

A multicenter study assessing the prevalence of germline genetic alterations in Chinese gastric cancer patients

Yinjie Zhang

Beijing Cancer Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4009-0771>

Yang Yang

Nanjing University Medical School Affiliated Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital

Qing Wei

Zhejiang Cancer Hospital

Ting Xu

Beijing Cancer Hospital

Xiaotian Zhang

Beijing Cancer Hospital

Jing Gao

Beijing Cancer Hospital

Changbin Zhu

BGI

Di Shao

BGI

Yang Ke

BGI

Siyi Tan

Nanjing University Medical School Affiliated Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital

Baorui Liu

Nanjing University Medical School Affiliated Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital

Jingdong Zhang

Liaoning Cancer Institute and Hospital

Xiaobing Chen

Henan Cancer Hospital

Zhaojie Wang

Henan Provincial People's Hospital

Meng Qiu

Sichuan University West China Hospital

Xin Wang

Xijing Hospital

Lin Shen (✉ lin100@medmail.com.cn)

Beijing Cancer Hospital

Xicheng Wang (✉ xicheng_wang@hotmail.com)

Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6073-2869>

Research article

Keywords: Familial gastric cancer, Next-generation sequencing, Germline mutation

Posted Date: December 16th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.18927/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Gastroenterology Report on May 29th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1093/gastro/goab020>.

Abstract

Background

Approximately 10% of patients with gastric cancer (GC) have a genetic predisposition for the disease. To date, knowledge regarding germline mutations in predisposing genes in the Chinese GC population is scarce. The aim of this study was to determine the spectrum and distribution of predisposing gene mutations among Chinese GC patients known to have hereditary high-risk factors for cancer. Forty patients from among ten families were recruited from seven medical institutions in China. Next-generation sequencing was performed on 171 genes associated with cancer predisposition. For probands with pathogenic/likely pathogenic germline variants, Sanger sequencing was used to validate the variants in the probands and their relatives.

Results

Sequencing indicated that 25% (10/40) of the patients carried a combined total of ten pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline variants involving nine different genes: *CDH1* (n = 1), *MLH1* (n = 1), *MSH2* (n = 1), *CHEK2* (n = 1), *BLM* (n = 1), *EXT2* (n = 1), *PALB2* (n = 1), *ERCC2* (n = 1), and *SPINK1* (n = 2). Five of these variants have not previously been reported. In addition, a total of 129 variants of uncertain significance were identified in 27 patients.

Conclusions

This study found that 25% of Chinese GC patients with hereditary high-risk factors have deleterious germline alterations. This result may indicate a unique genetic background of GC among Chinese patients.

Background

Gastric cancer (GC) is the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide[1]. Approximately 10% of GC cases are associated with strong familial clustering and can be attributed to genetic risk. It is now established that 1%–3% of GC cases arise as a result of inherited cancer predisposition syndromes including hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC), Lynch syndrome (LS), Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS), hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC), *MUTYH*-associated adenomatous polyposis (MAP), familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS), and PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome[2]. Since the discovery of the GC susceptibility gene *CDH1* in 1998[3], more than 20 GC-associated susceptibility genes have been identified, including *CDH1*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *PMS2*, *EPCAM*, *TP53*, *STK11*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *MUTYH*, *APC*, *SMAD4*, *BMPR1A*, and *PTEN*[4]. Nevertheless, because of the low incidence of individual predisposing gene mutations, conventional approaches such as Sanger sequencing may yield false-negative results as a result of limited coverage. However, multiple gene testing is now commercially and clinically available for cancer risk assessment because of the widespread application of next-generation sequencing (NGS). Especially for *CDH1*-negative families that do, however, meet the HDGC criteria, multiple gene sequencing of germline DNA can be used to identify novel variants and risk alleles of varying penetrance.

The marked differences in ethnicity, diet, and living habits between Chinese and Caucasian populations suggest that Western genetic screening guidelines may not be suitable for Eastern populations. To date, research on hereditary gastric cancer in the Chinese population has been scarce. It is important to identify patients with

genetic aberrations because these may influence their clinical management; however, the underlying genetic susceptibility to gastric cancer remains largely unknown. Thus, this study aimed to explore the frequency and spectrum of predisposing gene germline variants among Chinese GC patients with hereditary high-risk factors for cancer. For patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline variants, Sanger sequencing was applied to validate the variants in the probands and their family members.

