for IL-1R binding. Thus, IL1RA has been reported to exert potent anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective actions in the brain and other tissues [19–21].

Since neuroinflammation is implicated to play a significant role in neurodegeneration, we hypothesized that GPER neuroprotective effects in GCI could be due, in part, to anti-inflammatory actions of GPER. We thus examined the ability of GPER activation to regulate microglial activation, NLRP3 inflammasome activation, and IL-1 β production in the hippocampus after GCI. In addition, we examined whether GPER activation could regulate IL1RA in the hippocampus after GCI and determined whether IL1RA mediates the anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, anti-apoptotic, and cognitive-enhancing effects of GPER following GCI.

Materials And Methods

Antibodies and Reagents

The following antibodies were used: NeuN (Millipore Biotechnology, MAB377); GPER (sc-48525); Iba1 (sc-32725), GAPDH (sc-32233), NLRP3 (Life science, Lot L27693), NLRP3 (ab4207), ASC (sc-22514-R), CD

11b (Gentex, GTX76060), Cleaved caspase 1 (Cell signaling, #4199S), Cleaved IL1 β (sc-7884), IL1RA (ab124962), and IL1RA blocking peptide (ab200257), NF κ B-p65 (ab32568), H2A (ab177308), Bcl2 (sc-492), Cleaved caspase 3 (D175, 5A1E), CREB (Cell signaling, #9197X), p-CREB (Cell signaling, #9198S), Tubulin (sc-9104). Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Molecular Probes/Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes with pore size of 0.45 μ m were from Millipore (USA). BCIP (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate) and NBT (nitro blue tetrazolium) were from Promega (Madison, WI). TUNEL Kits (LOT #1639496, Life technologies) were from Active Motif Company. Duolink PLA kits (DUO92105), Duolink PLA Rabbit MINUS (DUO92003) and PLA Goat PLUS (DUO92005) proximity probes were from SIGMA-ALDRICH. Unless indicated otherwise, all the other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Animal and GCI Model

Adult female Sprague-Dawley rats (Beijing HFK Bioscience Co., Ltd., 3 months old) were housed in a temperature-controlled (22-24°C) room with water and food freely available. All procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of North China University of Science and Technology (Ref. 2016047), and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the National Natural Science Foundation of China for animal research. The rats were bilateral ovariectomized (OVX) under isoflurane anesthesia and then randomly allocated to each group. In order to remove the bias in the study, a double-blind procedure was carried out in which drug-treatment animals were administered by blinding investigators and statistical analysis was blindly performed by the authors. Transient global cerebral ischemia (GCI) was induced at 1 week after OVX by the four-vessel occlusion (4-VO) as our previous description [22, 23]. Briefly, the rats were anesthetized using chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg, i.p.), then both vertebral arteries were electrocauterized through the alar foramen of the first cervical vertebra and both common carotid arteries (CAA) were exposed followed by closing the incision with a suture. After 24 h, the animals were lightly anesthetized to re-expose and occlude the CAA for 12 min with aneurysm clips. Rats that lost their righting reflex within 30 s and whose pupils were dilated with unresponsive to light during ischemia were deemed as successful and selected for the experiments. Resumption of carotid artery blood flow was verified visually by releasing the clips. Rectal temperature was maintained at about 36.5-37.5°C during the procedure with an incubator. For sham-operated animals, all rats were performed exactly as for ischemic animals except that the CCA were not clamped.

Administration of drugs

GPER agonist, G1 (Tocris, Cat. No. 3577, 10 μ g/day), or/and GPER antagonist, G36 (Tocris, Cat. No. 4759, 10 μ g/day) was administered subcutaneously using minipump (0.25 μ l/h, Alzet Model 2004) beginning at the time of OVX surgery. For vehicle-treatment group, the same volume cottonseed oil with 1% DMSO was administered at the same time-points as G1 or G36 administration. IL1RA antisense oligodeoxynucleotide (AS, 5'-ACCAGCTCATTGCTGGGTAC-3') or scrambled missense (MS, 5'-CCGCGAAAATCGCTTTAGCA-3') was synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.. The last 3 bases on both the 5' and 3' were end-phosphorothioated to limit ODN degradation. IL1RA-AS or MS (10 nmol/d)

was dissolved in 0.9% saline and was continuously injected into the left lateral ventricle (anteroposterior, 0.8 mm; lateral, 1.5 mm; depth, 3.5 mm; from bregma) beginning at 3 day prior to ischemia until the end of the experiments (Alzet minipump 0.5 μ l/h, Model 2002, or 1 μ l/h, Model 2001) using brain infusion kit (Alzet, Lot no 10331-14).

Histological Analysis

The rats were anesthetized using isoflurane at reperfusion 1d, 3d and 14d, and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). The brains were removed and postfixed in the same fixative overnight at 4°C, followed by dehydration in 30% sucrose in 0.1M PB till completely subsidence of the tissues and then cut longitudinally into 25 μ m sections with a cryostat. Coronal sections were collected through the entire dorsal hippocampus (2.5-4.5 mm posterior from bregma) to investigate the neuroprotective effect of GPER following GCI by performing *in situ* apoptosis detection using TUNEL kit as described by the manufacturer with minor modifications, simultaneously carrying out immunofluorescence staining for NeuN. Briefly, the sections were washed using PBS for 30 min, permeabilized with 0.4% Triton X-100-PBS for 1 h, blocked in 10 % donkey serum for 1 h, and then incubated in anti-NeuN antibody (1:800) overnight at 4 °C. After rinsing three times over 30 min with 0.1% Triton X-100-PBS, the sections were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 nm donkey anti-mouse IgG) at room temperature for 1 h followed by washing for 5 \times 10 min in 0.1% Triton X-100-PBS. The following steps were protected from light. The sections were incubated in TdT Reaction buffer A for 10 min and then in TdT reaction mixture including enzyme solution for 1 h at 37 °C. After 5 min washing with dH₂O, the sections were incubated in Click-iT Plus TUNEL reaction cocktail for 30min at 37 °C, washed with 0.1% Triton 100-PBS over 20 min, and then mounted on slides covered with water-based mounting medium. Images were captured under laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM, Olympus FV1000) and analysis was carried out using Digital imaging software (FV10-ASW 1.5). The number of NeuN- or TUNEL-positive CA1 neurons per 250 μ m length of the medial CA1 pyramidal cell layer was bilaterally counted in five or six sections of different animals. Cell counts from the right and left hippocampus on each of the seven or eight sections were averaged to provide the mean value. A mean \pm SE was calculated from the data in each group and statistical analysis performed as described below.

Immunofluorescence Staining and Confocal Microscopy

The coronal sections (25 μ m) at time points of reperfusion 3d and 14d were prepared. All steps including washing, permeabilizing, and blocking were the same as described in histological analysis. The sections were incubated in the following primary antibodies overnight at 4°C: anti-GPER (1:100), anti-NeuN (1:800), anti-Iba1 (1:1000), anti-CD11b (1:1000), anti-Cleaved-IL1 β , anti-NLRP3 (1:100), anti-ASC (1:100), anti-IL1RA (1:200), p-CREB (1:200) or anti-NF κ B-p65 (1:200). After washing for 3 \times 10 min with 0.1% Triton X-100-PBS, the sections were incubated with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 568 nm donkey anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 568 nm donkey anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 488 nm donkey anti-goat IgG, and Alexa Fluor 488 nm donkey anti-mouse IgG) at room temperature for 1 h, followed by a final washing for 5 \times 10 min in

0.1% Triton X-100-PBS. If necessary, the nucleus was counterstained using mounting medium with DAPI (Lot ZA0210, Vector Laboratories, Inc. Burlingame, CA 94010). The confocal images were captured on a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM, Olympus FV1000) and Digital imaging software (FV10-ASW 1.5 Viewer).

