Chemometrics, Health Risk Assessment and Probable Sources of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Atmospheric Rainwater in Rivers State, Nigeria.

Daniel Omokpariola

Nnamdi Azikiwe University

John Nduka (✉ johnnduka2000@yahoo.co.uk)

Nnamdi Azikiwe University

Henrietta Kelle

National Open University of Nigeria

MaryAnn Mgbemena

Michael Okpara University of Agriculture

Emily Iduseri

National Open University of Nigeria

Research Article

Keywords: Atmospheric rainwater, TPHs, Chemometrics and risk assessment, Carbon preference index, Average carbon length, Nigeria

Posted Date: October 27th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-967523/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Chemometrics, health risk assessment and probable sources of total petroleum hydrocarbons in atmospheric rainwater in Rivers State, Nigeria.

By

Daniel Omeodisemi ¹Omokpariola, John Kanayochukwu ^{*1}Nduka, Henrietta Ijeoma ²Kelle, MaryAnn Nkoli ³Mgbemena, Emily Osa ²Iduseri

¹Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology Unit, Pure and Industrial Chemistry Department, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, P.M.B. 5025, Awka, Nigeria.

²Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, National Open University Abuja. Nigeria

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, National Open University Abuja. Nigeria

³Department of Chemistry. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State Nigeria

Email address: johnnduka2000@yahoo.co.uk; +234 (0) 7039541583

Abstract

Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs)-(aliphatic and aromatic) were analysed for in atmospheric rainwater between April- June; July – August; September–October depicting early, mid, late rain of 2019. Sampling at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome and Ogale in Rivers State using basins fastened to a table 2 M above ground and 120 M from high features, Rainwater was analysed after treatment using Agilent GC-FID. Results show cumulative TPHs at R/R were 56.6551mg/L, 39.5201mg/L and 7.2283mg/L, Ogale: 9.1217mg/L, 59.4923mg/L and 21.9825mg/L. Aliphatic hydrocarbons: C5 – C8 were < 1, low contamination, other carbon aggregates (C9 – C16, C17– C35, and C36 – C40) indicate high contamination. Chemometric assessment showed high contamination. TPHs aggregates at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome were- C8 – C11 (1.034 and 1.005) early rain, C18 – C25 and C26 – C33 has Carbon preference index of 1.287 and 1.630 (mid-rain), C26 – C33 has CPI of 1.288 (late-rain), Ogale area, C26–C33 has CPI of 1.732 (early-rain), mid-rain C8 – C11 (2.768) and C12–C17 (5.368). Pristane/phytane ratio indicated biogenic and pyrogenic sources. Average carbon length of TPHs for odd n-alkanes were C9 – C11 (9.446) and C35 – C39 (38.980), C9 – C11(10.238), C35 – C39 (36.510); C9 – C11 (10.240) and C35 – C39 (36.934). Average daily intake depicted possible health issues for children and adults as hazard index > 1 for aromatics.

Keywords: Atmospheric rainwater; TPHs; Chemometrics and risk assessment; Carbon preference index; Average carbon length; Nigeria

1. Introduction

Rivers State Nigeria has been a petroleum exploration and exploitation hotspot for over 50 years covering a land mass of about 10,575 square kilometres [1]. There is continuous wet and dry season through the year resulting from the impact of the Atlantic Ocean and Sahara Desert continental air masses. Annual rainfall ranges from 1900 – 2850 mm, temperature varies from 22.6 – 34.0 °C, relative humidity between 65 – 80% [1]. Different local and international oil companies situated onshore and offshore with downstream petroleum depots and filling stations surround major oil-producing communities and connect several pipelines and flow stations. These activities may likely result in the release of environmental contaminants such as polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PHAs), aliphatic hydrocarbons, heavy metals, organometallic compounds, aerosols, ashes, particulates, H₂S, CO_x, NO_x, SO_x, soot, smoke, etc into the atmosphere as activities of petroleum companies are intense in Nigeria's crude oil and gas-bearing region reputed to house several billions of crude oil and trillions of cubic feet of natural gas [2]. Out of several environmental contaminants that may be released, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) stand out and are chemical compounds produced by phytogenic (plants, animal and microbes), petrogenic (crude oil, gas, and coal), and pyrogenic (natural and anthropogenic) combustion of carbonaceous component [3].