Results

Patient cohort and characteristics

Forty GC patients and their families were recruited from seven medical centers (Supplementary Table S1) across six provinces in China. The clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The patients' median age at initial GC diagnosis was 37.5 years (range, 24–76 years), and 26 patients (65%) exhibited an advanced disease stage (III–IV) at diagnosis. The 40 GC cases were histologically segregated into 22 (55%) cases of adenocarcinoma, 16 (40%) of signet ring cell carcinoma, and 2 (5%) of mucinous adenocarcinoma. Overall, 29 (72.5%) patients had a family history of malignancies.

Pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline variants

Among the 40 patients, a total of 10 patients (25%) were found to carry pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants (Fig. 1a). Two probands carried mismatch repair (MMR) pathogenic variants (*MLH1* = 1, *MSH2* = 1), which are associated with Lynch syndrome. Three probands carried pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants of homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes (*BLM* = 1, *PALB2* = 1, *CHEK2* = 1). One proband had a *CDH1* variant associated with HDGC, which was, therefore, likely pathogenic. Another two probands had likely pathogenic variants of other genes known to be associated with a genetic predisposition to cancer (*EXT2* = 1, *ERCC2* = 1). Two patients were identified to bear *SPINK1* mutations that have not been reported previously (Fig. 1b). Patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are listed in Table 2.

Clinicopathological associations among mutation carriers

The enrollment criteria for these 40 patients are shown in details in Supplementary Table S2. No differences were found in the age of onset, family history or clinical stage between the patients carrying the pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants and patients with variants of uncertain significance (VUS). However, for patients with pathogenic/likely pathogenic mutations, multiple onset primary malignancies were significantly enriched (50% vs 7.69%, Fisher Exact $P = 0.0105$; see Table 3).

Variants of uncertain significance

Among the other 30 patients, a total of 129 VUS were identified in 27 patients (Supplementary Table S4), consisting of 111 missense variants, 3 frameshift variants, 3 splice variants, 7 non-frameshift deletions, 2 non-frameshift insertions, and 3 nonsense variants. Based on the ACMG 2015 guidelines[5] and eight in silico function predictors, 28 germline mutations were identified in 16 patients as putative high-risk VUS (Fig. 2). These included VUS of three DNA damage repair (DDR) genes (*EPCAM*, *MLH1*, and *CHEK2*).

Familial pedigrees and Sanger sequencing

For the ten probands carrying pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline variants, Sanger sequencing was performed to validate these variants in the probands and their first- and second-degree relatives. The familial pedigrees of probands with germline pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutations are shown in Fig. 3. The proband of the LZ2 family, harboring an *MLH1* pathogenic mutation, was diagnosed with seven metachronous tumors (descending colon cancer at age 41; gastric adenocarcinoma, endometrial cancer, and rectal cancer at age 45; ascending colon cancer at age 52; pleural fibrosarcoma at age 55; and esophageal fibrosarcoma at age 62). A large proportion of her family members suffered from colorectal cancer, which is a typical presentation of Lynch syndrome. Her son and two nephews did not carry the same variant based on Sanger sequencing verification (Fig. 3a). The proband of the BZ3 family, bearing the *MSH2* pathogenic mutation, was diagnosed with GC and endometrial cancer at age 68. All of her siblings were diagnosed with either colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, prostate cancer or endometrial cancer. Three children were verified as positive for the *MSH2* mutation by Sanger sequencing, and the eldest daughter was diagnosed with breast cancer at age 34 (Fig. 3b). The proband of the BZ5 family bearing the *CDH1* mutation was diagnosed with GC at age 34. His elder brother was diagnosed with GC at the same age. His mother and nephew harbored the same mutation (Fig. 3c). For patient BZ1 with subsequent onset of breast cancer and gastric cancer, the *ERCC2* mutation was discovered together with the *MUTYH* mutation. In addition, her mother was confirmed to have colorectal cancer and another first-degree relative was found to harbor breast cancer. However, her mother and son did not carry the same mutation (Fig. 3d). The proband of the NG5 family harboring the *BLM* likely pathogenic mutation was diagnosed with GC at age 25. The patient had a family history of cancer through his mother's lineage but his father harbored the same mutation as the patient instead of his mother, who was diagnosed with breast cancer at age 45 (Fig. 3e). The patient of the BZ13 family with early-onset GC harbored an *EXT2* likely pathogenic variant inherited from his mother. The patient had a family history of one second-degree relative with GC (Fig. 3f). The patient of the BZ16 family harboring the *CHEK2* likely pathogenic mutation was diagnosed with GC at age 25 and did not have a family history of cancer. His mother and twin brother had the same variant as verified by Sanger sequencing, while his father and aunt did not carry this variant (Fig. 3g). The proband of the XJ1 family harboring the *PALB2* mutation was diagnosed with GC at age 30 without a family history. The results of Sanger sequencing verification for his parents showed that this variant was inherited from his father (Fig. 3h). The probands of the BZ2 family and BZ10 family each harboring the *SPINK1* pathogenic mutation were both diagnosed with two primary cancers. The patient of the BZ2 family was diagnosed with renal clear cell carcinoma at age 62 and was subsequently diagnosed with breast cancer at age 63. He had a family history of cancer but his younger brother and younger sister did not carry the same variant based on Sanger sequencing verification (Fig. 3i). The patient of the BZ10 family was diagnosed with thyroid cancer at age 31 and GC at age 37 (Fig. 3j).