Duolink II Proximity Ligation Assay

The Duo-Link II *in situ proximity ligation assay* (PLA) immunoassay was performed as described previously by our group [22, 24]. Briefly, after the same processes of washing, permeabilizing, blocking as histological analysis, cerebral coronal sections were incubated using anti-NLRP3 (1:100) and anti-ASC (1:100) primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The slides were then incubated with Duolink PLA Rabbit MINUS and PLA Goat PLUS proximity probes for 1 hour at 37 °C. Ligation and amplification were carried out using the Duolink in situ detection reagent kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. DAPI was used to counter stain the nucleus. Images were captured in the hippocampal CA1 region under FV1000 LSCM, and red spots represented the interactions between NLRP3 with ASC.

Brain Homogenates and Subcellular Fractionations

The rats were sacrificed under deep anesthesia at 3d and 14d after ischemia. Brains were quickly removed and the hippocampal CA1 regions of the two sides were micro-dissected on an ice pad. The total, cytosolic or nuclear protein fraction isolation was performed as described by our group previously [22]. In brief, the tissues were homogenized in 1 ml ice-cold homogenization buffer consisting of (in mM) 50 HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 NaCl, 12 β -glycerophosphate, 3 dithiothreitol (DTT), 2 sodium orthovanadate (Na_3VO_4), 1 EGTA, 1 NaF, 1 phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1% Triton X-100, and inhibitors of proteases and enzymes (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL150825, USA) with a Teflon-glass homogenizer. The homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000g for 30 min at 4°C to get total fraction in the supernatants. When necessary, cytosol and nuclear fractions were extracted. Briefly, tissues were homogenized in ice-cold buffer A containing (in mM) 10 HEPES, pH 7.9, 1 DTT, 1 Na_3VO_4 , and inhibitors of proteases and enzymes, mixed and then allowed to swell on ice for 10 min. The tubes were vigorously vibrated for 30 s and centrifuged at 15,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatants contained the cytoplasm fraction and the pellets were washed three times with buffer A and re-suspended in buffer B [(in mM) 20 HEPES, pH 7.9, 400 NaCl, 20% glycerine, 1 DTT, 1 Na_3VO_4] with inhibitors of proteases and enzymes. After adding NP-40 to 0.6% of total solution, the tubes were vigorously rocked at 4°C for 30 min on a rotator and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C to get the supernatants, which contained the nuclear fractions, and all samples were stored in liquid nitrogen until use. The protein concentrations were determined by enhanced BCA Protein Assay Kit with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard.

Western Blotting Analysis

Protein samples were heated at 100°C for 5 min with loading buffer containing 0.125 M Tris-HCl (PH 6.8), 20% glycerol, 4% SDS, 10% mercaptoethanol and 0.002% bromphenol blue, then separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using 10% acrylamide gels (50 μg per

lane). Then, the proteins on the gel were transferred into a PVDF membrane using a wet transfer system, followed by blocking for 1 h in 3% BSA, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: NLRP3 (1:500), ASC (1:200), GPER (1:500), Iba1 (1:1000), CD11b (1:1000), p65 (1:1000), bcl2 (1:200), cleaved-IL1 β (1:200), cleaved-caspase 1 (1:200), cleaved-caspase 3 (1:1000), IL1RA (1:500), GAPDH (1:1000), CREB (1:1000), p-CREB (1:1000) and tubulin (1:500). The membranes were washed using 0.2% tween-20 in Tris-buffered saline (TBST) for at least 30 min at room temperature followed by incubation in HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Bound proteins were visualized using a CCD digital imaging system, and semi-quantitative analyses of the bands were performed with the ImageJ 1.49 analysis software. Band densities for the targeted proteins were normalized to loading controls (GADPH, or β -tubulin). Normalized means were then expressed as fold changes of the corresponding value for control (sham operated) animals. A means \pm SE was calculated from the data for graphical presentation and statistical comparison.

Barnes Maze Test

Long-term spatial learning and memory was evaluated by use of the Barnes maze, which is a widely accepted test of hippocampus-dependent spatial reference memory in rodents. The apparatus consisted of a circular platform of 120 cm diameter elevated 1 m above the floor, with 18 holes around the perimeter and a recessed chamber (black escape box also called target hole, 20 \times 15 \times 12 cm) located under one of the holes. During the testing, rats learn the spatial location of the target hole. The maze was surrounded by curtains on which there were visual cues to learn the position of the target hole. The maze testing was performed as described previously by our group with minor alterations [25, 26]. Briefly, the test included three parts, pre-training was carried out at 7d of reperfusion, followed by three days (reperfusion 7d, 8d, 9d) latency trial, and 24h later a probe trial was performed (reperfusion 10d). During the maze testing, the room is lit with a bright flood incandescent light (500W, 1000 lux) shining down on the maze center and a buzzer (85 dB) turning on. For the pre-training test, the rat was placed in the center of the open platform surface in a black colored cylindrical start chamber, After 10 s elapsed, the chamber was lifted and the rat was pre-trained to enter the escape box by guiding it to the escape box and remaining there for 2 min. Following the pre-training, the latency trial started and was repeated four times each day. At the beginning of each trial, the rat was placed in the same start chamber, and 10 s after the onset of the light and buzzer, the chamber was lifted and the rat was free to explore the maze. The trial ended when the rat entered the escape box, or after 3 min exploration it failed to find the target hole. The light and buzzer were turned off once each trial ended, and the rat was allowed to stay in the chamber for 1 min for habituation. Trails were recorded by a camera located overhead of the platform, and the escape latency, escape velocity and the time spend in the target quadrant (quadrant occupancy) were computerized using ANY-maze analyzer software. The platform was cleaned with 70% ethanol and dried with a blower fan after each trial. The probe trial was carried out on day 10d of reperfusion. In the trial, the escape box was removed and the time spent in the target quadrant where the escape box had been was recorded during a 90 sec period.

Novel Object Recognition (NOR)

The apparatus for the NOR task consisted of an opaque box measuring 50 cm × 50 cm × 40 cm high. The test includes three stages, habituation training was carried out on day 11 and day 12 after ischemia, and then object familiarization trial on day 13 after ischemia, and then 24 h later the NOR trial was conducted on day 14 after ischemia. The rats were first acclimated to the chamber for two consecutive days (5 min each day) prior to testing to explore the empty box. For the object familiarization testing, the rat was placed in the empty box for 1 min, and then it was removed, and two identical objects (10 cm width, 10 cm height) were centrally fixed to the floor of the box situated 10 cm apart. The rat was then placed back in the box and allowed to explore for 5 min. The rat was repeatedly exposed to the same two identical objects twice a day at 60 min interval. Twenty-four hours later, the rat was returned to the object recognition box containing a copy of the object from the familiarization stage and a novel object that varied in color and size to test long-term recognition memory. Object exploration was scored when the rat's nose was within 2 cm of the object. Object exploration was not scored when the rat used the object to rear upward with the nose of the rat facing the ceiling. The time spent exploring each object and the discrimination index percentage (the percentage time spent exploring the new object) was recorded and analyzed using ANY-maze video tracking software as previously mentioned.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls tests to determine group differences. When only two groups were compared, a Student's T-test was used. Statistical significance was accepted at the 95% confidence level ($P < 0.05$). Data were expressed as means \pm SE.