They are made from carbon and hydrogen compounds that range from C₅ – C₄₀ with aromatic (cyclic ring) such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene), asphaltenes, prophrins, NSO (nitrogen, sulphur, oxygen) compounds, etc. and aliphatic saturates (straight-chain, branched-chain and cyclic alkanes) such as paraffins, isoprenoids, naphthenes, etc [4]. Suitable environmental conditions can activate the formation of toxic polychlorinated-n-alkanes of formula C_nH_{2n+2-2}X₂ (X is chlorine or halogen group) [5], C₁₀ – C₁₃ carbon chain has the most probable environmental emission [6], as a result of photolysis, hydrolysis, oxidation, and biodegradation (degradation processes) transform chemical compounds upon entry into its environmental recipient, They are released across environmental matrices (lithosphere, hydrosphere, and atmosphere) by human activities such as petroleum exploration and drilling process, industrial effluents, accidental spills, automobile releases, petroleum transport and depot storage, which causes tremendous contamination over the cumulative period [7, 8, 9]. Pyrogenic, petrogenic, and phytogenic emission into the atmosphere leads to high deposition of particulates on different surfaces.

Rainfall washes these particulates and gases out from the atmosphere. Several assessments have shown that TPH influences inorganic parameters of water such as pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, total solids, and turbidity already reported [7, 10]. A higher amount of these petroleum chemicals reacts physiochemically and biologically across environmental matrices leading to the production of persistent organic pollutants (POPs), micro particulates, and sediments triggering a high risk of bioaccumulation in humans that may result in mental, genetic, immune, endocrine-disruption, respiratory, teratogenic and carcinogenic effects [11, 12]. Previous studies have focused on TPHs in soil and sediment, aquatic species, and marine sources [13, 14, 15], while polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs) in soils and surface water of the region has received voluminous literature [16, 17] and consistently proved the negative impact to flora and faunas of the study area by organic emissions from various activities previously enumerated above, however, we are not aware of any literature evidence of TPH via atmospheric rainwater deposition in Nigeria, this forms the basis of our research. The reason for this work is to i) to estimate the TPHs in atmospheric rainwater in crude oil and gas region of Nigeria ii) source identification iii) evaluation of carbon preference index and average carbon length and iv) non-carcinogenic risk assessment of TPH.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample collection and preparation

Rainwater samples were collected from ambient (open-air) in Ogale (O/E), Eleme local government area (4.786414°N; 7.139266°E) and Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R), Obio-Akpor local government area (4.856923°N; 7.014726°E) in Rivers State, Nigeria between the months of April – May (early rain), June – August (mid rain) and September – November (late rain) in 2019 using pre-cleaned amber glass bottles. Sampling was at different locations of Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) and Ogale (O/E) in Rivers State, Nigeria using basins fastened to a Table 2 M above ground and 120 M from high rise features, atmospheric rainwater after collection, was filtered into amber glass bottles before analysis. The samples were labelled, packaged in a black cellophane bag, which was taken to the laboratory for analysis.

2.2 Extraction of petroleum hydrocarbon from rainwater samples

The extraction of petroleum hydrocarbons from rainwater samples was carried out using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) methodology 8015D. Precisely 200 mL of rainwater samples was measured out into a pre-cleaned separating funnel and mixed with 50 mL of dichloromethane. The mixture in the separating funnel was mechanically shaken for five minutes and allowed to separate into organic and aqueous phases; thereafter the organic phase was collected into a beaker and repeated trice with 10 mL dichloromethane (DCM) continuously. The corresponding organic fractions were mixed into a beaker and subsequently concentrated using a rotary concentrator [4, 18]

2.3 Gas Chromatography Analysis

The petroleum hydrocarbons were quantified using Agilent GC-FID 7820A (gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector). The GC-FID column infused with HP-5 fused silica capillary having dimension (30m × 0.32mm × 0.25µm film thickness) with helium gas as carrier gas at 1.75 mL/min flow rate. Appropriate calibration was conducted using hydrocarbon standard mixture with integrated limit from C₈H₁₈ to C₄₀H₈₂ with five calibration-level prepared (10 – 150 mg/L) and injected into the gas chromatogram to obtain calibration curve of 0.99978 correspondingly. The concentrated sample extract was injected in splitless mode using DCM as standard to remove background interference to chromatogram area, as the temperature followed a stepwise process: initial temperature (50°C) holds for 2 min, steady increase from 10°C to 100°C, holds for 2 min then ramp at 5°C to 250°C and hold for 3 min, the final ramp from 5°C to 320°C and hold for 10 min [18]. The final chromatogram results were analyzed with the Agilent software (chemstation).

