

Comparison Between Fasting and Non-Fasting Cut-Off Values of Triglyceride in Diagnosing High Triglyceride in Chinese Hypertensive Outpatients

Yingying Xie

second xiangya hospital

Peiliu Qu

Second Xiangya Hospital

Tie Wen

Second Xiangya Hospital

Ling Liu (✉ feliuling@csu.edu.cn)

Second Xiangya Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6979-701X>

Xiao Du

second xiangya hospital

Shilan Zhang

second xiangya hospital

Liling Guo

second xiangya hospital

Qunyan Xiang

second xiangya hospital

Qiuzhen Lin

Second Xiangya Hospital

Jin Xu

Second Xiangya Hospital

Liyuan Zhu

Second Xiangya Hospital

Yangrong Tan

Second Xiangya Hospital

Yan Fu

Second Xiangya Hospital

Miao Zhang

Second Xiangya Hospital

Xueyan Zang

second xiangya hospital

Research

Keywords: hypertension, non-fasting, triglyceride, remnant cholesterol, blood lipid test

Posted Date: October 21st, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-961584/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Hypertension (HBP) often occurs together with hypertriglyceridemia that indicates elevated triglyceride (TG) and remnant cholesterol (RC) levels. Non-fasting (i.e. postprandial) blood lipid test after a daily meal has been recommended by the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). However, little is known about the difference between fasting and non-fasting cut-off values in assessing high TG (HTG) and high RC (HRC) in HBP outpatients.

Methods: Two hundred and twenty-five Chinese outpatients with HBP were enrolled in this study. According to the time of blood lipid test, they were divided into two groups, i.e. the fasting group (n=119) and the non-fasting group (n=139). Non-fasting levels of blood lipids at 2 h after a daily breakfast were also tested in 33 patients among the fasting group. Venous blood samples were collected. Serum levels of blood lipids were measured by the enzymatic and direct methods on a HITACHI 7170A analyzer or estimated via related formulas.

Results: The non-fasting group had significantly higher levels of TG and RC while lower levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol than the fasting group ($P < 0.05$). According to TG and RC cut-off values of the EAS, the percentages of HTG and HRC in the non-fasting group were 67.6% and 65.6%, respectively, while those in the fasting group were 57.1% and 52.9%, respectively. However, the percentages of HTG in the fasting state and at 2 h after a daily breakfast in 33 outpatients did not reach statistical significance (57.6% v.s. 51.5%). So did the fasting and at 2 h non-fasting percentages of HRC in them.

Conclusion: Non-fasting blood lipid test could find more HBP outpatients with HTG in Chinese outpatients with HBP. However, the percentage of HTG at 2h after a daily breakfast seemed to be close to that in the fasting state.

Introduction

Once hypertension (HBP) coexists with dyslipidemia [1], all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality increase sharply [2]. The primary goal of cholesterol-lowering therapy is to decrease low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) to target levels, and the secondary goal is to reduce non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C). Non-HDL-C is the total amount of cholesterol contained in lipoproteins other than high-density lipoprotein (HDL). In Chinese patients with HBP, the prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia was significantly higher than that of hypercholesterolemia [3]. Hypertriglyceridemia represents an increase in the amount of triglyceride-rich lipoprotein (TRLs) and their hydrolytic products, remnant lipoproteins (RLPs). Compared with nascent TRLs, RLPs contain more cholesterol ester, have smaller diameters, and thus are regarded as atherogenic as LDL. As a portion of non-HDL-C, remnant lipoprotein cholesterol (i.e. remnant cholesterol, RC) level is positively associated with TG level [4]. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the levels of TG and RC in patients with HBP.

Due to the long history of fasting blood lipid test, it is generally accepted by Chinese doctors and patients [5]. Many studies had confirmed a close relationship between fasting LDL-C level and coronary heart disease (CHD) [6, 7]. However, a clinical study with a large population recently shown that non-fasting TG levels at 2 to 4 hours had the strongest association with cardiovascular events rather than fasting TG level [8]. In addition, elevated non-fasting TG, as well as RC levels, were associated with increased risk of myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, and death in the general population cohort of Denmark [9]. Non-fasting blood lipid test after a daily meal has been recommended in routine clinical practice by the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). This recommendation could be helpful for the diagnosis of hypertriglyceridemia in Chinese outpatients who forget to keep the fasting state.