Results

GPER Activation Decreases GCI-Induced Neuroinflammation in the Hippocampal CA1 Region

In order to determine whether GPER signaling can exert anti-inflammatory effects after GCI, we first examined Iba1 expression and GPER distribution, as well as the effects of GPER agonist G1 or antagonist G36 at reperfusion 14d after GCI in the hippocampal CA1 region. Iba1 was examined as it is a well-known marker of microglia that is upregulated upon activation of microglia due to inflammation. As shown in **Figure 1A, B, & C**, double immunofluorescence staining for Iba1 (Red) and GPER (Green) in the hippocampal CA1 region revealed that ischemia/reperfusion (IR) 14d induced a robust enhancement of Iba1 immunofluorescence intensity as well as higher co-localization (Yellow) of Iba1 with GPER than that in non-ischemic (sham) animals. G1 administration markedly attenuated the Iba1 immunofluorescence intensity and the co-localization of Iba1 with GPER, while G36, a GPER antagonist, reversed the effect of G1 on Iba1 immunofluorescence intensity and co-localization of Iba1 with GPER in the hippocampal CA1 region after 14d reperfusion. DAPI (Blue) staining was used to visualize the nucleus of cells. Furthermore, double-immunofluorescent staining of GPER (Green) with NeuN (a marker of survival neurons, Red) indicated that G1-treatment enhanced GPER immunoreactive levels in neurons in the hippocampal CA1

region (**Figure 1D**). We next examined the effect of GPER activation upon apoptotic cell death in the hippocampal CA1 region at 14d reperfusion after GCI. G1 effect upon apoptosis in the hippocampal CA1 region at IR 14d was investigated using NeuN staining (Green) and TUNEL analysis (Red) and quantification of the results is provided in **Figure 1E, F & G**. As expected, the number of survival neurons (NeuN-positive cells) was significantly decreased by G1 treatment, while the number of apoptotic cells (TUNEL-positive cells) were markedly increased compared to IR group animals, and G36 administration significantly abolished the anti-apoptotic effects of G1. Altogether, the results indicate that GPER exerts an anti-inflammatory regulatory effect that may contribute to its neuroprotective effect after GCI.

NLRP3-ASC Inflammasome Activation in the Hippocampal CA1 Region after GCI Is Decreased by GPER Activation

The NLRP3 inflammasome is a major mediator of inflammation that is known to be activated by oxidative stress and inflammatory damage signals, resulting in caspase-1-dependent secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β [27, 28]. We hypothesized that GPER signaling may regulate NLRP3 inflammasome activation as one of its anti-inflammatory mechanisms in the brain. To explore our hypothesis, we examined protein expression of the inflammasome components, NLRP3 and ASC in the hippocampal CA1 region at 14d reperfusion. Representative photomicrographs for double immunofluorescent staining for NLRP3 (Green) and Iba1 (Red) are shown in **Figure 2A**. The results show that the immunofluorescent intensity of NLRP3 and Iba1 are robustly enhanced and strongly colocalized in the IR 14d group, as compared to sham control animals. G1 treatment significantly attenuated the GCI enhancement and co-localization of NLRP3 and Iba1 levels, whereas pretreatment with G36 significantly reversed the G1 effects. Likewise, in **Figure 2B**, double immunofluorescent staining of ASC with CD11b, another marker of microglia showed a similar pattern, with robust elevation in protein levels of ASC and CD11b, as well as very strong co-localization of the two proteins at reperfusion 14d, as compared to sham group animals. G1 treatment significantly attenuated the GCI enhancement and co-localization of ASC and CD11b, whereas pretreatment with G36 significantly reversed the G1 effects. Furthermore, Western blot analysis results for NLRP3 and ASC (**Figure 2C**) closely mirrored the findings from immunofluorescent staining of NLRP3 and ASC, as G1 prevented the elevation of NLRP3 and ASC on IR 14d, and G36 reversed this effect. It is well established that the recruitment and binding of ASC by NLRP3 is an absolute prerequisite for the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. Therefore, we performed an *in vivo* Duolink II *in situ* proximity ligation assay using primary antibodies for NLRP3 and ASC to detect the interaction between the two proteins. In **Figure 2D**, Duolink puncta (Red) in the representative photomicrographs indicates the interaction of NLRP3 with ASC in the hippocampal CA1 region. The results showed that G1 treatment significantly decreased Duolink puncta, as compared with the IR 14d groups. However pretreatment with G36 caused Duolink puncta to be increased again, reversing the effect induced by G1 administration. DAPI staining (Blue) was also used to visualize the nucleus of cells. As a whole, the findings suggest that GPER decreases protein expression of NLRP3 and ASC, and prevents the oligomerization of ASC and NLRP3 necessary for activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome after GCI.

GPER Activation Inhibits “Active” Caspase 1 and IL1 β Expression in the Hippocampal CA1 Region after GCI

It has been demonstrated previously that the NLRP3-ASC complex binds caspase 1, thus forming an active inflammasome complex (NLRP3, ASC, and Cleaved caspase-1) that produces IL1 β . The IL1 β precursor is cleaved by “active” caspase 1 (Cle-caspase 1) to form mature IL1 β (Cleaved IL1 β , Cle-IL1 β) [27, 29, 30]. Thus, next we investigated whether GPER activation could suppress activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome complex after GCI. As shown in **Figure 3A, B & C**, Western blot analysis revealed that G1 markedly suppressed protein levels of activated caspase 1 (cle-caspase 1), cle-IL1 β , and CD11b, as compared with the R14d group, while G36 reversed the effects in the hippocampal CA1 region. Furthermore, double immunofluorescent staining for cle-IL1 β (Green) and CD11b (Red) confirmed the above Western blot analysis results, and showed that cle-IL1 β was strongly co-localization with CD11b in IR 14d and G1+G36 groups, as compared to sham or G1 groups in the hippocampal CA1 region. These findings suggest that NLRP3 inflammasome activation occurs predominately in activated microglia and is suppressed by GPER activation.

