Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women Attending Hargeisa Group Hospital, Hargeisa, Somaliland

Abdikhaliq Hussein Ali

Hawassa University College of Medicine and Health Science

Dawit Yihdego Reda

Hawassa University College of Medicine and Health Science

Moges Desta Ormago (✉ moges22@gmail.com)

Hawassa University College of Medicine and Health Science

Research Article

Keywords: study, susceptibility, hargeisa, participants, bacteria

Posted Date: October 13th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-957306/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Scientific Reports on January 26th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05452-z>.

Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and associated factors of urinary tract infection (UTI) among pregnant women attending Hargeisa Group Hospital (HGH), Hargeisa, Somaliland. A cross-sectional study was conducted at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland and participants were selected by systematic random sampling technique. Clean catch midstream urine samples were collected from 422 participants and cultured and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern was determined for the isolates. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were utilized to identify the independent risk factors for UTI

The prevalence of UTI was 16.4 % (95% CI: 13.3–19.9). The predominant bacteria isolate was *E. coli* (43.5%). Gram negative bacteria were resistant to ampicillin (96%) and tetracycline (71.4%) and Gram-positive bacteria were also resistant to ampicillin (90%), tetracycline (55%). Multidrug resistance was observed in 89.9% of bacterial isolated. No formal education participants were 3.18 times, previous history of catheterization had 3.22 times and previous history of UTI had 3.73 times more likely to develop UTI than their counterparts respectively. Culture and susceptibility test is vital for appropriate management of UTI in the study area.

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the highest frequent problem caused by some bacteria in a pregnant woman, which can lead to cause the significant complications for both mother and fetus¹. The prevalence of the infection is higher among pregnant women than non-pregnant women and it is a major health problem reported among 20% of the pregnant women and a common cause of admission in obstetrical wards².

If the infection is left untreated, it results in low birth weight fetus, intrauterine growth retardation, preterm labor and premature babies, intrauterine fetal death, and increased prenatal mortality and morbidity as well as maternal complications including anemia, preeclampsia, renal failure, septicemia, and adult respiratory syndrome³.

In Hargeisa Group Hospital, Hargeisa, Somaliland, routine culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of UTI are not performed and the treatment is on an empirical basis. This may promote the overuse of antibiotics and the development of resistant microbial strains. And there was no published information on the prevalence of UTI and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in Somaliland. Therefore, this study was carried out to determine the prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and associated factors of urinary tract infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland.

Method

Cross-sectional study was conducted at antenatal care (ANC) in HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland from May to October 2020. Being pregnant and having a follow up in the ANC clinic of HGH were included in the study. Pregnant women who received antibiotics within two weeks before ANC follow up were excluded. A structure questionnaire was used by trained nurses for the collection of Clinical and Socio-demographic data.

Ten milliliters of clean catch midstream urine sample were collected in a wide mouthed sterile container from each study participant. The collected urine sample was labeled and delivered to the hospital laboratory within one hour⁴.

The collected urine samples were processed and using a calibrated loop (0.001ml) urine specimens were inoculated in to blood agar and MacConkey agar plates. After overnight incubation at 37°C for 24–48 hours' colonies were counted to check significant growth. Colony counts of bacterial growth of $>10^5$ /ml of urine were significant^{5,6}. All positive cultures with significance bacteriuria were then identified at species level by their colony characteristics, gram-staining reaction and by the pattern of biochemical profiles using the standard microbiological technique. The enterobacteriaceae were identified by indole production, H₂S production in KIA agar, citrate utilization, motility test, urease test, oxidase and carbohydrate utilization tests. The gram positive bacteria were identified using catalase and coagulase tests⁴.

Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used for the antibiotic susceptibility test. Three to five pure colonies were transferred into a tube containing 4–5mL nutrient broth and mixed gently and then incubated at 35–37°C for 2–6hrs. The turbidity of the suspension was compared with McFarland 0.5 tubes to standardize the inoculum size⁷.

By using a sterile cotton swab dipping it into the suspension evenly over the entire surface of Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (Oxide Ltd, Hampshire, UK). The inoculated plates were left at room temperature to dry for 3–15 minutes. The following commercially available antibiotic discs were used with their respective concentrations: for Gram-negative bacteria augmentin, (AMC, 20/10 µg), ampicillin (AMP,10µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP,5µg), norfloxacin (NOR,10µg), trimethoprim+sulphamethazole (SXT,25µg,1.25/23.75µg), gentamicin (GEN,10µg), ceftriaxone (CRO,30µg), nalidixic acid (NA, 30µg), meropenem (10µg), tetracycline (TE; 30µg) and nitrofurantoin (F, 300µg) and for Gram-positive organisms erythromycin (ERY,15µg), penicillin (PEN,10µg), augmentin, (AMC, 20/10 µg), ampicillin (AMP,10µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP,5µg), trimethoprim+sulphamethazole (SXT,25µg,1.25/23.75µg), gentamicin (GEN,10µg), ceftriaxone (CRO,30µg), nitrofurantoin (F, 300µg), tetracycline (TE; 30µg) and cefoxitin (CXT 30 µg) and finally, the result was reported as sensitive (S), intermediate (I) or resistance (R) by measuring the diameter of zone of inhibition or hemolysis⁸.

All filled questionnaires for this study was checked visually, coded and entered into excel and then exported to SPSS version25 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for analysis. Bivariate logistic regression was used to determine predictors of culture confirmed UTI. For those variables, which p-value < 0.25 in the bivariate, the analysis was further entered into the multivariable logistic regression model⁹. Associations between dependent and independent variables were assessed and its strength was described using odds ratios at 95% confidence intervals. A statistically significant association considered as p-value < 0.05.

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Hawassa University, College of medicine and health sciences (Ref No: IRB/204/12). Informed consent was obtained from all participants. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results

A total of 422 pregnant women were participated during the study period. In this study the mean age of study participants was 30.9 ($\pm$ 5.6 SD) years within the age range of 18-44. Majority of the study participants 125(29.6%) was 31-35 and 119(28.2%) was 26-30 years old, 407(96.4%) was married and 398(94.3%) was urban dwellers. Among study participants, 79(18.7%) had no formal education, 243(57.6%) was housewives, and 191(45.3%) was earned \$101-200 per month (Table1).

Table1. Sociodemographic characteristics of pregnant women attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=422).