In this study, we explored the difference in the levels of TG or RC as well as the percentages of high TG (HTG) or high RC (HRC) between the fasting and non-fasting outpatients with HBP. Furthermore, a questionnaire survey was also conducted to learn about the awareness and acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test in Chinese outpatients with HBP.

Methods

2.1. Study subjects

Two hundred and twenty-five outpatients with primary HBP were enrolled in this study in the Outpatient Department of Cardiovascular Medicine Department, Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University from July 2019 to March 2021. HBP was defined as a history of systolic blood pressure values ≥ 140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure values ≥ 90 mm Hg for at least 3 days [10, 11].

According to the fasting state or not, there were 119 fasting patients and 106 non-fasting ones visiting the Outpatient Department. Thirty-three fasting ones among 119 fasting patients also accepted non-fasting blood lipid test at 2 h after a daily breakfast. Thus, there were two groups according to the time of blood lipid test, i.e. the fasting group (n=119) and the non-fasting group (n=139). Among all patients, 97 ones accepted a questionnaire survey to learn about the awareness and acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test. Fasting patients were those with a fasting period of at least 8 h before blood lipid test. Non-fasting ones were those with blood lipid test within 4 h after the last meal.

All of them were excluded from a history of secondary HBP, poorly controlled diabetes, thyroid diseases, liver and kidney diseases, autoimmune diseases, mental diseases, cancer or other severe medical diseases before getting involved. No one took oral hypolipidemic agents or other drugs that affected lipid metabolism. All patients continued to take antihypertensive drugs as usual and their blood pressure was monitored throughout the course. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Specimen Collection

After at least 8 h of overnight fasting, venous blood samples were collected in 119 fasting outpatients with HBP. Moreover, venous blood samples were also collected at 2 h after a daily breakfast in 33 ones among 119 fasting outpatients according to their daily habits. One hundred and six non-fasting outpatients accepted non-fasting blood lipid test after a daily breakfast or lunch, and venous blood samples were collected within 4 h after a daily meal according to their daily habits.

2.3. Laboratory assays

The serum was separated from the venous blood samples. Serum levels of TG and total cholesterol (TC) were measured by automated enzymatic assays, and those of LDL-C and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were determined by the direct method, on a HITACHI 7170A analyzer (Instrument Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) by a laboratory technician who had no knowledge of this study. The detection kits were provided by Japan and Pure Pharmaceutical Industry Co. (Wako). Levels of RC and non-HDL-C were estimated by the following formulas, $RC = TC - (HDL-C) - (LDL-C)$ and $non-HDL-C = TC - (HDL-C)$, respectively.

2.4. Cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC

According to the European joint consensus statement from the EAS [12], the fasting cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC are TG level 1.7 mmol/L and RC level 0.8 mmol/L, respectively. Their corresponding non-fasting cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC are TG level 2.0 mmol/L and RC level 0.9 mmol/L, respectively.

Recently, we reported that the non-fasting cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC were TG level 2.02 mmol/L [13] and RC level 0.87 mmol/L [14], respectively, in Chinese subjects.

In this study, the non-fasting cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC recommended by EAS and reported in Chinese subjects were all selected to evaluate the percentages of HTG and HRC in HBP outpatients.

2.5. Questionnaire survey

In order to learn about the awareness and acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test in outpatients with HBP, ninety-seven ones were invited to answer two questions. Each question is a single-choice one. Awareness survey referred to whether outpatients should keep fasting or non-fasting state for blood lipid test. There were four options, i.e. 'fasting', 'non-fasting', 'either is OK' and 'having no idea'. Acceptance survey referred to the investigation on the choice made by outpatients again after being told that both fasting and non-fasting lipid test were available and recommended. There were four options, i.e. 'fasting', 'non-fasting', 'either is OK' and 'depending on doctor'.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables of normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), and those of non-normal distribution were analyzed statistically after logarithmic conversion. Qualitative variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. The difference of the inter-group quantitative

variables was analyzed by independent-samples *t*-test. Paired *t*-test was used for comparing quantitative variables between the two time points. Qualitative variables were compared using Chi-square test for R x C. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 26.0. All *P* values were 2-tailed, and *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

3.1. General clinical characteristics of two groups

There was no significant difference in age, gender, body mass index (BMI), waist, systolic or diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, percentage of central obesity and proportion of patients with CHD and diabetes mellitus (DM). The number of current smokers in the non-fasting group was significantly lower than that in the fasting group (*P*<0.05, Table 1).