GPER Regulates Expression of Endogenous IL1 β Receptor Antagonist (IL1RA) in the Hippocampal CA1 Region after GCI

In addition to suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation, we hypothesized that GPER activation might also exert anti-inflammatory effects by regulating expression of IL1RA, an endogenous IL1 β receptor antagonist. We thus examined IL1RA protein expression in the hippocampal CA1 region using immunofluorescent staining and Western blot analysis. Representative photomicrographs of double immunofluorescent staining results for IL1RA (Green) and CD11b (Red), or IL1RA (Green) and NeuN (Red) in the hippocampal CA1 region are shown in **Figure 4A & B**. The results reveal that IL1RA immunoreactive levels are strongly decreased in the IR 14d group as compared to sham controls. Interestingly, G1 strongly up-regulated IL1RA immunoreactive protein levels, as compared to the IR 14d group, and G36 treatment prevented this effect of G1, while in the IR 14d group, the IL1RA immunoreactive protein present was found to be co-localized with CD11b in microglia. In contrast, using NeuN as a neuronal marker, we found that G1 strongly up-regulated IL1RA immunoreactive protein levels in hippocampal CA1 neurons, and this effect was blocked by G36 (**Figure 4A & B**). Western blot results for IL1RA showed that G1 treatment significantly elevated IL1RA protein levels compared with the IR 14d group, and G36 reversed this effect of G1 (**Figure 4C**). The specificity of the IL1RA antibody used in the study was confirmed by pre-incubation with or without blocking peptide of IL1RA. Western blot for IL1RA using samples from hippocampal CA1 region of sham animals are shown in **Figure 4D**. The results demonstrated that in the absence of blocking peptide pretreatment there was a clear band for IL1RA at around 25KDa (left line). In contrast, pretreatment with blocking peptide completely blocked the 25KDa band of IL1RA. In addition, the blocking peptide perfectly abolished staining for IL1RA in the hippocampal CA1 region (Green, **Figure 4E**), DAPI (Red) staining was used to visualize the nucleus of cells. The results demonstrate the specificity of the IL1RA antibody. They also demonstrate that G1 strongly induces IL1RA immunoreactive

protein levels after GCI in neurons in the hippocampal CA1 region, thus potentially “armoring” the neurons against IL1 β -induced inflammatory damage and cell death.

IL1RA Knockdown Abolishes the Anti-inflammasome Effect Induced by GPER Activation in the Hippocampal CA1 Region following GCI

We next examined the role of IL1RA in GPER regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome after GCI. To accomplish this, we centrally administered IL1RA antisense oligonucleotides (IL1RA-AS) into the lateral ventricle to knockdown IL1RA in the hippocampal CA1 region. First, we investigated the effectiveness of IL1RA-AS using G1-treated samples. Western blot analysis results for IL1RA are shown in **Figure 5A**, which revealed that IL1RA protein expression was markedly decreased by IL1RA-AS, as compared to the G1+MS control group in the hippocampal CA1 region, thus validating the knockdown effectiveness of the IL1RA-AS. We next determined the effect of IL1RA-AS on the ability of G1 to regulate protein expression of CD11b, NLRP3 and Cle-IL1 β . As shown in **Figure 5B & C**, Western blot analysis indicated that G1-treatment (G1+MS) significantly decreased both NLRP3 and cle-IL1 β protein expression in the hippocampal CA1 region, as compared with the IR 3d group, and this effect was profoundly reversed by IL1RA-AS treatment. Furthermore double immunofluorescence staining for NLRP3 (or cle-IL1 β) with CD11b showed that similar to IR 3d group animals, IL1RA-AS administration animals had a markedly enhanced number of CD11b+ microglia (Red) with fewer and much thicker increase in the size of the cell bodies in the CA1 region, while G1+MS control animals displayed very few CD11b+ microglia with a small cell body and elaborated thin processes (**Figure 5D & E**). As expected, immuno-expression of NLRP3 was in accordance with the change of CD11b, and co-localization of NLRP3 (Green) with CD11b (Red) (**Figure 5D**) or cle-IL1 β (Green) with CD11b (Red) (**Figure 5E**) was seen in IR 3d and IL1RA-AS treatment groups rather than in G1+MS control and sham groups. As a whole, the results suggest that IL1RA may be an important factor in mediating anti-inflammasome activities of GPER following GCI in the hippocampal CA1 region.

G1 Inhibits NF- κ B-P65 Nuclear Recruitment in an IL1RA-dependent Manner

NF κ B is a major transcription factor that has been implicated as a critical regulator of gene expression in inflammation, particularly in IL-1 production and secretion [31]. Nuclear migration of P65, a subunit of NF κ B is pivotal for its activity, and there is evidence that inhibition of NF κ B inhibits de novo pro-IL1 β [32, 33]. We thus examined the effects of G1 on p65 nucleus translocation at IR 3d and further elucidated whether IL1RA is a critical mediator of the process. As shown in **Figure 6A-B**, Western blot analysis indicated that p65 protein levels were significantly decreased in the cytoplasm fraction at IR3d compared to sham group, and G1 treatment prevented the decrease. An opposite pattern was observed in the nuclear fraction with p65 protein levels showing robust enhancement in the IR 3d group, as compared to the G1+MS control group. Intriguingly, IL1RA-AS profoundly increased p65 protein levels compared to G1+MS control, although there was no statistical change in the cytoplasm fraction between the two groups. Additionally, Western Blot of GAPDH and H2A from both the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments of sham samples demonstrated the purity of both subcellular fractions (Figure 6A).

Immunofluorescent staining for NFkB-p65 (Red) , Iba1 (Green) and DAPI (Blue) (**Figure 6C**) showed robust enhancement of p65 in Iba1+ microglia cells and obviously nuclear expression in IR 3d and IL1RA-AS groups, as compared to sham and G1+MS groups. (Figure 6D) Colocalization analysis of NFkB-P65 and Iba1 was carried out by Pearson Correlation Coefficient. The results indicated that IL1 cleavage was partly due to NFkB activity. As a whole, the results indicate that G1 regulates NFkB/p65 protein nuclear translocation in an IL1RA-dependent manner.

Central Knockdown of IL1RA Protein Abolishes the G1-Induced Anti-Apoptotic Effect in the Hippocampal CA1 Region after GCI

Neuroinflammation is known to occur in parallel with mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis. Therefore, we next sought to determine whether G1 regulated mitochondrial apoptosis signaling in the current study, and whether this was dependent upon IL1RA. Western blot analysis showed that Bcl2, an anti-apoptotic factor was significantly enhanced by G1, as compared to IR 3d, and IL1RA-AS knockdown attenuated this effect. Cleaved caspase 3, which is known as an apoptotic executor, displayed an opposite pattern as that of Bcl2 (**Figure 7 A & B**). The transcription factor, CREB is known to regulate IL1RA expression in the brain [34]. Examination of CREB phosphorylation by Western blot analysis showed that G1-treatment significantly enhanced CREB phosphorylation (activation) compared to IR 3d group, while IL1RA knockdown markedly abolished the effect (**Figure 7C**). Double-immunofluorescence staining for NeuN and p-CREB mirrored the Western blot results, revealing that G1 enhanced p-CREB in hippocampal CA1 region neurons, and that IL1RA-AS reversed this effect (**Figure 7D**). Next, apoptosis detection was carried out using TUNEL analysis, and at the same time NeuN immunofluorescence staining was performed as a measure of neuronal survival in the hippocampal CA1 region. **Figure 7E** shows the representative photographs of NeuN (Green) and TUNEL (Red) staining in indicated groups, while semi-quantitative analysis of the staining is shown in **Figure 7F & G**. The results revealed that G1+MS prevented neuron apoptosis and increased the number of surviving neurons as compared to IR 3d, whereas IL1RA-AS significantly abolished these neuroprotective and anti-apoptotic effects of G1. Taken together, the findings suggest that GPER activation enhances the defense mechanism in hippocampal neurons after GCI, and that this effect requires upregulation of IL1RA.