2.4 Chemometric Assessment

2.4.1 Contamination Factor and Pollution Load Index

The contamination factor, CF is calculated to reveal the extent of aggregate TPHs contamination in rainwater, while pollution load index entails the comparative means to assess the cumulative level of TPHs for both aliphatic and aromatic forms using reference values

$$CF = C_s / C_r \quad (1)$$

Where, C_s = concentration of aggregate TPHs (mg/L).

C_r = reference standards using TPHCWG [19].

Mathematically, it is expressed as $CF \leq$ lower contamination, $1 <$ moderate, $3 <$ Considerable, $6 \geq$ CF, high contamination [20]. The reference standards are shown in Table 1.

The Pollution Load Index (PLI) is given as:

$$PLI = (CF_1 \times CF_2 \times \dots \times CF_n)^{1/n} \quad (2)$$

Where CF_1 = contamination factor of each TPH aggregate assessed

n = number of components assessed.

2.4.2 Principal component assessment

Principal component assessment (PCA) is a statistical tool used to identify various matrices to derive salient information about components. PCA is a data reduction technique used to find the linear combinations of variables (TPHs) leading to the formation of factors [21], gives un-rotated and rotated varimax, as rotated varimax is commonly utilized because it facilitates the best interpretation from sets of variables. The rotation is simply a process that allows a new axis to be chosen while maintaining individual components [22]. They are graded as strong (>0.75), moderate ($0.75 - 0.50$), and weak ($0.50 - 0.30$) as done by Liu et al., [23]. PCA was determined using Microsoft Excel with XL-STAT add-ins, 2019.

2.5 Petroleum hydrocarbon identification using molecular makers

2.5.1 Carbon preference index

Carbon preference index (CPI) is the total of odd n-alkanes divided by the sum total of even n-alkanes for a range of $C_8 - C_{40}$ to estimate the relative source identification of either natural or artificial contribution to petroleum hydrocarbon.

$$CPI = (\text{sum of odd n - alkanes}) / (\text{sum of even n - alkanes}) \quad (3)$$

CPI is used as a forensic indicator for petroleum source identification of TPHs. CPI values greater than 1 shows the natural contribution from biological phytoplankton plants while CPI less than 1 shows the anthropogenic (artificial) source from ubiquitous contribution [24, 25]. TPHs were aggregated into five forms to conduct CPI evaluation: $C_8 - C_{11}$, $C_{12} - C_{17}$, $C_{18} - C_{25}$, $C_{26} - C_{33}$, and $C_{34} - C_{40}$.

2.5.2 Average carbon length (ACL)

Average carbon length is a guide used to evaluate odd n-alkanes dominance per molecule in environmental samples to form link to petrogenic plant source as a forensic tool to assess hydrocarbon contamination [26]. ACL was aggregated into five categories used in CPI assessment and calculated using equation 4 below

$$\text{For } C_9 - C_{11}; ACL = \frac{9(nC_9) + 11(nC_{11})}{C_9 + C_{11}} \quad (4)$$

The formula, as shown above, was conducted for other aggregates respectively. ACL values are usually constant in unpolluted rainwater samples but fluctuate as values deplete in polluted rainwater [27]. ACL was assessed for even n-alkanes in the environment to assess the anthropogenic impact in the environment.

2.5.3 Long-chain hydrocarbon/short-chain hydrocarbons (LHC/SHC)

Long-chain hydrocarbons are n-alkanes above n- alkanes at C₂₆ usually from vascular plant-based anthropogenic sources, while short-chain hydrocarbons are n-alkanes below n-alkanes at C₂₆ from phytoplankton or algae-based sources [28].

$$\text{LHC/SHC} = \left(\sum > n\text{C}_{26} / \sum < n\text{C}_{26} \right) \quad (5)$$

They are assessed using odd and even n-alkanes. For odd n-alkanes, LHC/SHC between 0 – 1.00 shows phytoplankton, < 4.00 shows a mixture of phytoplankton and terrestrial plants, > 4.00 indicates terrestrial plant inputs. For even n-alkanes, LHC/SHC between 0 – 1.00 shows inputs from anaerobic microbial biogenic sources, < 4.00 shows a mixture between anaerobic and anthropogenic petroleum, releases > 4.00 shows that anthropogenic petroleum releases.