Discussion

Although research on hereditary GC in China is limited, investigations on other neoplasms such as breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and prostate cancer have suggested that the genetic spectrum of Chinese patients with hereditary tumors may be different from that in Caucasians. The heterogeneous clinical features of hereditary tumor syndromes and the atypical presentation of cancer family history hampers attempts to summarize and cluster genotypes and phenotypes with a traditional single-gene resolution approach. In this study, patients with hereditary high-risk factors for cancer were enrolled and clinical information including age of diagnosis, special histologic types, family history of malignant tumors, and microsatellite status was used to explore the frequency and spectrum of germline variants of cancer-predisposing genes. This is the first multicenter research study in

China aiming to reveal GC-related germline variants in *CDH1* and other putative cancer susceptibility genes through targeted next-generation sequencing of high-risk GC patients. This prospective study indicates that one in every four GC patients with hereditary high-risk factors may bear pathogenic/likely pathogenic cancer susceptibility gene variants. We identified deleterious germline variants involving nine different genes: *MLH1* (n = 1), *MSH2* (n = 1), *CDH1* (n = 1), *BLM* (n = 1), *PALB2* (n = 1), *EXT2* (n = 1), *CHEK2* (n = 1), *ERCC2* (n = 1), and *SPINK1* (n = 2).

Compared with previous studies, the present spectrum of germline variants derived from Chinese gastric patients demonstrated a distinct pattern. Although genes such as *CDH1*, *MSH2*, and *PALB2* were also identified in previous studies[6-9], there is a marked difference in the types of variants involved and other mutated loci were identified here for the first time.

We identified two pathogenic variants in the MMR genes, which are associated with Lynch syndrome (LS). First, the proband of the LZ2 family carried the *MLH1* splicing variant (c.790+1G>A), which results in the loss of amino acids 227–295 in the MLH1 protein and has been shown functionally to render MLH1 defective in mismatch repair activity[10]. This variant has been reported in individuals with LS and colorectal cancer[11, 12]. In addition, multiple clinical diagnostic laboratories/reputable databases classify this variant as pathogenic. Second, the proband of the BZ3 family carried the *MSH2* nonsense mutation (c.610G>T, p.G204*). Sheng et al.[13] detected this variant in one HNPCC family and classified it as a pathogenic mutation.

Both of the above families met the clinical criteria for LS. The lifetime risks for LS-associated cancers are highest for colorectal cancer at 52%–82%, followed by an endometrial cancer risk of 25%–60% in women, a 6%–13% risk for gastric cancer, and 4%–12% for ovarian cancer[14]. Both probands had multiple primary malignant tumors.

Clinically defined HDGC is characterized by early-onset, multigenerational diffuse GC and lobular breast cancer. Clinical criteria for HDGC were established by the International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium (IGCLC)[2]. *CDH1* is a cancer predisposition gene mutated in families meeting the criteria for clinically defined HDGC, with approximately 40% of HDGC families having germline mutations in *CDH1*. For example, Hansford et al.[8] identified 47 distinct pathogenic mutations in 183 index cases meeting the clinical criteria for HDGC (25.7%), and among these, 31 cases carried pathogenic *CDH1* mutations. The *CDH1* germline mutation rate is negatively correlated with the morbidity of GC worldwide. In countries with low morbidity, such as Canada, the United States, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands, the *CDH1* mutation rate can be as high as 51.6% in patients meeting the HDGC clinical criteria[15]. However, in Japan, which has the highest gastric cancer morbidity, the *CDH1* mutation rate is 15.4%[16]. There were 15 families meeting the HDGC clinical criteria of IGCLC2015 in our study but only one proband carried the *CDH1* gene germline mutation (c.1475_1479delGAGTG, p.V493Sfs*42). Thus, the *CDH1* mutation rate in our study was 6.7%.