Variables		Frequency	Percent (%)
Age (in years)	<19	17	4.0
	20-25	88	20.9
	26-30	119	28.2
	31-35	125	29.6
	36-40	40	9.5
	41-45	33	7.8
Residence	Urban	398	94.3
	Rural	24	5.7
Marital status	Widowed	8	1.9
	Married	407	96.4
	Divorced	2	0.5
	Separated	5	1.2
Educational status	No formal education	79	18.7
	Primary education (1-8)	185	43.8
	Secondary education(9-12)	112	26.5
	Higher education (>12)	46	10.9
Family Income	< \$100	77	18.2
	\$101-200	191	45.3
	\$201-300	116	27.5
	< \$300	38	9.0
Occupational status	House wife	243	57.6
	Merchant	92	21.8
	Governmental employee	78	18.5
	Student	9	2.1

In this study 198 (46.9%), 137 (32.5%), and 87(20.6%) were in the 3rd, 2nd and 1st trimester of pregnancy respectively. Among the study participants, 366 (86.7%) had multigravida, 56 (13.3%) were primigravida, 28 (6.6%) had prior use of indwelling catheter, 10 (2.4%) had diabetes mellitus. Among the study participants, history of abortion, History of obstetric and gynecologic surgery, History of premature labor and history of UTI, were found in 17(4%), 9(2.1%) 6(1.4%) and 52(12.3%) of study participants respectively (Table2).

Table2. Obstetrics and clinical characteristics of pregnant women attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=422).

Variables		Frequency(n)	Percent (%)
Gestational Period	First trimester	87	20.6
	Second trimester	137	32.5
	Third trimester	198	46.9
Gravida	Primigravida	56	13.3
	Multigravida	366	86.7
History of Catheterization	No	394	93.4
	Yes	28	6.6
History of diabetes mellitus	No	412	97.6
	Yes	10	2.4
History of abortion	No	405	96.0
	Yes	17	4.0
History of obstetric and gynecologic surgery	No	413	97.9
	Yes	9	2.1
History of premature labor	No	416	98.6
	Yes	6	1.4
History of previous UTI	No	370	87.7
	Yes	52	12.3

Overall prevalence of UTI was 16.4 % (95% CI: 13.3–19.9) of which 40(9.5%) was symptomatic UTI and 29(6.9%) was asymptomatic UTI. Of 69 positive cases, eight different types of bacteria were identified. The majority of the isolates belong to the Gram negative bacteria 49(71%). Among the isolates the predominant bacteria were *E. coli* 30(43.5%), followed by Coagulase negative staphylococcus (CONS) 11(16%), *S. aureus* 9(13%), *K. pneumonia* 6(8.7%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 5(7.2%), *Proteus mirabilis* 4(5.8%), *Citrobacter* spp 3(4.4%) and *M. morganii* 1(1.5%) (Table3).

Table3. Bacterial profile isolated from urine culture of symptomatic and asymptomatic pregnant women with UTI attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=69).

Bacterial isolates	Asymptomatic UTI(n=29)	Symptomatic UTI (n=40)	Total (n=69)
Gram negative			
<i>E. coli</i>	12(17.4%)	18 (26.1%)	30 (43.5%)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	1 (1.5%)	5 (7.2%)	6 (8.7%)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	2 (2.9%)	3 (4.3%)	5 (7.2%)
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	2 (2.9%)	2 (2.9%)	4 (5.8%)
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp.	1 (1.5%)	2 (2.9%)	3 (4.4%)
<i>M. morganii</i>	1 (1.5%)	0(0)	1 (1.5%)
Sub total	19(27.5%)	30(43.5%)	49(71%)
Gram positive			
<i>CoNS</i>	6 (8.7%)	5 (7.2%)	11 (16%)
<i>S. aureus</i>	4 (5.8%)	5 (7.2%)	9 (13%)
Sub total	10(14.5%)	10(14.5)	20(29)
Total	29 (42%)	40 (58%)	69 (100%)

Abbreviations: *CONS*, coagulase-negative staphylococci; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Gram negative bacteria were resistant to ampicillin (96%), tetracycline (71.4%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (57.1%), amoxicillin clavulanic acid (55.1%) and nalidixic acid (51%) and high rate of sensitive were also observed to meropenem (95.9%), ceftriaxone (79.6%), norfloxacin (77.5%), gentamicin (75.5%), nitrofurantoin (75.5%) and ciprofloxacin (71.4%). With regard to specific isolated Gram negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli* were highly resistant to ampicillin (93.3%), tetracycline (73.3%), trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (60%), nalidixic acid (53.3%) and amoxicillin clavulanic acid (46.7%) but sensitive to meropenem (96.7%), norfloxacin (90%), ceftriaxone (83.3%), nitrofurantoin (80%), gentamicin (73.3%) and ciprofloxacin (66.7%).

All *K. pneumonia* isolates showed resistant to ampicillin, and 66.7% were resistant to norfloxacin and amoxicillin clavulanic acid each and 50% were resistant to tetracycline, nalidixic acid and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole each but *K. pneumonia* was sensitive to meropenem (100%), gentamycin (83.3%) and 66.7% were sensitive to ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin each.

P. aeruginosa were resistant to ampicillin (80%), tetracycline (57.1%), trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole (57.1%) while highly sensitive were observed to meopenem, gentamicin, norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin to 80% for each and 60% were sensitive to ceftriaxone and nitrofurantoin each. *Proteus mirabilis* were resistant to ampicillin (100%) and 75% to each of tetracycline and amoxicillin clavulanic acid while 100% sensitive to meropenem, gentamicin and ceftriaxone each and 75% sensitive to ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin each. *Citrobacter spp* were highly resistant to ampicillin (100%) and 66.7% were resistant to tetracycline, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and amoxicillin clavulanic acid each but *Citrobacter spp* were highly sensitive to meropenem, ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin and 66.7% were sensitive to gentamicin, norfloxacin and ceftriaxone each.

M. morganii were resistant to ampicillin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, norfloxacin and amoxicillin clavulanic acid but all *M. morganii* were sensitive to meropenem, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin (Table4).