Central Knockdown of IL1RA Reverses G1-induced Cognitive Enhancement Following GCI

We next examined whether G1 treatment preserved hippocampal-dependent cognitive function following GCI, and whether this effect was dependent upon upregulation of IL1RA. We used the Barnes maze to examine hippocampal-dependent cognitive function at 7-10d after reperfusion as it is a widely used test to assess spatial reference learning and memory [35, 36]. As shown in **Figure 8A**, there was no significant change on day 1 of the latency trial among the groups, while on day 2 and day 3, reperfusion 9 d resulted in a significant increase in latency to find the target hole (**Figure 8A**), as compared to the sham group, with a significant decrease in the time spent in the escape box quadrant (**Figure 8B**). On day 2 of the latency trial, as compared to IR group, G1 administration decreased the time to find the escape box but this was not statistically significant, while on day 3, G1 significantly decreased the time to find the target

hole (**Figure 8A**) and increased the time spent in exploring the quadrant where the escape box had been (**Figure 8B**). Importantly, administration of either the GPER antagonist G36 or IL1RA-AS reversed the cognitive improvements induced by G1, as evidenced by G36- and IL1RA-AS-treated animals exhibiting a significantly enhanced latency to find the target hole, and significantly decreased time spent in the quadrant where the escape box had been, as compared to only G1-treated animals (**8A & B**). Further studies revealed no difference in the exploring speed of the animals (**Figure 8C**). Representative tracings indicating sample paths of the rats from the latency trial and probe trial are shown in **Figure 8D & E**.

In order to further address the cognitive improvement effects of G1-induced IL1RA signaling, we next performed the novel object recognition test to assess hippocampus-dependent working memory in the rats [37]. **Figure 9A** shows the protocol of the training day (reperfusion 13d) presenting two identical objects in the box, and the testing day (reperfusion 14d) presenting one familiar object (Fa-ob) and a novel object (Nov-ob). **Figure 9B** demonstrates that the time spent by each group of animals in exploring the two objects had no significant differences on training day. However, on testing day, sham and G1-treated animals spent a significantly longer time exploring the novel object than the familiar object. In contrast, the time spent on the familiar and novel objects in IR, G1+G36-treated and G1+IL1RA-AS-treated animals showed no statistically significant differences (**Figure 9C**). Notably, the increased discrimination index of G1 was profoundly decreased by G36 and IL1RA-AS, demonstrating that the effective role of G1 in improving long-term learning and recognition memory following GCI is mediated by GPER and IL1RA (**Figure 9D**). Representative tracings indicating sample paths of the rats from testing day are shown in **Figure 9E**.

Discussion

The current study provides several important findings. First, it demonstrates that GPER activation exerts potent anti-inflammatory effects in the hippocampus after GCI to reduce microglia activation, suppress NLRP3 inflammasome activation and downstream active IL-1 β generation and signaling. Secondly, it demonstrates that GPER activation enhances defense mechanisms in neurons by profoundly upregulating the anti-inflammatory protein, IL1RA in neurons in the hippocampal CA1 region after GCI. Thirdly, it demonstrates that IL1RA is critical for mediating GPER's anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, anti-apoptotic and cognitive-preserving effects after GCI.

The results of our study demonstrate a profound anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effect of GPER activation in the hippocampal CA1 region of ovariectomized rats after GCI. Our data suggest that these effects of GPER activation are mediated through effects on both neurons and microglia. Indeed, GPER was shown in this study and other studies to be strongly expressed in both neurons and microglia in the hippocampus and other brain areas [14, 38–41]. To our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate that administration of the GPER agonist, G1 to ovariectomized rats can markedly decrease protein expression and prevent the oligomerization of ASC and NLRP3 in microglia in the hippocampal CA1 region after GCI. These effects of G1 were reversed by the GPER antagonist G36, demonstrating the critical role of GPER for the anti-inflammatory actions of G1 in the hippocampus. The suppression of

NLRP3 and ASC expression and oligomerization by G1 after GCI was correlated with significant inhibition of IL-1 β , a major proinflammatory product of the NLRP3 inflammasome. Elevation of IL-1 β in the brain has been shown to inhibit synaptic strength and long-term potentiation in vivo [42], and its administration in vitro is neurotoxic [42]. In addition, treatment with an IL-1 β neutralizing antibody has been shown to enhance functional cognitive recovery after GCI [43]. In agreement with these results, NLRP3 knockout mice and NLRP3 inhibitor-treated mice have likewise been shown to have significantly reduced infarct size and improved neurological outcome after cerebral ischemia [44–46]. Based on these findings, we propose that GPER inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1 β in microglia could contribute, in part, to the anti-apoptotic and cognitive-preserving effects of GPER after GCI.

In addition to its anti-inflammatory effects upon microglia, our study identified an additional novel mechanism by which GPER activation could potentially “armor” healthy neurons from inflammatory insults after cerebral ischemia. Using the agonist G1, we showed that GPER activation can markedly elevate expression of IL1RA in hippocampal neurons after GCI. IL1RA has been identified as a natural physiologically occurring negative regulator of inflammation that protects cells from insult [47, 48]. Thus, G1 induction of IL1RA in hippocampal neurons could serve to protect hippocampal neurons against the damaging effects of IL-1 β after GCI. In support of this possibility, our study found that knockdown of IL1RA via central administration of IL1RA antisense oligonucleotides significantly attenuated the neuroprotective, anti-apoptotic and cognitive-enhancing effects of G1 after GCI. This finding suggests that the elevation of IL1RA by G1 is essential for GPER’s beneficial neuroprotective and cognitive effects after GCI.

Our finding of G1 enhancing IL1RA in neurons is intriguing as most studies have identified IL1RA as being a microglia-generated protein [49, 50], and few have ever studied its expression in neurons. However, treatment with a FDA-approved lipid-lowering drug, gemfibrozil, has been previously reported to directly upregulate IL1RA in mouse cortical neurons in vitro, and this effect strongly protected the neurons from IL-1 β insult [34]. Furthermore, gemfibrozil induction of IL1RA in neurons was dependent upon enhanced PI3K-Akt signaling and CREB-regulated transcription of IL1RA [34]. We propose that GPER activation may directly regulate IL1RA in neurons via the same mechanism as we previously reported that G1 rapidly elevates PI3K-Akt signaling in hippocampal neurons after GCI [5], and the current study showed that GPER activation also enhanced CREB activation, as indicated by its increased phosphorylation. Consistent with this suggestion, previous studies have confirmed that GPER is expressed in extranuclear locations in hippocampal neurons, such as the plasma membrane, endoplasmic reticulum and in dendrites [39, 51], and thus is well positioned to exert rapid extranuclear signaling. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that G1 could act indirectly in another cell type to induce IL1RA in neurons.

Finally, in addition to blocking the neuroprotective effect of G1, we found that IL1RA knockdown also attenuated the anti-inflammatory effect of G1 after GCI. Specifically, G1 ability to suppress NLRP3, cleaved IL-1 β , and NF κ B activation in the hippocampal CA1 region after GCI was significantly attenuated by IL1RA knockdown. While the mechanism of this effect remains to be elucidated, we propose that these

effects could be due to loss of G1-induced IL1RA “armoring” of neurons, leading to their increased damage and/or demise and release of signals that can activate the NLRP3 inflammasome. In support of this possibility, neuronal damage is known to induce release of danger associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as ATP, ROS, DNA, HMGB1 that can bind to Toll Like Receptors (TLRs) or other receptors on microglia and induce NLRP3 inflammasome activation and active IL-1 β production in microglia [52, 53]. Thus, the increased release of DAMPs by damaged neurons could lead to microglia activation and explain why the anti-inflammatory effects of G1 were reversed by IL1RA knockdown.