The *ERCC2* missense mutation (c.1532G>A, p.R511Q) is not described in any of the queried databases, but it was predicted in silico to be pathogenic when using the DANN, GERP, dbNSFP, FATHMM, LRT, MetaLR, MetaSVM, MutationAssessor, MutationTaster, PROVEAN, and SIFT bioinformatic tools. Therefore, this variant was classified as likely pathogenic.

The *BLM* gene is the causative gene of Bloom syndrome (BS). Bloom syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by proportionate pre- and postnatal growth deficiency; sun sensitivity; telangiectatic, hypo-

and hyperpigmented skin; predisposition to malignancy; and chromosomal instability[17]. This variant was classified as likely pathogenic.

The *EXT2* gene is the causative gene of hereditary multiple exostoses (HME). HME is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by multiple exostoses most commonly arising from the juxtaepiphyseal region of the long bones[18]. The *EXT2* frameshift variant was classified as likely pathogenic.

The *CHEK2* variant (c.1553_1554insG, p.S518Rfs*7) is a well-described, lower penetrance mutation that is mainly associated with breast cancer but also colorectal cancer and prostate cancer. This frameshift variant results in the loss of almost 10% of the protein sequence and a functional study reported that the missing region includes amino acid residues Pro515–Pro522, which is a nuclear localization signal (NLS)[19] Thus, this variant was classified as likely pathogenic.

PALB2 colocalizes with *BRCA2* in nuclear foci, promoting its localization and stability in nuclear structures, and enabling its recombinational repair and checkpoint functions. A previous study showed that *PALB2* is a breast cancer susceptibility gene[20]. The *PALB2* splicing mutation (c.1684+1G>A) results in abnormal splicing of the mRNA, which affects protein function. This variant was detected in one patient with high-risk neuroblastoma and classified as likely pathogenic in accordance with a previous report[21].

The *SPINK1* splicing mutation (c.194+2T>C) affects a donor splice site in intron 4 of the *SPINK1* gene. It is predicted to affect mRNA splicing, resulting in a significantly altered protein due to either exon skipping, shortening, or the inclusion of intronic material. Experimental studies have shown that this splice site variant completely abolishes the expression of *SPINK1* mRNA and protein in cell culture. This variant is recurrent in individuals of Asian descent with chronic pancreatitis[22, 23]. Loss-of-function variants of *SPINK1* are known to be pathogenic. Multiple clinical diagnostic laboratories have, therefore, classified this variant as pathogenic.

In summary, of the nine pathogenic/likely pathogenic mutations found in this study, four mutations have been reported in previous studies, while the other five mutations are considered novel mutations.

We found a total of 129 variants of uncertain significance (VUS) in 27 of the patients. Most of these VUS were missense mutations, while 27 VUS were predicted in silico to be high-risk variants. The pathogenic classification of two of these VUS is controversial as described below. We used Sanger sequencing to validate these VUS in the two patients. The familial pedigrees of these two patients are shown in Fig. 3.

MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP) is an autosomal recessive disease that usually appears in patients with an attenuated polyposis phenotype. This syndrome is associated with biallelic mutations in the *MUTYH* gene. MAP typically presents with multiple colorectal adenomas and an increased risk for colorectal cancers. Gastric cancer among these patients is uncommon; it is reported in only 2% of cases[24]. The proband of the BZ1 family carried the *MUTYH* splicing mutation (c.934-2A>G), which alters a conserved intronic nucleotide and causes aberrant splicing based on in vitro studies[25]. However, whether this alteration causes a biological loss of function of the *MUTYH* protein in humans is uncertain. This variant has been widely studied in East Asian populations and is frequently reported in individuals with colorectal cancer. Only one homozygous patient with gastric cancer has been described with this mutation, while the rest of the reported patients harbor this variant in the heterozygous state[26-28]. Multiple clinical diagnostic laboratories/reputable databases have classified this variant as a VUS or likely pathogenic. This conflicting evidence has prevented the pathogenicity or neutrality of this variant from

being established with certainty, and it was, therefore, classified here as a VUS. Additional studies are needed to clarify the significance of this variant.