Table4. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-negative Bacteria Isolated from pregnant women with UTI attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=49)

Isolates	Pattern	Antibiotics (%)										
		AMP	CIP	CRO	AMC	SXT	NOR	GEN	NA	F	MER	TTC
E. coli(N= 30)	S	1(3.3)	20(66.7)	25(83.3)	14(46.7)	9(30)	27(90)	22(73.3)	13(43.3)	24(80)	29(96.7)	4(13.3)
	I	1(3.3)	2(6.7)		2 (6.7)	3(10)	1(3.3)	2(6.7)	1(3.3)	2 (6.7)		4(13.3)
	R	28(93.3)	8(26.7)	5(16.37)	14(46.7)	18(60)	2(6.7)	6(20)	16(53.3)	4(13.3)	1(3.3)	22(73.3)
K. pneumonia (N= 6)	S		4(66.7)	4(66.7)	1(16.7)	1(16.7)	2(33.3)	5(83.3)	3(50)	4(66.7)	6 (100)	1(16.7)
	I			2(33.3)	1(16.7)	2(33.3)						2(33.3)
	R	6 (100)	2(33.3)		4(66.7)	3(50)	4(66.7)	1(16.7)	3(50)	2(33.3)		3(50)
P. aeruginosa (N= 5)	S		4(80)	3(60)	2(40)	1(20)	4(80)	4(80)	2(40)	3(60)	4(80)	1(20)
	I					1(20)	1(20)		1(20)	1(20)	1(20)	
	R	5 (100)	1(20)	2(40)	3(60)	3(60)		1(20)	2(40)	1(20)		4(80)
P. mirabilis (N = 4)	S		3(75)	4(100)	1(25)	2(50)	3(75)	4(100)	2(50)	2(50)	4 (100)	1(25)
	I					1(25)			1(25)			
	R	4 (100)	1(25)		3(75)	1(25)	1(25)		1(25)	2(50)		3(75)
Citrobacter spp.(N = 3)	S		3(100)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	1(33.3)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)	1(33.3)	3(100)	3(100)	
	I						1(33.3)					1(33.3)
	R	3 (100)		1(33.3)	2(66.7)	2(66.7)		1(33.3)	2(66.7)			2(66.7)
M. morganii (N = 1)	S		1(100)	1(100)						1(100)	1(100)	
	I							1(100)				
	R	1(100)			1(100)	1(100)	1(100)		1(100)			1(100)
Total(N=49)	S	1(2)	35(71.4)	39(79.6)	19(38.8)	14(28.6)	38(77.5)	37(75.5)	21(42.9)	37(75.5)	47(95.9)	7(14.2)
	I	1(2)	2(4.1)	2(4.1)	3(6.1)	7(14.3)	3(6.1)	3(6.1)	3(6.1)	3(6.1)	1(2)	7(14.2)
	R	47(96)	12(24.5)	8(16.3)	27(55.1)	28(57.1)	8(16.3)	9(18.4)	25(51)	9(18.3)	1(2)	35(71.4)

Abbreviations: AMP: ampicillin, CIP: ciprofloxacin, CRO: ceftriaxone, AMC: amoxicillin clavulanic acid, SXT: trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, NOR: norfloxacin, GEN: gentamicin, NA: nalidixic acid, F: nitrofurantoin, MER: meropenem, TTC: tetracycline S, I, R, sensitive, intermediate, resistant

The Gram-positive bacteria were resistant to ampicillin (90%), tetracycline (55%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (50%), and amoxicillin clavulanic acid (50%) while sensitive to erythromycin (85%), ceftioxin (85%), ceftriaxone (75%), nitrofurantoin (75%), gentamicin (70%) and ciprofloxacin (85%).

Coagulase negative staphylococci (CoNs) were highly resistant to ampicillin (81.8%), tetracycline (54.5%), and amoxicillin clavulanic acid (45.4%) but sensitive to erythromycin (81.8%), ceftioxin (81.8%), ceftriaxone (72.7%), nitrofurantoin (72.7%) and gentamicin (72.7%), ciprofloxacin (63.6%) and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (54.5%)

S. aureus were highly resistant to ampicillin (100%) and 55.6% were resistant to tetracycline, trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole and amoxicillin clavulanic acid each while sensitive to erythromycin (88.9%), ceftioxin (88.9%), ceftriaxone (77.8%) and nitrofurantoin (77.8%), ciprofloxacin (66.7%) and gentamicin (66.7%)

(Table5).

Table5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-positive bacteria isolated from pregnant women with UTI attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=20)

Isolates	Pattern	Antibiotics (%)										
		AMP	CIP	CRO	AMC	SXT	CXT	GEN	P	ERY	F	TTC
CONs (N = 11)	S	1(9.1)	7(63.6)	8(72.7)	5(45.4)	6(54.5)	9(81.8)	8(72.7)	5(45.4)	9(81.8)	8(72.7)	3(27.3)
	I	1(9.1)	2(18.2)		1(9.1)			1(9.1)	2(18.2)	1(9.1)	1(9.1)	2(18.2)
	R	9(81.8)	2(18.2)	3(27.3)	5(45.4)	5(45.4)	2(18.2)	2(18.2)	4(36.4)	1(9.1)	2(18.2)	6(54.5)
S. aureus (N= 9)	S		6(66.7)	7(77.8)	1(11.1)	4(44.4)	8(88.9)	6(66.7)	4(44.4)	8(88.9)	7(77.8)	3(33.3)
	I		1(11.1)	1(11.1)	3(33.3)			2(22.2)	1(11.1)			1(11.1)
	R	9(100)	2(22.2)	1(11.1)	5(55.6)	5(55.6)	1(11.1)	1(11.1)	4(44.4)	1(11.1)	2(22.2)	5(55.6)
Total (N= 20)	S	1(5)	13(65)	15(75)	6(30)	10(50)	17(85)	14(70)	9(45)	17(85)	15(75)	6(30)
	I	1(5)	3(15)	1(5)	4(20)			3(15)	3(15)	1(5)	1(5)	3(15)
	R	18(90)	4(20)	4(20)	10(50)	10(50)	3(15)	3(15)	8(40)	2(10)	4(20)	11(55)

Among the total isolates (n = 69) multi drug resistance (MDR) was observed in 62 (89.9%) of bacteria isolated. In Gram-negative bacteria MDR were observed in 45/49 (91.8%) while gram-positive bacteria were observed in 17/20 (85%) respectively (Table6).