Conclusion

The findings of this study shed new light on the role and underlying mechanisms of GPER control of neuroinflammation after GCI and the potential contribution of these effects to the neuroprotective actions of GPER. As such, our study demonstrates for the first time that GPER’s anti-inflammasome, anti-apoptotic and cognitive-preserving effects in the hippocampal CA1 region after GCI involve up-regulation and mediation by the potent anti-inflammatory factor, IL1RA.

Abbreviations

(GPER/GPR30): G-protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor; GCI: global cerebral ischemia; IL1RA: interleukin-1 β receptor antagonist; NLRP3: NACHT-, LRR- and PYD-containing Protein 3; NF κ B: nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; ASC: apoptosis-associated speck-like protein; E2: 17 β -estradiol; IL-1 β : interleukin-1 β ; 4-VO: four-vessel occlusion; CAA: common carotid arteries; CREB: cAMP-response element binding protein; NF κ B: nuclear factor κ B; PLA: proximity ligation assay; NOR: Novel Object Recognition.

Declarations

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

All procedures used in this study were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of North China University of Science (Ref. 2016047) and Technology and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for animal research of National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of supporting data

All data generated or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (81671223) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, U.S. National Institutes of Health (NS050730). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding bodies.

Authors' contributions

RM and DB conceived the study. RM, NB, QZ, WZ and BL designed and carried out the experiments, analyzed the data. RM, FY and DB wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1. Neumann JT, Cohan CH, Dave KR, Wright CB, Perez-Pinzon MA: Global cerebral ischemia: synaptic and cognitive dysfunction. *Curr Drug Targets* 2013, 14:20-35.
2. Sekhon MS, Ainslie PN, Griesdale DE: Clinical pathophysiology of hypoxic ischemic brain injury after cardiac arrest: a "two-hit" model. *Crit Care* 2017, 21:90.
3. Pulsinelli WA, Brierley JB, Plum F: Temporal profile of neuronal damage in a model of transient forebrain ischemia. *Ann Neurol* 1982, 11:491-498.
4. Kim YM, Yim HW, Jeong SH, Klem ML, Callaway CW: Does therapeutic hypothermia benefit adult cardiac arrest patients presenting with non-shockable initial rhythms?: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized studies. *Resuscitation* 2012, 83:188-196.
5. Tang H, Zhang Q, Yang L, Dong Y, Khan M, Yang F, Brann DW, Wang R: GPR30 mediates estrogen rapid signaling and neuroprotection. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2014, 387:52-58.
6. Lebesgue D, Traub M, De Butte-Smith M, Chen C, Zukin RS, Kelly MJ, Etgen AM: Acute administration of non-classical estrogen receptor agonists attenuates ischemia-induced hippocampal neuron loss in middle-aged female rats. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e8642.
7. Lebesgue D, Chevalyere V, Zukin RS, Etgen AM: Estradiol rescues neurons from global ischemia-induced cell death: multiple cellular pathways of neuroprotection. *Steroids* 2009, 74:555-561.
8. Prossnitz ER, Barton M: The G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER in health and disease. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2011, 7:715-726.

9. Prossnitz ER, Barton M: Signaling, physiological functions and clinical relevance of the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 2009, 89:89-97.
10. Thomas P, Pang Y, Filardo EJ, Dong J: Identity of an estrogen membrane receptor coupled to a G protein in human breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* 2005, 146:624-632.
11. Alexander A, Irving AJ, Harvey J: Emerging roles for the novel estrogen-sensing receptor GPER1 in the CNS. *Neuropharmacology* 2017, 113:652-660.
12. Dennis MK, Field AS, Burai R, Ramesh C, Petrie WK, Bologna CG, Oprea TI, Yamaguchi Y, Hayashi S, Sklar LA, et al: Identification of a GPER/GPR30 antagonist with improved estrogen receptor counterselectivity. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2011, 127:358-366.
13. Bologna CG, Revankar CM, Young SM, Edwards BS, Arterburn JB, Kiselyov AS, Parker MA, Tkachenko SE, Savchuck NP, Sklar LA, et al: Virtual and biomolecular screening converge on a selective agonist for GPR30. *Nat Chem Biol* 2006, 2:207-212.
14. Zhao TZ, Ding Q, Hu J, He SM, Shi F, Ma LT: GPER expressed on microglia mediates the anti-inflammatory effect of estradiol in ischemic stroke. *Brain Behav* 2016, 6:e00449.
15. Singhal G, Jaehne EJ, Corrigan F, Toben C, Baune BT: Inflammasomes in neuroinflammation and changes in brain function: a focused review. *Front Neurosci* 2014, 8:315.
16. Heneka MT, Kummer MP, Stutz A, Delekate A, Schwartz S, Vieira-Saecker A, Griep A, Axt D, Remus A, Tzeng TC, et al: NLRP3 is activated in Alzheimer's disease and contributes to pathology in APP/PS1 mice. *Nature* 2013, 493:674-678.
17. Martinon F, Burns K, Tschopp J: The inflammasome: a molecular platform triggering activation of inflammatory caspases and processing of proIL-beta. *Mol Cell* 2002, 10:417-426.
18. Leemans JC, Cassel SL, Sutterwala FS: Sensing damage by the NLRP3 inflammasome. *Immunol Rev* 2011, 243:152-162.
19. Sun M, Brady RD, Wright DK, Kim HA, Zhang SR, Sobey CG, Johnstone MR, O'Brien TJ, Semple BD, McDonald SJ, Shultz SR: Treatment with an interleukin-1 receptor antagonist mitigates neuroinflammation and brain damage after polytrauma. *Brain Behav Immun* 2017, 66:359-371.
20. Pang Y, Tien LT, Zhu H, Shen J, Wright CF, Jones TK, Mamoon SA, Bhatt AJ, Cai Z, Fan LW: Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist reduces neonatal lipopolysaccharide-induced long-lasting neurobehavioral deficits and dopaminergic neuronal injury in adult rats. *Int J Mol Sci* 2015, 16:8635-8654.
21. Craft JM, Watterson DM, Hirsch E, Van Eldik LJ: Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist knockout mice show enhanced microglial activation and neuronal damage induced by intracerebroventricular infusion of human beta-amyloid. *J Neuroinflammation* 2005, 2:15.
22. Tu J, Zhang X, Zhu Y, Dai Y, Li N, Yang F, Zhang Q, Brann DW, Wang R: Cell-Permeable Peptide Targeting the Nrf2-Keap1 Interaction: A Potential Novel Therapy for Global Cerebral Ischemia. *J Neurosci* 2015, 35:14727-14739.
23. Zhang QG, Raz L, Wang R, Han D, De Sevilla L, Yang F, Vadlamudi RK, Brann DW: Estrogen attenuates ischemic oxidative damage via an estrogen receptor alpha-mediated inhibition of NADPH oxidase