The proband of the BZ14 family carried the *CHEK2* missense mutation (c.1111C>T, p.H371Y). Liu et al[29]. have reported that the c.1111C>T variant confers a significantly increased risk of breast cancer in the Chinese population but the clinical significance of this association has not been established. Additional, smaller studies in Asian populations have identified this variant in breast cancer cases as well as the controls[30, 31]. In vitro functional studies have shown that this missense change causes a decrease in phosphorylation and enzymatic activity compared with the wild-type CHEK2 protein. However, the decreased activity caused by this variant is not as pronounced as the effect caused by a known kinase-disruptive variant[29]. In silico analyses support that this variant does not alter protein structure or function. Although there is some indication that this variant could cause disease, the evidence is insufficient at this time to prove this conclusively. Therefore, based on these data and the proband's pedigree, we classified it as a VUS.

There are many differences in ethnicity, diet, and living habits between China and Western countries. Therefore, we cannot simply refer to the relevant foreign screening criteria for hereditary gastric cancer. One of the purposes of this study was to establish screening criteria for hereditary gastric cancer in China. This study referenced the clinical criteria for HDGC and LS as screening criteria. We enrolled patients with hereditary high-risk factors including the age of diagnosis, special histologic types, family history of malignant tumors, and microsatellite status. We observed that patients who had multiple onset primary malignancies seemed to be more likely to have pathogenic germline mutations(50% vs 7.69%, Fisher Exact P = 0.0105; see Table 3).

Our study has several limitations that should be noted. The size of the cohort recruited in this study was limited; thus, we were unable to unequivocally define the disease-causing variants and, therefore, the GC-predisposing genes. A large number of VUS were disclosed with the application of next-generation sequencing. Several candidate VUS were considered to be potentially pathogenic based on certain ACMG criteria and bioinformatic prediction. Thus, further functional studies in vitro and in vivo should be performed to correctly classify these variants.

Conclusions

This prospective multicenter study enrolled 40 patients with hereditary high-risk factors for cancer to explore the prevalence of germline genetic alterations in cancer susceptibility genes by next-generation sequencing. In total, we found that 25% of patients carried deleterious germline mutations in nine of the 171 genes tested. The *CDH1* gene mutation rate was 6.7% in the 15 families meeting the HDGC clinical criteria, which is significantly lower than that in Western countries. This may be indicative of the unique genetic background of GC in Chinese patients. Because patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic germline variants have a dismal clinical outcome and a higher rate of multi-cancer occurrence, genetic counseling, genetic screening, and family surveillance and management should be strongly recommended for those patients with hereditary high-risk factors. By screening populations with hereditary high-risk factors, multiple-gene sequencing can be used effectively to discover novel disease-causing genes of hereditary disease.

Methods

Study population

Between January 2017 and August 2018, gastric adenocarcinoma patients with hereditary high-risk factors were recruited from seven hospitals throughout six provinces in China (Supplementary Table S1). Patients who met one of the following criteria for high risk were included: 1) onset age \leq 30 years, regardless of the family history; 2) onset age \leq 35 years, and GC histologically classified as signet ring cell carcinoma (SRCC) or mucinous adenocarcinoma, regardless of the family history; 3) onset age \leq 50 years, and at least one first-degree relative diagnosed with malignant tumors; 4) at least two first- or second-degree relatives diagnosed with malignant tumors, with at least one of these being a first-degree relative; 5) diagnosed with more than two primary malignant tumors, with one of these having an onset age \leq 50 years; 6) tissue specimens showing microsatellite instability or deficient mismatch repair. A total of 40 patients from among ten families met these criteria and were included in the study.

Sequencing panel design

Our solution-phase panel was designed to cover all of the exon regions (including parts of the intron regions) of 171 cancer-predisposing genes that were selected following a thorough literature review and a review of unpublished data (Supplementary Table S3).

Next-generation sequencing (NGS), bioinformatics, and variant filtering

Genomic DNA extraction, NGS, bioinformatic analysis, and variant filtering and annotation are described in detail in the Supplementary Information.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 21.0 software. Medians with interquartile ranges of abnormally distributed data were used for continuous variables (diagnosed ages of patients) and rank tests for analyses. Categorical variables were presented as proportions. Mutation rates and proportions were compared and analyzed with the Chi-square (χ^2) test.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital and the relevant ethics committees of each of the other participating centers. All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the respective committees on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and later versions. The study was also approved by the Institutional Review Board of Beijing Cancer Hospital. All patients and their family members provided written informed consent to participate in this study. This study was registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov database with the trial number, NCT03130621.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Some or all data used during the study are available from the corresponding author by request.