Table6. Multi drug resistance pattern of bacterial isolates from pregnant women with UTI attended antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n=69)

Isolates	Frequency (%)							
	Total	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	≥R7	MDR
Gram-negative	49(71)	1(2)	9(18.4)	10(20.4)	17(34.7)	4(8.2)	4(8.2)	45(91.8)
<i>E. coli</i>	30(61.2)	1(3.3)	7(23.3)	5(16.7)	11(40)	3(6.7)	1(3.3)	28(57.1)
<i>K. pneumonia</i>	6(12.2)		1(16.7)		2(33.3)		2(33.3)	5(10.2)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	5(10.2)			3(60)	2(40)			5(10.2)
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	4(8.2)		1(25)	0(0)	1(25)		1(25)	3(6.1)
<i>Citrobacter spp.</i>	3(6.1)			2(66.7)	1(33.3)			3(6.1)
<i>M. morganii</i>	1(2)					1(100)		1(2)
Gram-positive	20(29)	2(10)	1(5)	8(40)	2(10)	3(15)	1(5)	17(85)
CoNS	11(55)			7(63.6)	1(9.1)	1(9.1)		9(45)
<i>S. aureus</i>	9(45)	2(22.2)	1(11.1)	1(11.1)	1(11.1)	2(22.2)	1(11.1)	8(40)
Total	69(100)	3(4.4)	10(14.5)	18(26.1)	19(27.5)	9(13)	3(4.4)	62(89.9)

R2=resistance to two drugs, R3 = resistance to three drugs, R4= resistance to four drugs, R5=resistance to five drugs, R6=resistance to six drugs and ≥R7= resistance to seven and more drugs, MDR=multiple drug resistance.

In bivariate analysis, Age of respondents [COR=2.600 95% CI: 0.725-9.319], Educational status [COR=2.780 95% CI: 0.965-8.006], Family income [COR=3.559 95% CI: 0.978-12.954], History of catheterization [COR= 3.154, 95% CI: 1.388-7.170], History of abortion [COR=2.220, 95% CI: 0.756-6.517] and History of previous UTI [COR= 3.31, 95% CI: 1.740-6.300] were found to be significantly associated with UTI among pregnant women and were to be a candidate for multivariate logistic regression analysis.

The result of multivariate analysis revealed that pregnant women with no formal education were 3.2 more likely to have UTI than those with higher education (> grade 12) [AOR=3.183 95% CI: 1.027-9.866],

family income ≤ \$100 was 5.2 times higher risk of having UTI than those with family income > \$300 [AOR=5.225 95% CI: 1.270-21.500], the odds of having UTI among pregnant women who have previously indwelling catheter were 3.2 times higher than the odds in pregnant women who have not previously indwelling catheter [AOR=3.216, 95% CI: 1.287-8.038], pregnant women who have previous history of UTI were 3.7 more likely to occur the UTI compared with pregnant women that have not the previous history of UTI [AOR=3.734, 95% CI: 1.855-7.515](Table7).

Table7: Bivariate and multivariate analysis for the assessment of factors associated with UTI among pregnant women attending antenatal care at HGH, Hargeisa, Somaliland, 2020 (n= 422).

Variables		UTI		COR(95% CI)	P values	AOR(95% CI)	P values
		Yes (%)	No (%)				
Age (in years)	≤19	7(41.2)	10(58.8)	2.600 (0.725-9.319)	0.142	2.446(0.609-9.818)	0.207
	20-25	17(19.3)	71(80.7)	0.889 (0.331-2.389)	0.816	0.903 (0.313-2.608)	0.851
	26-30	20(16.8)	99(83.2)	0.750 (0.286-1.966)	0.559	0.813 (0.285-2.316)	0.698
	31-35	18(14.4)	107(85.6)	0.625 (0.236-1.652)	0.343	0.606 (0.212-1.732)	0.350
	36-40	0(0)	40(100)	0.000 (0.000)	0.998	0.000 (0.000)	0.997
	41-45	7(21.2)	26(78.8)	I			
Residence	Urban	66(16.6)	332(83.4)	1.392[0.403-4.800]	0.601		
	Rural	3(12.5)	21(87.5)	I			
Marital status	Widowed	2(25)	6(75)	I			
	Married	66(16.2)	341(83.8)	0.581 [0.115-2.940]	0.511		
	Divorced	0(0)	2(100)	0.000 [0.000]	0.999		
	Separated	1(20)	4(80)	0.750 [0.050-11.311]	0.835		
Educational status	No formal education	20	59	2.780 [0.965-8.006]	0.058	3.183 [1.027-9.866]	0.045
	Primary education (1-8)	29	156	1.524 [0.555-4.183]	0.413	1.624 [0.553-4.766]	0.378
	Secondary education (9-12)	15	97	1.268 [0.432-3.719]	0.665	1.767 [0.552-5.658]	0.338
	Higher Education (>12)	5	41	I			
Family Income	≤ \$100	18	59	3.559 [0.978-12.954]	0.054	5.225 [1.270-21.500]	0.022
	\$101-200	32	159	2.348 [0.680-8.103]	0.177	2.940 [0.761-11.352]	0.118
	\$201-300	16	100	1.867 [0.513-6.793]	0.344	2.839 [0.694-11.615]	0.147
	> \$300	3	35	I			
Occupational status	House wife	47	196	0.839 [0.169-4.171]	0.830		
	Merchant	12	80	0.525[0.097-2.830]	0.453		
	Governmental employee	8	70	0.400 [0.071-2.264]	0.300		
	Student	2	7	I			
Gestational Period	1 st trimester	16	71	I			
	2 nd trimester	23	114	0.895 [0.443-1.809]	0.758		
	3 rd trimester	30	168	0.792 [0.407-1.544]	0.494		
Gravida	Primigravida	12	44	I			
	Multigravida	57	309	0.676 [0.337-1.359]	0.272		
History of Catheterization	No	59	335	I			
	Yes	10	18	3.154 [1.388-7.170]	0.006	3.216 [1.287-8.038]	0.012
History of diabetes mellitus	No	67	345	I			

	Yes	2	8	1.287 [0.267-6.196]	0.753		
History of abortion	No	64	341	I			
	Yes	5	12	2.220 [0.756-6.517]	0.147	2.183 [0.672-7.092]	0.194
History of obstetric and gynecologic surgery	No	67	346	I			
	Yes	2	7	1.475 [0.300-7.258]	0.632		
History of premature labor	No	68	348	I			
	Yes	1	5	1.024 [0.118-8.899]	0.983		
History of previous UTI	No	51	319	I			
	Yes	18	34	3.311 [1.740-6.300]	0.000	3.734 [1.855-7.515]	0.000

I=reference, AOD=adjusted odds ratio, COR=crude odds ratio, N=number, UTI= Urinary tract infection

Discussion

The overall prevalence of UTI in pregnant women in this study was 16.4 %. This is comparable to the prevalence of UTI reported in in Mwanza City, Tanzania 16.8% ¹⁰, in Nairobi, Kenya 15.7% ¹¹, in Kano, Northern Nigeria 15.8%¹² and in Bangalore, India 15 % ¹³.