2.5.4 Low molecular weight/ high molecular weight (L/H).

It is the ratio of low molecular weight n-alkanes below C₂₀, while above C₂₀ gives the high molecular weight used to determine the n-alkanes. L/H values close to unity (1) show natural input from marine and terrestrial biological sources, while above 1 indicates inputs from petroleum sources [29].

2.5.5 C₃₁/C₁₉ ratio

It is used as source identification and differentiation for TPHs in rainwater. C₃₁ is assumed to be from terrestrial biogenic hydrocarbons, while C₁₉ proposes marine inputs. The ratio below 0.4 reveals marine sources, while above 0.4 is from terrestrial biogenic or non-marine sources [30].

2.5.6. Pristane/Phytane ratio

It is the ratio of the abundance of pristane to phytane for redox conditions in the aquatic environment, based on the assumption that pristane is from oxygenated (aerobic) source degradation of planktons, while phytane is from reduction (anaerobic) source degradation of planktons by microbes. As such, Pristane/phytane ratio below unity (1) indicates oxic condition (pyrogenic source), while greater than 1 indicates anoxic (biogenic source) [31].

2.6 Human health risk assessment

Human health risk assessment (HHRA) is a tool used to estimate if common and potential contaminants released into the environment will adversely affect the health of humans over a long period from diverse exposure paths (inhalation, injection, dermal). According to Bharadwaj and Machibroda [32], HHRA may not prove that diseases are connected to an exposure pathway or a particular chemical agent as humans are exposed to numerous chemical agents. According to USEPA [33], it is based on characterization, risk assessment, hazard identification, receptor characterization, exposure assessment, and risk characterization.

In this study, non-carcinogenic HHRA were evaluated for adults and children for TPHs exposure via dermal and ingestion using USEPA models as shown in Equation 6 and 7 [8, 33].

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{dermal}} = \frac{C_w \times \text{SAF} \times \text{SA} \times \text{DAF} \times \text{ED}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times \text{GIABS} \times \text{THQ}} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{ingestion}} = \frac{C_w \times \text{IR}_w \times \text{ED}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT} \times \text{THQ}} \quad (7)$$

Where: ADI is the Average daily intake (mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹).

1 C_w is the concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons in water (mg/L).
2 SAF is skin adherence factor; (0.12mgcm^{-2} for adults and 0.2mgcm^{-2} children).
3 SA is exposed skin area: ($2373\text{cm}^2/\text{day}$ for adults and $3527\text{cm}^2/\text{day}$ for children)
4 DAF is dermal absorption factor (unitless); (0.1 for adults and children)
5 ED is exposure duration; (25 years for adults and 6 years for children).
6 BW is body weight; (80kg for adults and 15kg for children).
7 AT is the average time for non – carcinogen = $\text{ED} \times 365$ days
8 GIABS is a gastrointestinal absorption factor (unitless): (1.0 for adults and children).
9 THQ is target noncancer hazard quotient: (1.0 for adults and children).
10 IR_w is ingestion rate; (2L day^{-1} for adults and 1L day^{-1} for children).
11

12 The chronic daily intake obtained from eq. (6) and eq. (7) were used to obtain the hazard index for
13 non-carcinogenic TPHs as shown below:

$$14 \quad \text{HI} = \text{HQ}_{\text{dermal}} + \text{HQ}_{\text{ingestion}} = \left[\left(\text{CDI}_{\text{dermal}} / \text{RfD} \right) \right] + \left[\left(\text{CDI}_{\text{ingestion}} / \text{RfD} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$

15 Where Hazard Index (HI) is the total of Hazard quotient (HQ) from dermal and ingestion, as the
16 acceptable limit is 1.0 [34].