Competing interests

Changbin Zhu, Di Shao, and Yang Ke are employees of BGI Genomics, which produces the panel test used in this study. The other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

LS and XCW directed and designed research. YJZ, YY, TX and QW performed research. CBZ and KY performed DNA sequencing and sequencing data analysis. YJZ wrote the manuscript. CBZ, DS, XTZ and JG revised the manuscript. BRL, JDZ, XBC, ZJW, MQ, XW and LS are the principal investigators from 7 institutions. LS and XCW provided supervision for the study, and revised the manuscript; and all authors have read and approved the manuscript as submitted.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the staff at BGI Genomics(Shenzhen, China) for their technical assistance.

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A: **Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries.** *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018, **68**(6):394-424.
2. van der Post RS, Vogelaar IP, Carneiro F, Guilford P, Huntsman D, Hoogerbrugge N, Caldas C, Schreiber KE, Hardwick RH, Ausems MG *et al.*: **Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: updated clinical guidelines with an emphasis on germline CDH1 mutation carriers.** *J Med Genet* 2015, **52**(6):361-74.
3. Guilford P, Hopkins J, Harraway J, McLeod M, McLeod N, Harawira P, Taite H, Scoular R, Miller A, Reeve AE: **E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer.** *Nature* 1998, **392**(6674):402-5.
4. Petrovchich I, Ford JM: **Genetic predisposition to gastric cancer.** *Semin Oncol* 2016, **43**(5):554-59.
5. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E *et al.*: **Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology.** *Genet Med* 2015, **17**(5):405-24.
6. Slavin T, Neuhausen SL, Rybak C, Solomon I, Nehoray B, Blazer K, Niell-Swiler M, Adamson AW, Yuan YC, Yang K *et al.*: **Genetic Gastric Cancer Susceptibility in the International Clinical Cancer Genomics Community Research Network.** *Cancer Genet* 2017, **216-217**:111-9.
7. Lott PC, Carvajal-Carmona LG: **Resolving gastric cancer aetiology: an update in genetic predisposition.** *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 2018, **3**(12):874-83.