Whereas a higher prevalence was reported in Ambo Central Ethiopia 18.7% ¹⁴, in Derna City Libya 49.3% ¹⁵, in Ismailia, Egypt 29% ¹⁶, in Benin city, Nigeria 21%¹⁷, in Saudi Arabia 53.5 % ¹⁸, in Nepal 37.8% ¹⁹ respectively. A lower prevalence was reported from Iran 13.1% ²⁰, Gondar Northwest Ethiopia 10.4 % ²¹, Korela India 13.4%²², Khartoum Sudan 14% ²³. This variation in prevalence might be due to across different studies from one country to another and among regions of the same country might be attributed to the difference in associated factors, sample size, social habits of the community, the standard of personal hygiene and education.

The prevalence of UTI among symptomatic and asymptomatic pregnant women in this study was 9.5% and 6.9% respectively. The occurrence of UTI case among asymptomatic was in line with the previous study done in Cameroon 7.8% ²⁴, in Kanpur, India 7.3% ²⁵ and in [Makkah, Saudi Arabia](#) 8% ²⁶. On the other hand, a low prevalence of 0.13% In meta-analysis in Iran ²⁷, in Colombo, Sri Lanka 3.6% ²⁸ and in Ghana 5.5% ²⁹ was reported asymptomatic UTI. While higher prevalence was recorded in Hawassa, Southern 21.2% ³⁰, in Bangladesh 10.2% ³¹ and in Nairobi, Kenya 21.5% ³².

In this study the symptomatic study was 9.5%, These result of symptomatic UTI were agree with a study conducted from in Khartoum Sudan 12.1% ²³, in [Makkah, Saudi Arabia](#) 12%²⁶ and in Northeastern Ethiopia 11.9% ³³. But higher prevalence rate in Mekelle Northern Ethiopia 21.1% ³⁴, in Bangladesh 17.9% ³⁵, in in Goba and Sinana Woredas, Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia 35.3% ³⁶ and in South-western Uganda 35% ³⁷. The differences may be the variation of methodologies and study populations might affect prevalence in different sites.

In this study, Gram-negative bacteria isolates were more prevalent (71%) than Gram-positive bacteria isolates (29%). A similar finding was found from Ambo town, Central Ethiopia 69.6% and 30.4% for Gram negative and Gram positive pathogens ¹⁴, in Tanzania also Gram negative bacteria and gram positive bacteria were reported 61.9% and 38.1% ³⁸ respectively. This could be due to the presence of unique structure in Gram negative bacteria which help for attachment to the uroepithelial cells and prevent bacteria from urinary lavage, allowing for multiplication and tissue invasion—resulting in invasive infection and pyelonephritis in pregnancy ³⁹.

Among isolated pathogens, were *E. coli* was the most predominant bacteria 43.5%, which is similar with previous studies in Ambo town, Central Ethiopia 46.4% of isolated cases ¹⁴, in Bangalore, India 43.9% ⁴⁰ and in Nairobi, Kenya 40.0% was reported⁴¹. However, it was lower than reported in the previous studies conducted in different countries, which was India 53.8%, Italy 57.1% and Iran 57.25%^{22,42,43}. *E. coli* is the most common microorganism in the vaginal and rectal area. Because of anatomical and functional changes and difficulty of maintaining personal hygiene during pregnancy, may increase the risk of acquiring UTI from *E. coli* ⁴⁴. The second most common isolate was CONS 16 % and comparable findings have been reported in different studies like in Karamara Hospital Jigjiga, Eastern Ethiopia 12% ⁴⁵, Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 14.3% ⁴⁶ and in Dil Chora Referral Hospital, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia 19.2% ⁴⁷.

In this study, susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative bacteria showed that most of the isolates were sensitive to meropenem (95.9%), ceftriaxone (79.6%), norfloxacin (77.5%), gentamicin (75.5%), nitrofurantoin (75.5%) and ciprofloxacin (71.4%) and comparable studies conducted in different study area like in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia that showed highly sensitive to meropenem (75.2%), nitrofurantoin (93.1%), gentamicin (85.2%), ceftriaxone (82.2%), cefuroxime (79.3%), and ciprofloxacin (75.2%)⁴⁶ and in South Nigeria showed sensitive to gentamicin (53%-100%), imipenam (67%-93%), ciprofloxacin (between 57%-75%) ⁴⁸, In Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia the present study, 80% of Gram negative bacteria were susceptible to meropenem, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, nitrofurantoin, and norfloxacin ⁴⁹. In this study, the highest resistance was shown to ampicillin (93%-100%) among gram-negative bacteria, this due to the drug

is with low cost and often can be purchased without prescription in different areas. This implies that ampicillin cannot be used as empirical therapy for urinary tract infection particularly in the study area. This also agrees with the study done in Karamara Hospital Jigjiga, Eastern Ethiopia ⁴⁵.

In this study the other drugs also showed highly resistance to Gram-negative were tetracycline (71.4%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (57.1%), amoxicillin clavulanic acid (55.1%) and nalidixic acid (51%) and this agree the other study done in Dil Chora Referral Hospital, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia AMP (89.5%), amoxicillin (73.7%), and TTC (73.7%), NA (52.6%), except nitrofurantoin (57.9%)⁴⁷ and in Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, South-western Uganda were highly resistant to Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, and Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid at 95.7%, 95.0%, and 72.9%³⁷. The findings of this study is not in line with the reports from Kenya⁴¹. These differences could be due to variations in antibiotic prescription patterns across various countries.

In this current study, the Gram-negatives, the predominant isolate was *E. coli*, which is resistant to ampicillin (93.3%), TTC (73.3%), SXT (60%), nalidixic acid (53.3%) and AMC (46.7%). Similar findings have been reported from previous studies in Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia, South-western Uganda and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ^{37,46,47}. The other isolated Gram negative bacteria include *K. pneumonia* showed more than 65% sensitive to meropenem, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin this agreed with the study done in South-western Uganda ³⁷, *K. pneumoniae* was 100% resistant to ampicillin, Similar findings were done in Adigrat General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia and Karamara Hospital Jigjiga, Eastern Ethiopia ^{45,50}.