Table 1
Comparison of clinical characteristics between two groups

	Fasting group (n=119)	Postprandial group (n=139)
Age (y)	45.5 ± 10.6	45.8 ± 9.3
Men, n (%)	80 (67.2)	91 (65.5)
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.1 ± 3.7	26.4 ± 3.8
Waist (cm)	94.1 ± 10.4	94.3 ± 11.3
Current smoking, n (%)	32 (26.9)	17 (2.9) *
Central obesity, n (%)	88(74.0)	97 (69.8)
CHD, n (%)	5 (4.2)	3 (2.7)
DM, n (%)	6 (5.0)	6 (4.3)
SBP (mm Hg)	157.2 ± 22.5	154.6 ± 20.0
DBP (mm Hg)	98.1 ± 15.8	101.0 ± 77.7
HR (bpm)	85.3 ± 14.7	88.6 ± 15.6
<i>BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; SBP, systolic pressure; DBP, diastolic pressure; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute; *P < 0.05 when compared with fasting group.</i>		

3.2. Comparison of blood lipid levels between two groups

Among 106 non-fasting outpatients, 42 ones visited the doctors after breakfast while 64 ones visited the doctors after lunch. Among 106 ones, 62 ones were tested at 2 h, 18 ones were tested at 3 h and 26 ones

were tested at 4 h after a daily breakfast or lunch. Among 62 ones who were tested at 2h, 28 ones were tested after breakfast while 34 ones were tested after lunch. Among 18 ones who were tested at 3 h, 7 ones were tested after breakfast while 11 ones were tested after lunch. Among 26 ones who were tested at 4 h, 12 ones were tested after breakfast while 14 ones were tested after lunch (Fig. S1). Compared with the fasting group, the non-fasting group had significantly higher TG and RC levels ($P<0.05$) while lower levels of TC, LDL-C and non-HDL-C ($P<0.05$). There was no significant difference in HDL-C level between the two groups (Fig. 1A-F).

3.3. Comparisons of percentages of HTG and HRC between two groups

According to the cut-off values of HTG and HRC recommended by the EAS [12], the percentages of HTG and HRC in the fasting group were 57.1% and 52.9%, respectively, while those in the non-fasting group were 67.6% and 65.6%, respectively. According to the non-fasting cut-off values to determine HTG and HRC reported in Chinese subjects [13, 14], the percentages of HTG and HRC in the non-fasting group were 67.6% and 67.6%, respectively (Fig. 2A-B).

3.4 Comparisons of blood lipid levels and percentages of HTG and HRC before and after a daily meal in 33 outpatients

In 33 outpatients, non-fasting levels of TG and RC at 2 h after a daily meal were significantly higher than fasting levels of TG and RC ($P<0.05$, Fig. 3A-B). According to the cut-off values of HTG and HRC recommended by the EAS [12], the percentages of HTG and HRC in the fasting state were 57.6% and 51.5%, respectively, and those in the non-fasting group were 51.5% and 51.5%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the percentages of HTG or HRC between fasting state and non-fasting state at 2 h after a daily meal (Fig. 3C-D).

3.5. Awareness and acceptance of non-fasting lipid test

For the first question about awareness of non-fasting blood lipid test, 72.7% of outpatients chose the option of 'fasting', 18.5% chose the option of 'non-fasting', no one chose the option of 'either is OK', and 9.3% chose the option of 'having no idea' (Fig. 5A).