8. Hansford S, Kaurah P, Li-Chang H, Woo M, Senz J, Pinheiro H, Schrader KA, Schaeffer DF, Shumansky K, Zogopoulos G *et al*: **Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer Syndrome: CDH1 Mutations and Beyond.** *JAMA Oncol* 2015, **1**(1):23-32.
9. Sahasrabudhe R, Lott P, Bohorquez M, Toal T, Estrada AP, Suarez JJ, Brea-Fernandez A, Cameselle-Teijeiro J, Pinto C, Ramos I *et al*: **Germline Mutations in PALB2, BRCA1, and RAD51C, Which Regulate DNA Recombination Repair, in Patients With Gastric Cancer.** *Gastroenterology* 2017, **152**(5):983-6 e986.
10. Trojan J, Zeuzem S, Randolph A, Hemmerle C, Brieger A, Raedle J, Plotz G, Jiricny J, Marra G: **Functional analysis of hMLH1 variants and HNPCC-related mutations using a human expression system.** *Gastroenterology* 2002, **122**(1):211-9.
11. Dominguez-Valentin M, Nilbert M, Wernhoff P, Lopez-Kostner F, Vaccaro C, Sarroca C, Palmero EI, Giraldo A, Ashton-Prolla P, Alvarez K *et al*: **Mutation spectrum in South American Lynch syndrome families.** *Hereditary cancer in clinical practice* 2013, **11**(1):18.
12. Rosty C, Clendenning M, Walsh MD, Eriksen SV, Southey MC, Winship IM, Macrae FA, Boussioutas A, Poplawski NK, Parry S *et al*: **Germline mutations in PMS2 and MLH1 in individuals with solitary loss of PMS2 expression in colorectal carcinomas from the Colon Cancer Family Registry Cohort.** *BMJ Open* 2016, **6**(2):e010293.
13. Sheng JQ, Chan TL, Chan YW, Huang JS, Chen JG, Zhang MZ, Guo XL, Mu H, Chan AS, Li SR *et al*: **Microsatellite instability and novel mismatch repair gene mutations in northern Chinese population with hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer.** *Chin J Dig Dis* 2006, **7**(4):197-205.
14. Chun N, Ford JM: **Genetic testing by cancer site: stomach.** *Cancer journal (Sudbury, Mass)* 2012, **18**(4):355-63.
15. Oliveira C, Senz J, Kaurah P, Pinheiro H, Sanges R, Haegert A, Corso G, Schouten J, Fitzgerald R, Vogelsang H *et al*: **Germline CDH1 deletions in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer families.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2009, **18**(9):1545-55.
16. Yamada H, Shinmura K, Ito H, Kasami M, Sasaki N, Shima H, Ikeda M, Tao H, Goto M, Ozawa T *et al*: **Germline alterations in the CDH1 gene in familial gastric cancer in the Japanese population.** *Cancer Sci* 2011, **102**(10):1782-8.
17. Kaneko H, Fukao T, Kondo N: **The function of RecQ helicase gene family (especially BLM) in DNA recombination and joining.** *Advances in biophysics* 2004, **38**:45-64.
18. Wuyts W, Van Hul W: **Molecular basis of multiple exostoses: mutations in the EXT1 and EXT2 genes.** *Hum Mutat* 2000, **15**(3):220-7.
19. Antoni L, Sodha N, Collins I, Garrett MD: **CHK2 kinase: cancer susceptibility and cancer therapy - two sides of the same coin?** *Nat Rev Cancer* 2007, **7**(12):925-36.
20. Zhang F, Fan Q, Ren K, Andreassen PR: **PALB2 functionally connects the breast cancer susceptibility proteins BRCA1 and BRCA2.** *Mol Cancer Res* 2009, **7**(7):1110-8.
21. Pugh TJ, Morozova O, Attiyeh EF, Asgharzadeh S, Wei JS, Auclair D, Carter SL, Cibulskis K, Hanna M, Kiezun A *et al*: **The genetic landscape of high-risk neuroblastoma.** *Nat Genet* 2013, **45**(3):279-84.
22. Kereszturi E, Kiraly O, Sahin-Toth M: **Minigene analysis of intronic variants in common SPINK1 haplotypes associated with chronic pancreatitis.** *Gut* 2009, **58**(4):545-9.

23. Sun C, Liu MY, Liu XG, Hu LH, Xia T, Liao Z, Li ZS: **Serine Protease Inhibitor Kazal Type 1 (SPINK1) c.194+2T > C Mutation May Predict Long-term Outcome of Endoscopic Treatments in Idiopathic Chronic Pancreatitis.** *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015, **94**(47):e2046.
24. Vogt S, Jones N, Christian D, Engel C, Nielsen M, Kaufmann A, Steinke V, Vasen HF, Propping P, Sampson JR *et al.* **Expanded extracolonic tumor spectrum in MUTYH-associated polyposis.** *Gastroenterology* 2009, **137**(6):1976-85 e1971-1910.
25. Tao H, Shinmura K, Hanaoka T, Natsukawa S, Shaura K, Koizumi Y, Kasuga Y, Ozawa T, Tsujinaka T, Li Z *et al.* **A novel splice-site variant of the base excision repair gene MYH is associated with production of an aberrant mRNA transcript encoding a truncated MYH protein not localized in the nucleus.** *Carcinogenesis* 2004, **25**(10):1859-66.
26. Miyaki M, Iijima T, Yamaguchi T, Hishima T, Tamura K, Utsunomiya J, Mori T: **Germline mutations of the MYH gene in Japanese patients with multiple colorectal adenomas.** *Mutat Res* 2005, **578**(1-2):430-3.
27. Kim DW, Kim IJ, Kang HC, Jang SG, Kim K, Yoon HJ, Ahn SA, Han SY, Hong SH, Hwang JA *et al.* **Germline mutations of the MYH gene in Korean patients with multiple colorectal adenomas.** *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007, **22**(10):1173-8.
28. Taki K, Sato Y, Nomura S, Ashihara Y, Kita M, Tajima I, Sugano K, Arai M: **Mutation analysis of MUTYH in Japanese colorectal adenomatous polyposis patients.** *Fam Cancer* 2016, **15**(2):261-265.
29. Liu Y, Liao J, Xu Y, Chen W, Liu D, Ouyang T, Li J, Wang T, Fan Z, Fan T *et al.* **A recurrent CHEK2 p.H371Y mutation is associated with breast cancer risk in Chinese women.** *Hum Mutat* 2011, **32**(9):1000-3.
30. Chen W, Yurong S, Liansheng N: **Breast cancer low-penetrance allele 1100delC in the CHEK2 gene: not present in the Chinese familial breast cancer population.** *Advances in therapy* 2008, **25**(5):496-501.
31. Baloch AH, Daud S, Raheem N, Luqman M, Ahmad A, Rehman A, Shuja J, Rasheed S, Ali A, Kakar N *et al.* **Missense mutations (p.H371Y, p.D438Y) in gene CHEK2 are associated with breast cancer risk in women of Balochistan origin.** *Mol Biol Rep* 2014, **41**(2):1103-7.