- activation. *J Neurosci* 2009, 29:13823-13836.
24. Thakkar R, Wang R, Sareddy G, Wang J, Thiruvaiyaru D, Vadlamudi R, Zhang Q, Brann D: NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation in the Brain after Global Cerebral Ischemia and Regulation by 17beta-Estradiol. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2016, 2016:8309031.
 25. Lu Y, Dong Y, Tucker D, Wang R, Ahmed ME, Brann D, Zhang Q: Treadmill Exercise Exerts Neuroprotection and Regulates Microglial Polarization and Oxidative Stress in a Streptozotocin-Induced Rat Model of Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017, 56:1469-1484.
 26. Rosenzweig ES, Barnes CA: Impact of aging on hippocampal function: plasticity, network dynamics, and cognition. *Prog Neurobiol* 2003, 69:143-179.
 27. Abderrazak A, Syrovets T, Couchie D, El Hadri K, Friguet B, Simmet T, Rouis M: NLRP3 inflammasome: from a danger signal sensor to a regulatory node of oxidative stress and inflammatory diseases. *Redox Biol* 2015, 4:296-307.
 28. Abderrazak A, Couchie D, Mahmood DF, Elhage R, Vindis C, Laffargue M, Mateo V, Buchele B, Ayala MR, El Gaafary M, et al: Anti-inflammatory and antiatherogenic effects of the NLRP3 inflammasome inhibitor arglabin in ApoE2.Ki mice fed a high-fat diet. *Circulation* 2015, 131:1061-1070.
 29. Yu J, Nagasu H, Murakami T, Hoang H, Broderick L, Hoffman HM, Horng T: Inflammasome activation leads to Caspase-1-dependent mitochondrial damage and block of mitophagy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, 111:15514-15519.
 30. Hoffman HM, Wanderer AA: Inflammasome and IL-1beta-mediated disorders. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2010, 10:229-235.
 31. Hiscott J, Marois J, Garoufalos J, D'Addario M, Roulston A, Kwan I, Pepin N, Lacoste J, Nguyen H, Bensi G, et al.: Characterization of a functional NF-kappa B site in the human interleukin 1 beta promoter: evidence for a positive autoregulatory loop. *Mol Cell Biol* 1993, 13:6231-6240.
 32. Klenke C, Widera D, Engelen T, Muller J, Noll T, Niehaus K, Schmitz ML, Kaltschmidt B, Kaltschmidt C: Hsc70 is a novel interactor of NF-kappaB p65 in living hippocampal neurons. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e65280.
 33. Mattson MP, Camandola S: NF-kappaB in neuronal plasticity and neurodegenerative disorders. *J Clin Invest* 2001, 107:247-254.
 34. Corbett GT, Roy A, Pahan K: Gemfibrozil, a lipid-lowering drug, upregulates IL-1 receptor antagonist in mouse cortical neurons: implications for neuronal self-defense. *J Immunol* 2012, 189:1002-1013.
 35. Zheng JF, Patil SS, Chen WQ, An W, He JQ, Hoyer H, Lubec G: Hippocampal protein levels related to spatial memory are different in the Barnes maze and in the multiple T-maze. *J Proteome Res* 2009, 8:4479-4486.
 36. Patil SS, Sunyer B, Hoyer H, Lubec G: Evaluation of spatial memory of C57BL/6J and CD1 mice in the Barnes maze, the Multiple T-maze and in the Morris water maze. *Behav Brain Res* 2009, 198:58-68.
 37. Antunes M, Biala G: The novel object recognition memory: neurobiology, test procedure, and its modifications. *Cogn Process* 2012, 13:93-110.

38. Waters EM, Thompson LI, Patel P, Gonzales AD, Ye HZ, Filardo EJ, Clegg DJ, Gorecka J, Akama KT, McEwen BS, Milner TA: G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 is anatomically positioned to modulate synaptic plasticity in the mouse hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 2015, 35:2384-2397.
39. Akama KT, Thompson LI, Milner TA, McEwen BS: Post-synaptic density-95 (PSD-95) binding capacity of G-protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30), an estrogen receptor that can be identified in hippocampal dendritic spines. *J Biol Chem* 2013, 288:6438-6450.
40. Matsuda K, Sakamoto H, Mori H, Hosokawa K, Kawamura A, Itose M, Nishi M, Prossnitz ER, Kawata M: Expression and intracellular distribution of the G protein-coupled receptor 30 in rat hippocampal formation. *Neurosci Lett* 2008, 441:94-99.
41. Brailoiu E, Dun SL, Brailoiu GC, Mizuo K, Sklar LA, Oprea TI, Prossnitz ER, Dun NJ: Distribution and characterization of estrogen receptor G protein-coupled receptor 30 in the rat central nervous system. *J Endocrinol* 2007, 193:311-321.
42. Bellinger FP, Madamba S, Siggins GR: Interleukin 1 beta inhibits synaptic strength and long-term potentiation in the rat CA1 hippocampus. *Brain Res* 1993, 628:227-234.
43. Zhao B, Zou CJ, Zhou P: Delayed administration IL-1beta neutralizing antibody improves cognitive function after transient global ischemia in rats. *Behav Brain Res* 2016, 303:53-60.
44. !!! INVALID CITATION !!!
45. Ismael S, Zhao L, Nasoohi S, Ishrat T: Inhibition of the NLRP3-inflammasome as a potential approach for neuroprotection after stroke. *Sci Rep* 2018, 8:5971.
46. Yang F, Wang Z, Wei X, Han H, Meng X, Zhang Y, Shi W, Li F, Xin T, Pang Q, Yi F: NLRP3 deficiency ameliorates neurovascular damage in experimental ischemic stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2014, 34:660-667.
47. Vogt C, Hailer NP, Ghadban C, Korf HW, Dehghani F: Successful inhibition of excitotoxic neuronal damage and microglial activation after delayed application of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. *J Neurosci Res* 2008, 86:3314-3321.
48. Arend WP, Malyak M, Guthridge CJ, Gabay C: Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist: role in biology. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1998, 16:27-55.
49. Pousset F, Palin K, Verrier D, Bristow A, Dantzer R, Parnet P, Lestage J: Production of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist isoforms by microglia in mixed rat glial cells stimulated by lipopolysaccharide. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 2000, 11:682-689.
50. Eriksson C, Nobel S, Winblad B, Schultzberg M: Expression of interleukin 1 alpha and beta, and interleukin 1 receptor antagonist mRNA in the rat central nervous system after peripheral administration of lipopolysaccharides. *Cytokine* 2000, 12:423-431.
51. Funakoshi T, Yanai A, Shinoda K, Kawano MM, Mizukami Y: G protein-coupled receptor 30 is an estrogen receptor in the plasma membrane. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006, 346:904-910.
52. Zhou K, Shi L, Wang Y, Chen S, Zhang J: Recent Advances of the NLRP3 Inflammasome in Central Nervous System Disorders. *J Immunol Res* 2016, 2016:9238290.

53. Fleshner M, Frank M, Maier SF: Danger Signals and Inflammasomes: Stress-Evoked Sterile Inflammation in Mood Disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2017, 42:36-45.