In this study, the Gram positive bacterial isolates were relatively sensitive to erythromycin, ceftioxin, ceftriaxone, nitrofurantoin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin and each accounted 85%, 85%, 75%, 75%, 70% and 65%. This was comparable with the finding from Ivory Coast, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia and Gonder Ethiopia ^{47,51,52} However, in contrast with study report from Southern Ethiopia, which ceftriaxone was 100% resistant to gram-positive bacteria ⁵³.

In this study, Gram positive bacteria showed highly resistance to ampicillin 90% and tetracycline 55%. This could be due to the infrequent use of the drug in the study area. Comparable result was reported in Gonder Ethiopia ⁵², in Lagos, Nigeria, and Benishangul Gumuz Region, Western Ethiopia⁵⁴. Coagulase negative staphylococci, which were the predominant isolates from Gram-positives 55% and was found 63% to 81% sensitive to erythromycin, ceftioxin, ceftriaxone, nitrofurantoin gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. However, in contrast was shown nitrofurantoin to 26.7% resistance in study done in Ethiopia ⁵⁵, while comparable studies done in Hawassa, Ethiopia ⁴⁹.

In this study, *S. aureus* which constituted for 45% of the gram positive bacteria showed 66.7%-88.9% were sensitive to erythromycin, ceftioxin, ceftriaxone, nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin, this agree with study done in Hawassa, Ethiopia, Benishangul Gumuz Region, Western Ethiopia and Nairobi, Kenya^{41,49,54}. In contrast to research done in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, which erythromycin was highly resistant 60%⁴⁶. However, this study showed 100% ampicillin to *S. aureus*, similar study done in Jigjiga, Ethiopia, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ^{45,47,56}. This is caused by use of empirical treatment against bacterial infections of the urinary tract infection in the study area. This implies that ampicillin cannot be used as empirical therapy for urinary tract infection particularly in the study area.

In this study, MDR was seen in 89.9% of all bacteria isolated. Our finding is higher than studies done in same regions of Ethiopia like 57.1% in Addis Ababa, and 73% in Mekelle ^{34,46}, in Tanzania 77% ³⁸ and in Eastern Uganda 77.5 ⁵⁷. Our finding is lower than studies done in South-South Nigeria 100%⁴⁸, in Kenya 96% ¹¹ and same regions in Ethiopia like in Dire Dawa 100%, Gondar 95% and Jigjiga 96% ^{21,45,47}. This indicates that multi drug resistance was found to be very high to the commonly used antibiotics. Antibiotic resistance has been recognized as the consequence of antibiotic use and abuse ⁵⁸. Therefore, the reasons for this alarming phenomenon might be inappropriate and incorrect administration of antimicrobial agents in empiric therapies and lack of appropriate infection control strategies, which can cause a shift to increase prevalence of resistant organisms in the community.

In the present study, the result of multivariable logistic regression models revealed that socio-demographic factors among pregnant women were statistically significance with no formal education and low level of family income ($\leq$ \$100) [P= 0.045, AOR=3.183 (1.027, 9.866)] and [P=0.022, AOR=5.225 (1.270, 21.500)]. The non-formal education was agreed with study done in Goba and Sinana Woredas, Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia [AOR = 6.617; CI = 1.87–9.94] ³⁶. in contract with low level education the studies done in Medan, Indonesia, and Uyo, Nigeria ^{48,59}. Low-income status was another factor that was related with high prevalence of UTI among pregnant women. A similar finding was reported in other studies on pregnant women in Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia and in Adigrat General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia ^{47,50}. This could be due to the relation of low socioeconomic status with nutrition and immunity especially in pregnant women. In contrast studies were done in Jigjiga, Ethiopia, Medan, Indonesia, and Northeastern Ethiopia ^{33,45,59}.

In the study, obstetrics and clinical characteristics were shown that, highly significant proportion of UTI was recorded among those study subjects with prior history of UTI. The multivariate logistic regression analysis of current study showed that 3.7 more likely to occur the UTI compared with pregnant women that have not the previous history of UTI [P= 0.000 AOR=3.734 (1.855, 7.515)]. This finding is similar with report from Uganda (P= 0.002), Libya (P= 0.00), Egypt (P= 0.001), India (P=0.0423), and same regions of Ethiopia like Gondar, (p-value= 0.001), Dire Dawa (p-value= 0.006) and Addis Ababa (P= 0.004)^{15,21,22,37,46,47,60}. The possible explanation for this association could be due to the existence of antibiotic-resistant strains from the previous infection.

In the current study, participants with the previous history of indwelling catheterization had about 3.2 times chance of developing UTI [P= 0.012 AOR=3.216 (1.287, 8.038)] among pregnant women. This finding agrees with similar reports from Northeastern Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Gonder Ethiopia ^{21,33,46}. This could be due to long duration of catheterization, frequent catheterization or contamination during inserting catheters. However other studies done in Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia and Jigjiga Eastern Ethiopia disagreed of this study ^{45,47}.

In the present study, there was no statistical significant association between prevalence of UTI among pregnant women and maternal age, residence, marital status, occupation, gestational period, gravidity, History of diabetes mellitus, History of abortion History of obstetric and gynecologic surgery and History of

premature labor. this results were agreed the report from Bangladesh ³⁵, Nairobi, Kenya except the maternal age ³², Nigeria ⁴⁸, Goba and Sinana Ethiopia ³⁶, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia ⁴⁷ and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia except history of abortion⁴⁶.

Conclusion

The overall prevalence was 16.4%, eight species of bacteria were isolated both gram negative and gram positive. Majority of the isolates were resistant to the commonly prescribed antibiotics, therefore culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing was recommended before giving treatment to prevent antimicrobial resistance and health information dissemination to the patients recommended to avoid self-medication practice

Abbreviations

ANC	Antenatal care
HGH	Hargeisa Group Hospital
MDR	Multi drug resistance
UTI	Urinary tract infection

Declarations

Data Availability

The datasets used and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Hawassa University, College of medicine and health sciences (Ref No: IRB/231/11). Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Clinicians were communicated the findings of culture and sensitivity tests.

Consent for publication

Individual data such as images and videos did not accompany this particular manuscript and hence consent for publication is not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests for this work.