Tables

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients included in this study

Characteristic	No.of patients (%)
All cases	40 (100)
Sex	
Male	23(57.5)
Female	17(42.5)
Median age at diagnosis(range)	37.5y (24-76)
Age at diagnosis	
≤30 y	16(40)
31~40 y	6(15)
41~50 y	2(5)
51~60 y	12(30)
>60 y	4(10)
Tumor stage	
I	6(15)
II	8(20)
III	15(37.5)
IV	11(27.5)
Histologic types	
Adenocarcinoma	22(55.0)
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	2(5)
Signet ring cell carcinoma	16(40.0)
Family history	
Yes	29(72.5)
no	11(27.5)

Table 2 Details of pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants detected via next-generation sequencing

Family Code	Cancer	Sex/Age at Diagnosis	Family History of Malignancies	Gene	Transcript ID	DNA	Protein	Variant Type
NG5	GC	M/25	Yes	BLM	NM_000057	c.1105C>T	p.Q369*	Nonsense
XJ1	GC	M/30	no	PALB2	NM_024675	c.1684+1G>A	NA	Splice
LZ2	MPCC, pleural fibrosarcoma, esophageal leiomyosarcoma	EC, F/45	Yes	MLH1	NM_000249	c.790+1G>A	NA	Splice
BZ1	GC, BC	F/54	Yes	ERCC2	NM_000400	c.1532G>A	p.R511Q	Missense
BZ2	GC, Renal cancer	M/63	Yes	SPINK1	NM_000267	c.194+2T>C	NA	Splice
BZ3	GC, EC	F/68	Yes	MSH2	NM_000251	c.610G>T	p.G204*	Nonsense
BZ5	GC	M/34	Yes	CDH1	NM_004360	c.1475_1479del GAGTG	p.V493Sfs*42	Frameshift
BZ10	GC,Thyroid cancer	F/37	No	SPINK1	NM_000267	c.194+2T>C	NA	Splice
BZ13	GC	F/24	Yes	EXT2	NM_000401	c.630delC	p.S211Lfs*92	Frameshift
BZ16	GC	M/26	no	CHEK2	NM_007194	c.1553_1554insG	p.S518Rfs*7	Frameshift

GC: Gastric cancer; MPCC: multiple primary colorectal carcinoma, CRC: colorectal cancer; EC: endometrial cancer; BC: breast cancer;

Table 3 Inclusion criteria with pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants

Criteria	Probands with Likely Pathogenic variants	Ratio in pathogenic group	Ration in non- pathogenic group	P-Value (Fisher Exact)
1) onset age \leq 30 years, regardless of the family history	NG5,XJ1,BZ13,BZ16	40.00%	36.67%	>0.99
2) onset age \leq 35 years, and GC histologically classified as signet ring cell carcinoma (SRCC) or mucinous adenocarcinoma, Regardless of the family history	NA	NA	6.67%	>0.99
3) onset age \leq 50 years, and at least one first-degree relative was diagnosed with malignant tumors	NG5,LZ2,BZ5	30.00%	16.67%	0.3878
4) at least two first- or second-degree relatives were diagnosed with malignant tumors, and at least one of them is first-degree relative	NG5,LZ2,BZ1,BZ2,BZ3	50.00%	56.67%	0.4727
5) the patient was diagnosed with more than two primary malignant tumors, and one of the onset age \leq 50 years	LZ2,BZ1,BZ2,BZ3,BZ10	50.00%	6.67%	0.0105
6) tissue specimen was microsatellite instability or deficient mismatch repair	LZ2	10.00%	3.33%	0.4423

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTableS4.DetailsofVUSdetected.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTableS4.DetailsofVUSdetected.xlsx](#)
- [Supplementaryinformation.docx](#)
- [Supplementaryinformation.docx](#)