17 Hazard quotient is the probability that an adverse health effect is imminent (unitless)

18 The reference dose (RfD) is shown in Table 2.

19

20 **3. Results**

21 Table 3 shows the mean concentration of total petroleum hydrocarbons ($C_8 - C_{40}$) assessed in
22 Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA (R/R), and Ogale, Eleme LGA (O/E). The total of
23 early rain, mid rain, and late rain for R/R are 56.6551mg/L , 39.5201mg/L , and 7.2283mg/L , while
24 O/E were 9.1217mg/L , 59.4923mg/L , and 21.9825mg/L respectively.

25 Table 4 shows contamination factor (CF) conducted with pollution load index (PLI). The cumulative
26 CF were 9.953, 195.016, and 36.751 for R/R, while O/E were 41.265, 55.5908, and 21.6713 for
27 aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbons. Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons assessed at R/R were 304.96,
28 1374.307, and 225.666 for early rain, mid rain, and late rain; while that of O/E were 274.683, 1580.89,
29 and 793.505 accordingly.

30 Table 5 shows principal component analysis conducted for TPHs mean concentration across the two
31 locations. Factor analysis gave two factors for rotated varimax. R/R had cumulative variance at
32 29.50%, the rotated varimax for $C_8 - C_{40}$ ranged from -0.995 to 0.998. O/E had cumulative variance
33 at 77.89% with rotated varimax for $C_8 - C_{40}$ ranged from -0.667 to 0.995.

34 Table 6 shows the carbon preference index (CPI) conducted for five TPH aggregates ($C_8 - C_{11}$, $C_{12} -$
35 C_{17} , $C_{18} - C_{25}$, $C_{26} - C_{33}$, $C_{34} - C_{40}$). R/R ranged from 0.083 to 1.630 for early, mid and late rain
36 regiments, while O/E ranged from 0.105 to 5.368 respectively.

1 Table 7 shows the average carbon length (ACL) conducted for five TPH aggregates (C₈ – C₁₁, C₁₂ –
2 C₁₇, C₁₈ – C₂₅, C₂₆ – C₃₃, C₃₄ – C₄₀). The ACL values for R/R ranged from 8.596 to 39.66 for odd and
3 even n-alkanes derivatives, while O/E ranged from 9.086 to 39.985 respectively.

4 Table 8 shows Long Chain Hydrocarbons/ Short Chain Hydrocarbons (LHC/SHC) assessed for C₈ –
5 C₄₀. The odd n-alkanes values R/R for early, mid and late rains were 22.268, 0.722, and 0.65, while
6 O/E were 0.752, 0.832, and 4.02. For even n-alkanes values, R/R were 220.33, 1.015, and 1.111,
7 while O/E were 1.034, 3.589, and 4.881.

8 Table 9 shows average daily intake (ADI) of aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons (PHs)
9 for adults across early rain, mid rain and late rain respectively. For aliphatic PHs, R/R ADI (ingestion)
10 ranged from 4.20E-05 mg/kg/day to 5.62E-02 mg/kg/day, ADI (dermal) ranged from 4.08E-05
11 mg/kg/day to 5.47E-02 mg/kg/day. O/E ADI (ingestion) varies from 2.11E-05 mg/kg/day to 2.82E-
12 02 mg/kg/day; ADI (dermal) varies from 2.06E-05 mg/kg/day to 2.74E-02 mg/kg/day. For aromatic
13 PHs, R/R ADI (ingestion) ranged from 2.40E-04 mg/kg/day to 5.62E-02 mg/kg/day; ADI (dermal)
14 varies from 2.34E-04 mg/kg/day to 5.47E-02 mg/kg/day. O/E ADI (ingestion) varies from 4.19E-04
15 mg/kg/day to 2.82E-02 mg/kg/day, ADI (dermal) ranged from 4.088E-04 mg/kg/day to 2.74E-02
16 mg/kg/day

17 Table 10 shows the average daily intake (ADI) of aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons
18 (PHs) for children across three rain sampling regiments (early rain, mid rain and late rain). The
19 minimum and maximum aliphatic PHs evaluated in R/R and O/E are ADI (ingestion): 3.85E-06
20 mg/kg/day and 1.02E-02 mg/kg/day, while for ADI (dermal): 2.72E-04 mg/kg/day and 7.23E-
21 01mg/kg/day. For aromatic PHs, the minimum and maximum ranges are ADI (ingestion): 4.39E-05
22 mg/kg/day and 1.02E-02 mg/kg/day, while ADI (dermal): 3.09E-03 mg/kg/day and 7.23E-01
23 mg/kg/day.