Funding

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital microbiology laboratory staffs and study participants for their contribution to this research work.

Authors' contributions

M.D.O., D.Y.R. and A.H.A conceived the study and participated in data analysis. A.H.A. carried out the lab work, M.D.O. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Amarasinghe, N. *et al.* Bacterial profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of adult lower respiratory tract infections in Colombo, Sri Lanka. *Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, **3**, 27–36 (2018).

2. Bigna, T. S. *et al.* Prevalence of respiratory bacterial infections in people with lower respiratory tract infections in Africa: the BARIAFRICA systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *BMJ open*, **8**, e023592 (2018).
3. Tchatchouang, S. *et al.* Bacterial Aetiologies of Lower Respiratory Tract Infections among Adults in Yaoundé, Cameroon. *BioMed research international* **2019**(2019).
4. Cheesbrough, M. *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*. (Cambridge university press, United States of America, New York, 2006).
5. Tadesse, S. *et al.* Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Adigrat General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*, **11**, 740 (2018).
6. Gessese, Y. A. *et al.* Urinary pathogenic bacterial profile, antibiogram of isolates and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Ambo town, Central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Antimicrobial resistance and infection control*, **6**, 132 (2017).
7. Bauer, A. W., Kirby, W. M. M., Sherris, J. C. & Turck, M. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by a Standardized Single Disk Method. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **45**, 493–496 (1966).
8. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 30th ed. (Wayne, PA, 2020).
9. Bursac, Z., Gauss, C. H., Williams, D. K. & Hosmer, D. W. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med*, **3**, 17–17 (2008).
10. Kaduma, J. *et al.* Urinary Tract Infections and Preeclampsia among Pregnant Women Attending Two Hospitals in Mwanza City, Tanzania: A 1:2 Matched Case-Control Study. *BioMed research international* **2019**, 3937812 (2019).
11. Onyango, H. A., Ngugi, C., Maina, J. & Kiiru, J. Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women at Pumwani Maternity Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya: Bacterial Etiologic Agents, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles and Associated Risk Factors. *Advances in Microbiology*, **8** (03), 175 (2018).
12. Ali, M. & Abdallah, M. S. Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women in Kano, Northern Nigeria. *Arch Reprod Med Sex Health*, **2** (1), 23–29 (2019).
13. Rudri Bai, I., Deepthi, M. & Dharmavijaya, M. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in antenatal period at tertiary care hospital in rural Bangalore. *International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, **2** (4), 69–71 (2018).
14. Gessese, Y. A. *et al.* Urinary pathogenic bacterial profile, antibiogram of isolates and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Ambo town, Central Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, **6** (1), 1–10 (2017).
15. Younis, M., Ajroud, S., Elgade, L. H., Uahua, A. S. & Elzahaf, R. A. Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women and Its Risk Factor in Derna City. *Scholars International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **a2** (8), 219–223(2019).
16. Mohamed, N. R., Omar, H. & Abdallah, I. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women in Ismailia City, Egypt. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and health Science*, **06** (03), 62–72 (2017).
17. Mordi, R. M., Burke, M. E., Odjajare, E. E., Enabulele, S. A. & Umeh, O. J. Prevalence of urinary tract infections (UTI) among pregnant women in university of Benin teaching hospital (UBTH) Benin City, Nigeria. *J Asian Sci Res*, **5** (4), 198–204 (2015).
18. El-Kashif, M. M. L. Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women and its Associated Risk Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, **12** (4), 2003–2010 (2019).
19. Thakur, S. & Nagpal, K. L. Urinary Tract Infection in Pregnant Women at Kathmandu, Nepal. *Journal of Medical Care Research and Review*, **3** (9), 454–458 (2020).
20. Rejali, M. & Ahmadi, S. S. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women in Shahrekord, Iran. *International Journal of Epidemiologic Research*, **6** (2), 55–59 (2019).
21. Alemu, A. *et al.* Bacterial profile and drug susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in pregnant women at University of Gondar Teaching Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*, **5** (1), 1–7 (2012).
22. Srinath, M., Shajina, M. & Fasalu Rahiman, O. Etiology and prevalence of urinary tract infections among pregnant women in Kerala. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, **5** (8), 3298 (2018).
23. Hamdan, H. Z., Ziad, A. H. M., Ali, S. K. & Adam, I. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections and antibiotics sensitivity among pregnant women at Khartoum North Hospital. *Annals of clinical microbiology and antimicrobials*, **10** (1), 1–5 (2011).
24. Mokube, M. N., Atashili, J., Halle-Ekane, G. E., Ikomey, G. M. & Ndumbe, P. M. Bacteriuria amongst pregnant women in the Buea Health District, Cameroon: Prevalence, predictors, antibiotic susceptibility patterns and diagnosis. *PLoS One*, **8** (8), e71086 (2013).
25. Sujatha, R. & Nawani, M. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and its antibacterial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic at Kanpur, India. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, **8** (4), DC01–DC03 (2014).
26. Faidah, H. S., Ashshi, A. M., El-Ella, G. A. A., Al-Ghamdi, A. K. & Mohamed, A. M. Urinary tract infections among pregnant women in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. *Biomedical And Pharmacology Journal*, **6**, 01–07 (2015).
27. Ghafari, M., Baigi, V., Cheraghi, Z. & Doosti-Irani, A. The prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in Iranian pregnant women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*, **11** (6), e0158031 (2016).
28. Perera, J., Randeniya, C., Perera, P., Gamhewage, N. & Jayalatharchchi, R. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: prevalence, risk factors and causative organisms. *Sri Lankan Journal of Infectious Diseases*, **2** (1), 42–46 (2012).
29. Labi, A. K., Yawson, A. E., Ganyaglo, G. Y. & Newman, M. J. Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Ante-Natal Clients in a Large Teaching Hospital in Ghana. *Ghana Med J*, **49** (3), 154–158 (2015).
30. Tadesse, E., Teshome, M., Merid, Y., Kibret, B. & Shimelis, T. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Hawassa Referral Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*, **7** (1), 155 (2014).