For the second question about acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test after immediate notification, 37.1% of outpatients chose the option of 'fasting', 10.3% chose the option of 'non-fasting', 19.6% chose the option of 'either is OK', and 33.0% chose the option of 'depending on doctor' (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

In this study, prominently elevated levels of TG and RC were found in the non-fasting group when compared with those in the fasting one, which is similar to the finding of the studies with a large-scale general population in Denmark [8, 15, 16]. In those Danish studies, the comparison between fasting and non-fasting blood lipid levels was carried out in different subjects according to the time of visiting the

clinics [15, 16]. The significant elevation of non-fasting TG and RC levels after a daily meal was also observed in 33 fasting outpatients with HBP in this study. Moreover, the mean TG level of the fasting outpatients was more than 1.7 mmol/L and that of the non-fasting outpatients exceeded 2.0 mmol/L, indicating the augmented synthesis and/or reduced elimination of TRLs and their remnants after a daily meal in Chinese patients with HBP.

Conversely, the non-fasting group had significantly lower levels of TC, LDL-C and non-HDL-C than the fasting group, which was similar to the finding of a Danish study carried out in different subjects according to the time of visiting the clinics [12]. We recently observed the non-fasting reduction in levels of TC, LDL-C and non-HDL-C in the inpatients with overweight, coronary heart disease or not [17–19]. There were several possible explanations for the non-fasting reduction in those lipid parameters. First, the decrease in non-fasting levels of TC, LDL-C and non-HDL-C was likely due to hemodilution caused by dietary fluid intake [20, 21]. Second, increased TRLs and their remnants after a daily meal could activate cholesteryl ester transporter protein in the case of hypertriglyceridemia, which ultimately increased RC levels and decreased LDL-C through promoting the transfer of cholesteryl ester from LDL to TRLs and their remnants [22, 23]. It is noteworthy that there was no significant difference in HDL-C level between the two groups, which was consistent with the results of other researchers who confirmed that HDL-C level was not affected by food intake [12].

We recently reported that the non-fasting cut-off values to determine HTG or HRC in Chinese subjects were very close to those recommended by the EAS (12), which induced that the corresponding percentages of non-fasting HTG or HRC dependent on those values were also very similar. Compared with the fasting group, the non-fasting group exhibited higher percentages of HTG and HRC. Although the difference in the percentages of HTG or HRC between the non-fasting group and the fasting one could not be statistically analyzed, the higher percentage of HTG in the non-fasting group still suggested that non-fasting blood lipid test could increase the probability of detecting HTG in Chinese outpatients with HBP.

Interestingly, the difference in the percentages of fasting and non-fasting HTG was small and insignificant in 33 outpatients with HBP. In addition to the small sample size, another cause for this phenomenon could be the difference in the time of blood lipid test. We previously found that levels of TG and RC began to rise at 2 h and peaked at 4 h after a daily meal in Chinese in patients with coronary heart disease and HBP [13, 14]. For some patients who are used to a high-fat and high-calorie diet, the peak of TG level may be higher or later [24, 25]. It indicated that serum TG level at 4 h after a daily meal can better reflect the maximum ability to synthesize and metabolize TG in a certain individual, while serum TG level at 2 h after a daily meal should be a relatively closer value to fasting level in Chinese subjects [12]. In the non-fasting group, about 44% outpatients (n=61) were tested at 2 h after a daily breakfast, about 56% (n=78) were tested later than 2 h after a daily breakfast or even after a daily lunch. It was consistent with the finding that the mean TG level in the non-fasting group was more than 3.0 mmol/L while that at 2 h in 33 outpatients was about 2.5mmol/L. Moreover, it was reported that the average TG level after a daily lunch is higher than that at 2 h after a daily breakfast in Chinese subjects [26], which could be attributed to the additive effect of increasing TG by breakfast and lunch. Thus, TG level at 2 h after a daily meal

may substitute its fasting value to evaluate HTG according to the non-fasting cut-off value for some special patients who are prone to hypoglycemia and physical weakness, such as diabetics, pregnant women, the elderly and children. However, in order to improve the diagnostic positive rate of HTG or to detect the maximum response of TG increase after a daily meal, blood lipid test at 4 h after a daily lunch could be more appropriate.