Figures



Figure 1

GPER activation attenuates neuroinflammation in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI and enhances neuronal survival. (A) Representative images of double-immunofluorescent staining for GPER (Green) and Iba1 (Red) in indicated groups, (yellow) indicating co-localization of GPER with Iba1, and DAPI (Blue) counter stains nuclei. Quantitative analysis of Iba1 intensity (B) and co-localization of GPER with Iba1 intensity (Ratio to sham) of hippocampal CA1 region (C). (D) Representative images of double-immunofluorescent staining for NeuN (Red) and GPER (Green) in indicated groups, which shows that G1 administration enhanced GPER immunoreactive levels in hippocampal neurons. (E) Representative photographs of NeuN staining (Green) and TUNEL (Red) in the indicated groups (reperfusion 14d). Quantification was performed by counting the number of NeuN-positive neurons (F) or TUNEL-positive (G) per 250 μm length in the medial CA1 pyramidal cell layer (). Magnifications are zooms of the boxed areas. Scale bar, 50 μm . n=7-8, *P<0.05 vs. IR group, #P<0.05 vs. G1-treated group. IR: ischemia reperfusion,



Figure 2

The GPER agonist, G1 attenuates protein expression of the NLRP3 inflammasome components in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI. (A) Immunofluorescent staining of NLRP3 (Green) and Iba1 (Red), (B) CD11b (Red) and ASC (Green), showing the increased intensity of NLRP3 and ASC in the IR14d group, as compared to the G1-treated group, and G36 reversed the effect. Co-expression of NLRP3 with Iba1 or ASC with CD11b is indicated as yellow in the merged photomicrographs. (C) Western Blot analysis of NLRP3 and ASC. Quantification was carried out according to the density of blot bands ratio to GAPDH. Data was expressed as means \pm SE. GAPDH was used as a loading control. n=4-7 (D) Duolink analysis showed the interaction (Red particles) of NLRP3 with ASC in both IR 14d and G36-treated groups compared to sham or G1 group, DAPI (Blue) counter staining nucleus. #P<0.05 vs IR 14d, ##P<0.05 vs G1-treated group, ###P<0.05 vs IR 14d group. magnification 40 \times , scale bar 50 μm .



Figure 3

The effects of the GPER agonist, G1 upon caspase 1 activation and IL1 β production in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI. (A-C) Western blot analysis for CD11b, a marker of activated microglia, Cle-cas1 (cleaved caspase 1) and cleaved IL1 β . Semi-quantitative analysis was carried out according to the band density of target protein ratio to that of loading control (GAPDH). Data was expressed as mean \pm SE. #P<0.05 vs G1-treated group, ##P<0.05 vs IR 14d group. (D) Double immunofluorescent staining for CD11b (Red) and Cleaved IL1b(Green), showing a strong increase in CD11b and Cleaved IL1b intensity in IR14d animals compared to the G1-treated group, while G36 reversed the increase. Scale bar 50 μ m, magnification 40 \times n= 4-5



Figure 4

The effects of the GPER agonist, G1 upon IL1RA immunoreactive protein levels in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI. (A) Representative images of double immunofluorescent staining for IL1RA (Green) and (B) CD11b (Red), IL1RA (Green) and NeuN (Red). Co-localization of IL1RA immunoreactive protein with the microglia marker, CD11b and neuronal survival marker, NeuN are shown in magnification images. DAPI (Blue) counter stain for the cell nucleus. Magnification 40 \times , Scale 50 μ m. (C) Western blot analysis for IL1RA. #P<0.05 vs IR 14d, ##P<0.05 vs G1-treated group. n=3-4. (D, E) The specificity of IL1RA antibody was addressed using Western blot and immunofluorescent staining of IL1RA, and DAPI (Red) counter stain for cell nucleus.



Figure 5

IL1RA knockdown abolishes the anti-inflammatory effects of GPER activation at 3d reperfusion in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI. (A) Western Blot analysis showed that IL1RA antisense oligodeoxynucleotide (AS) knockdown significantly decreased IL1RA protein expression. Samples for Western blot were from sham, IR, G1 plus IL1RA missense oligodeoxynucleotide (MS) and G1 plus AS. Antibodies of CD11b, NLRP3 (B), Cleaved-IL1 β (C) and loading control GAPDH. #P<0.05 vs. IR 3d group, ##P<0.05 vs. G1+MS group. n=4-5. Double staining for NLRP3 (Green) and CD11b (Red) (D) or Cleaved-IL1b (Green) and CD11b (Red) (E) in the indicated groups. Magnification 40 \times , scale bar 50 μ m.



Figure 6

IL1RA knockdown reverses G1 regulation of NF κ B signaling in the hippocampal CA1 region following GCI. (A-B) Western blot analysis for NF κ B p65 in cytoplasm and nucleus fractions, and GAPDH, H2A as the loading controls of cytoplasm and fraction, respectively. Western blot analysis for GAPDH or H2A demonstrated the purity of the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments using sham samples. (C) Immunofluorescent staining for NF κ B-p65 (Red), Iba1 (Green) and DAPI (Blue). Magnification 40 \times , scale

bar 50 μ m. (D) Colocalization analysis of NFkB-p65 and Iba1 by Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC). #P<0.05 vs. sham group, ##P<0.05 vs. IR 3d group, and ###P<0.05 vs. G1+MS group. n=4-5.



Figure 7

IL1RA knockdown abolishes the neuroprotective effect of G1 following GCI in the hippocampal CA1 region. (A) Western blot analysis of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl2, CREB activation and an executioner of apoptosis, cle-cas3 (cleaved caspase 3). (B) Semi-quantitative analysis was carried out according to the band density of Bcl2 or cle-cas3 and p-CREB (C) ratio to that of loading control (Tubulin). (D) Representative images of double-immunofluorescence staining for NeuN (Red) and p-CREB (Green). Data was expressed as mean \pm SE. #P<0.05 vs IR 3d, ##P<0.05 vs G1+MS-treated group. (E-G) Representative photomicrographs of NeuN staining (Green) and TUNEL analysis (Red) in the indicated groups. Magnification 40 \times , scale bar 50 μ m, n=4-5.



Figure 8

G1 enhancement of spatial learning and memory after GCI are abolished by G36 treatment or IL1RA knockdown. (A) Barnes maze was performed to examine spatial learning and memory. (A) The time (sec) spent finding target hole (TH) at day 7, 8 and 9 after ischemia insult; (B) Exploration time spent in the target quadrant (TQ) that initially contained the TH at day 10 following reperfusion; (C) moving speed of the rats in the probe trail on the fourth day of the test; (D) (E) Representative traces indicating the sample paths of the rats from the maze latency trials and probe trials. Data are expressed as mean \pm SE from five different animals. P<0.05 considered as statistic difference between the groups. 1: sham; 2: IR; 3: G1+G36; 4: G1; 5: G1+IL1RA-AS



Figure 9

G1 enhancement of reference memory after GCI is abolished by G36 treatment or IL1RA knockdown. The Novel Object Recognition (NOR) Test was performed following GCI. Five-minutes NOR tests at 13 and 14 days after 12 min ischemia were performed to monitor the long-term memory (A). (B) Time spent exploring the two familiar objectives on the training day. (C) The time spent exploring each object (familiar object and novel object) and (D) the discrimination index percentage (the time spent exploring novel object divided by total time spent). (E) Representative traces of the indicated groups on the testing day. All the data are expressed as means standard error from 6 to 7 animals in each group. *P<0.05 considered as statistic difference between the groups. n.s. no significant change. Ob: object; Fa-ob: familiar object; Nov-ob: novel object