31. Jubaida, N. *et al.* Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. *Journal of Armed Forces Medical College, Bangladesh*, **9** (2), 64–69 (2013).
32. Ayoyi, A. O., Kikuvu, G., Bii, C. & Kariuki, S. Prevalence, aetiology and antibiotic sensitivity profile of asymptomatic bacteriuria isolates from pregnant women in selected antenatal clinic from Nairobi, Kenya. *Pan Afr Med J*, **26** (1), 41–41 (2017).
33. Belete, M. A. Bacterial profile and ESBL screening of urinary tract infection among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women attending antenatal care of northeastern Ethiopia region. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, **13**, 2579 (2020).
34. Gebremariam, G. *et al.* Bacteriological profile, risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of symptomatic urinary tract infection among students of Mekelle University, northern Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis*, **19** (1), 950–950 (2019).
35. Uddin, M. N. & Khan, T. Prevalence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Ibrahim Iqbal Memorial Hospital, Chandanaish, Bangladesh. *Am J Clin Med Res*, **4** (3), 47–51 (2016).
36. Taye, S., Getachew, M., Desalegn, Z., Biratu, A. & Mubashir, K. Bacterial profile, antibiotic susceptibility pattern and associated factors among pregnant women with Urinary Tract Infection in Goba and Sinana Woredas, Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*, **11**, 799 (2018).
37. Bahati, J. *et al.* Prevalence and Bacteriology of Symptomatic Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant women at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, South-western Uganda. 1–13(2020).
38. Moyo, S. J., Aboud, S., Kasubi, M., Lyamuya, E. F. & Maselle, S. Y. Antimicrobial resistance among producers and non-producers of extended spectrum beta-lactamases in urinary isolates at a tertiary Hospital in Tanzania. *BMC Research Notes*, **3** (1), 1–5 (2010).
39. Nasiriamiri, F., Rooshan, M., Ahmady, H. & Soliamani, M. Hygiene practices and sexual activity associated with urinary tract infection in pregnant women. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal = La revue de santé de la Méditerranée orientale = al-Majallah al-shihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit*, **15**, 104-110(2008).
40. Sibi, G., Kumari, P. & Kabungulundabungi, N. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern from pregnant women with urinary tract infection in Bangalore, India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*, **7**, S116–S120 (2014).
41. Nabbugodi, W. F., Gichuhi, J. W. & Mugo, N. Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection, Microbial Aetiology, and Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern among Antenatal Women Presenting with Lower Abdominal Pains at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. *The Open Access Journal of Science and Technology*, **3** (3), 1–6 (2015).
42. De Francesco, M. A., Ravizzola, G., Peroni, L., Negrini, R. & Manca, N. Urinary tract infections in Brescia, Italy: etiology of uropathogens and antimicrobial resistance of common uropathogens. *Medical science monitor*, **13** (6), BR136–BR144 (2007).
43. Amiri, M. *et al.* Prevalence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women and its complications in their newborns during the birth in the hospitals of Dezful city, Iran, 2012-2013. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, **17** (8), e26946 (2015).
44. Jazayeri, M. A. & Irajian, G. R. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection in pregnant women. 105–108. (2009).
45. Negussie, A., Worku, G. & Beyene, E. Bacterial identification and drug susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection in pregnant Women at Karamara Hospital Jijiga, Eastern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Bacteriology Research*, **10** (2), 15–22 (2018).
46. Wabe, Y. A., Reda, D. Y., Abreham, E. T., Gobene, D. B. & Ali, M. M. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria, Associated Factors and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of Bacteria Among Pregnant Women Attending Saint Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*, **16**, 923 (2020).
47. Derese, B., Kedir, H., Teklemariam, Z., Weldegebreal, F. & Balakrishnan, S. Bacterial profile of urinary tract infection and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending at Antenatal Clinic in Dil Chora Referral Hospital, Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia. *Therapeutics and clinical risk management*, **12**, 251 (2016).
48. Akpan, N. G. *et al.* Bacterial etiologic agents, prevalence and associated risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant and non-pregnant women in primary health care centers in South-South Nigeria. *International Journal of Medical and Health Research* **5** (6): 66-76(2019).
49. Tessema, N. N., Ali, M. M. & Zenebe, M. H. Bacterial associated urinary tract infection, risk factors, and drug susceptibility profile among adult people living with HIV at Haswassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia. *Sci. Rep.*, **10** (1), 1–9 (2020).
50. Tadesse, S. *et al.* Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Adigrat General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*, **11** (1), 740 (2018).
51. Koffi, K., Aka, E., Horo, A., Britoh, A. & Konan, J. Epidemiological, bacteriological profile and bacterial resistance of urinary tract infections at pregnant woman in prenatal consultation in African setting. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*, **9** (2), 461–467 (2020).
52. Bitew, A. M., Tegene, B. M., Yeshitila, B. M., Howe, R. & Dagnew, M. Bacterial profile, antibacterial susceptibility pattern and associated factors among women attending antenatal and post-natal health services at the University of Gondar Teaching Hospital. *Northwest Ethiopia*, **13**, 2579–2592 (2019).
53. Tula, A., Mikru, A., Alemayehu, T. & Dobo, B. Bacterial Profile and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Urinary Tract Infection among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Southern Ethiopia. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology* **2020**, 1-9 (2020).
54. Tusuna, D. A. & Orjino, T. A. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria Bacterial Profile and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern Among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care (Anc) Clinic of Assosa General Hospital, Benishangul Gumuz Region, Western Ethiopia. *Research square*, 1–9(2020).
55. Aseffa, A. *et al.* Bacteriological profile and antibiotic resistance pattern of urinary tract pathogens isolated at National Referral Laboratory, Ethiopian Public Health Institute. *Ethiopian Journal of public health and nutrition*, **2** (2), 1–6 (2020).
56. Dessalegn, D., Aseffa, A., Yitagesu, Y. & Desta, K. Urinary Tract infection, Drug Resistance Profile and Fetal Outcomes among Pregnant women in Selected Health Facilities of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study design. *Research square*, 1–15(2020).

57. Nteziyaremye, J. *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Mbale Hospital, Eastern Uganda. *PloS one*, **15** (3), e0230523 (2020).
58. Albrich, W. C., Monnet, D. L. & Harbarth, S. Antibiotic selection pressure and resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Emerging infectious diseases*, **10** (3), 514 (2004).
59. Laily, F., Lutan, D., Amelia, S., Tala, M. & Nasution, T. Associated risk factors for urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Puskesmas Kenangan, Deli Serdang district. *E&ES* 125(1), 012035(2018).
60. Shaheen, H. M., Farahat, T. M. & Hammad, N. A. E. H. Prevalence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women and possible risk factors. *Menoufia Medical Journal*, **29** (4), 1055 (2016).