Chinese outpatients had little knowledge of the concept of non-fasting blood lipid test. Among the surveyed outpatients, less than one in five ones chose non-fasting blood lipid test. The vast majority of them chose fasting testing, which shows that this view has been widely accepted. After brief communication and notification on non-fasting blood lipid test, nearly half of them turned to accept non-fasting lipid test although more than one-third of them still insisted on fasting blood lipid test. It was worth noting that about one third of the surveyed outpatients left the decision to receive fasting or non-fasting blood lipid test to their doctors. That is to say, the application of non-fasting test in those subjects depends on their doctors. It indicates that the concepts of both doctors and patients must be updated through education in order to promote non-fasting blood lipid test in clinical practice in China.

There were several limitations in this study. First, the sample size was relatively small, especially compared with large-scale population studies abroad [8, 9]. Second, given the crucial role of controlling LDL-C levels in the prevention of cardiovascular disease in HBP patients, non-fasting LDL-C levels also should be evaluated in HBP patients. Since the goal of LDL-C level in HBP patients depends on the corresponding cardiovascular risk determined by underlying diseases and risk factors, it will be more complex than the determination of non-fasting HTG or HRC. In the future, prospective studies about non-fasting LDL-C levels to assess the risk of cardiovascular diseases in Chinese patients with HBP and guide clinical therapeutics are needed.

Conclusions

Non-fasting blood lipid test could find more HBP outpatients with HTG in Chinese outpatients with HBP. However, the percentage of HTG at 2 h after a daily breakfast seemed to be close to that in the fasting state.

Abbreviations

HBP

hypertension

EAS

European Atherosclerosis Society

LDL-C

Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

HDL-C

High-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Non-HDL-C
Nonhigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol
TC
Total cholesterol
TG
Triglyceride
RC
Remnant cholesterol
HTG
High triglyceride
HRC
High remnant cholesterol
TRLs
Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins
RLPs
remnant lipoproteins
CHD
coronary heart disease
BMI
body mass index
DM
diabetes mellitus

Declarations

Funding

The study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 81270956, 81470577).

Authors' contributions

Ling Liu is the primary investigator and designer of this study. Peiliu Qu, Yingying Xie, Liling Guo, Jin Xu, Liyuan Zhu, Yangrong Tan, Yan Fu, Miao Zhang, Tie Wen participated in the design of this study. Yingying Xie, Peiliu Qu drafted the manuscript. Yingying Xie and Ling Liu revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version. All authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University and informed consent was gained from all participants.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

References

1. Sander GE, Giles TD. Hypertension and lipids: lipid factors in the hypertension syndrome. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 2002;4(6):458–63.
2. Dolgalev IV, et al. Impact of hypertension, overweight, hypertriglyceridemia and their combination for mortality rate according to the results of a 27-year cohort prospective study. *Kardiologia.* 2019;59(11S):44–52.
3. Ling Y, et al. Serum triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, and coronary heart disease in a Chinese population undergoing coronary angiography. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2017;11(3):646–56.
4. Tushuizen ME, et al. Postprandial lipid and apolipoprotein responses following three consecutive meals associate with liver fat content in type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. *Atherosclerosis.* 2010;211(1):308–14.
5. Liu L, Zhao SP. Expert consensus on the non-fasting blood lipid detection and clinical application. *Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi.* 2021;60(5):400–5.
6. Lee JS, et al., Triglyceride and HDL-C Dyslipidemia and Risks of Coronary Heart Disease and Ischemic Stroke by Glycemic Dysregulation Status. The Strong Heart Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2017;40(4):529–37.
7. Lamarche B, et al. Fasting insulin and apolipoprotein B levels and low-density lipoprotein particle size as risk factors for ischemic heart disease. *JAMA.* 1998;279(24):1955–61.
8. Bansal S, et al. Fasting compared with nonfasting triglycerides and risk of cardiovascular events in women. *JAMA.* 2007;298(3):309–16.
9. Nordestgaard BG, et al. Nonfasting triglycerides and risk of myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, and death in men and women. *JAMA.* 2007;298(3):299–308.

10. National guideline for hypertension management in China. (2019). *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi*, 2020. 48(1): p. 10-46.
11. Whelton PK, et al., 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 2018. 71(19): p. e127-e248.
12. Nordestgaard BG, et al. Fasting Is Not Routinely Required for Determination of a Lipid Profile: Clinical and Laboratory Implications Including Flagging at Desirable Concentration Cutpoints-A Joint Consensus Statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society and European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine. *Clin Chem*. 2016;62(7):930–46.
13. Xu J, et al. Determination of optimal cut-off points after a high-fat meal corresponding to fasting elevations of triglyceride and remnant cholesterol in Chinese subjects. *Lipids Health Dis*. 2019;18(1):206.
14. Xu J, et al. Change in Postprandial Level of Remnant Cholesterol After a Daily Breakfast in Chinese Patients With Hypertension. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021;8:685385.
15. Tushuizen ME, et al. Postprandial lipid and apolipoprotein responses following three consecutive meals associate with liver fat content in type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;211(1):308–14.
16. Perez-Martinez P, et al. Update on genetics of postprandial lipemia. *Atheroscler Suppl*. 2010;11(1):39–43.
17. Tian F, et al. Changes in non-fasting concentrations of blood lipids after a daily Chinese breakfast in overweight subjects without fasting hypertriglyceridemia. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2019;490:147–53.
18. Guo LL, et al. Non-HDL-C Is More Stable Than LDL-C in Assessing the Percent Attainment of Non-fasting Lipid for Coronary Heart Disease Patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021;8:649181.
19. Lin Q, et al. The Role of Fasting LDL-C Levels in Their Non-fasting Reduction in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021;8:686234.
20. Langsted A, Freiberg JJ, Nordestgaard BG. Fasting and nonfasting lipid levels: influence of normal food intake on lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, and cardiovascular risk prediction. *Circulation*. 2008;118(20):2047–56.
21. Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG. Nonfasting lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins in individuals with and without diabetes: 58 434 individuals from the Copenhagen General Population Study. *Clin Chem*. 2011;57(3):482–9.
22. Du X, Zhang SL, Liu L. Association between remnant lipoprotein-cholesterol and coronary heart disease. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi*. 2016;44(8):657–60.
23. Mooradian AD. Dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab*. 2009;5(3):150–9.
24. Zhao SP, et al. Xuezhikang, an extract of cholestin, protects endothelial function through antiinflammatory and lipid-lowering mechanisms in patients with coronary heart disease. *Circulation*.

25. Ling L, et al. Optimal time point to measure postprandial hypertriglyceridemia after a high-fat meal. Chin J Endocrinol Metab. 2004. 20(01):23–25.

26. Yang D, et al. Postprandial Lipid Concentrations and Daytime Biological Variation of Lipids in a Healthy Chinese Population. Ann Lab Med. 2018;38(5):431–9.

Figures




Figure 1

Comparisons of serum levels of blood lipids between the two groups. (A-F) Serum levels of TG, RC, HDL-C, TC, LDL-C, nonHDL-C in the fasting group (n=119) and non-fasting group (n=139), respectively. The bar represents standard error of the mean. *P < 0.05 when compared with the fasting group.




Figure 2

Comparisons of the percentages of HTG and HRC between fasting group (n=119) and non-fasting group (n=139). (A) Comparison of HTG according to the cut-off points of fasting TG (fTG) \geq 1.7mmol/L, non-fasting TG (nfTG) \geq 2.0mmol and nfTG \geq 2.02mmol/L, respectively. (B) Comparison of HRC according to the cut-off points of fasting RC (fRC) \geq 0.8mmol/L, non-fasting RC (nfRC) \geq 0.9mmol/L and nfRC \geq 0.87mmol/L, respectively.




Figure 3

Changes in serum levels of blood lipids and comparison of percentages of HTG and HRC in the outpatients with HBP (n=33). (A-B) Non-fasting changes in serum levels of TG and RC between 0 h and 2 h after a daily meal. The bar represents standard error of the mean. (C-D) Percentages of HTG and HRC at 0h and 2h after a daily meal according to $\text{nfTG} \geq 2.0\text{mmol/L}$ and $\text{nfRC} \geq 0.87\text{mmol/L}$, respectively.





Figure 4

Awareness and acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test. (A) Awareness of non-fasting blood lipid test in HBP outpatients. (B) Acceptance of non-fasting blood lipid test in HBP outpatients after patient education.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementarydata.tif](#)