24 Table 11 shows the hazard quotient (HQ) of aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons (PHs)
25 calculated using the reference dose (RfD) as shown in Table 2 for adults across three rain sampling
26 regiments. Aliphatic PHs evaluated for R/R and O/E: HQ (ingested) varies from 7.02E-02E-05 to
27 1.30; HQ (dermal) varies from 4.12E-06 to 1.20E-01. Aromatic PHs evaluated for R/R and O/E: HQ
28 (ingested) varies from 5.56E-03 to 2.89; HQ (dermal) varies from 1.49E-03 to 1.82.

29 Table 12 shows the hazard quotient (HQ) of aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons (PHs)
30 calculated using reference dose (RfD) as shown in Table 2 children across three rain-sampling
31 regiments. Aliphatic PHs evaluated for R/R and O/E: HQ (ingested) ranged from 1.28E-05 to 2.37E-
32 01; HQ (dermal) ranged from 5.44E-05 to 1.67. Aromatic PHs were HQ (ingested) varies from 1.01E-
33 3 to 5.28E-01; HQ (dermal) varies from 1.97 to 24.09.

34 Fig 1 shows the percentage of TPHs in ambient rainwater samples in R/R and O/E sampling
35 regiments. For R/R early rain, C₄₀ had over 90.66% cumulative TPHs concentration in tandem with
36 C₃₉ having 7.61%, while C₈ – C₃₈ shared 1.73% accordingly. For R/R mid rain, C₄₀ had the highest
37 TPHs concentration of 16.58%, as C₁₃ and C₂₅ were above 5%, while C₁₄, Phytane, C₂₇ were
38 within 4.12 – 4.47%, as other TPHs aggregates ranged from 0.17 – 3.90%. R/R late rain displayed
39 that C₄₀ was 22.662%, as compared to other TPHs (C₈ – C₃₉) aggregates ranging from 0.20 – 5.48%.
40 O/E produced the highest percentage TPHs of C₄₀ (20.38%), C₄₀ (37.42), and C₃₆ (21.66) for the
41 three rain sampling regiments (early rain, mid rain, and late rain), while the least percentage was
42 present in C₁₉ (0.55), C₁₆ (0.05) and C₃₇ (0.02) accordingly.

43

44 Fig 2 displays results of hazard index (HI) evaluated from HI (ingested and dermal) for aliphatic
45 petroleum hydrocarbons (PHs) in adults and children. The cumulative sum of all HI (C₆ – C₈, C₉ –

1 C18, C19 – C32 and C33 – C40) assessed across the three rain-sampling regiments are Adults: R/R
2 (0.038, 1.441, and 0.266), O/E (0.3561, 0.665, and 0.136), Children: R/R (0.081, 2.012 and 0.365),
3 O/E (0.472, 1.016 and 0.247).

4 Fig 3 shows the results of hazard index (HI) calculated from HI (ingested and dermal) for aromatic
5 petroleum hydrocarbons (PHs) in adults and children. The cumulative sum of all HI (C6 – C16, C17
6 – C32, C33 – C40) across early rain, mid rain, and late rain are Adults: R/R (2.054, 3.661 and 0.768),
7 O/E (1.031, 2.646 and 1.024), Children: R/R (24.226, 7.779 and 1.852), O/E (2.468, 20.424, 7.925).

8 **4. Discussion**

9 **4.1. Level of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in ambient atmospheric rainwater**

10 Rivers State of Nigeria over the years has been embroiled in numerous cases of crude oil drillings,
11 spills and illicit pipeline bunkering, crude oil, its fractional derivatives and gas pipeline vandalization,
12 massive gas flares, hydrocarbon installation fire outbreaks, refinery operations, these activities are
13 known to release toxic gaseous hydrocarbons into the atmosphere [35], related emissions from
14 associated-petroleum industries include automobiles, coastal marine transportation, homes, and
15 manufacturing industries utilizing diesel generators, burn-pits also account for total petroleum
16 hydrocarbon (TPH) concentration in the atmosphere [36, 37, 38]. All the aforementioned releases in
17 the atmosphere undergo chemical interactions, several induced or natural chemical processes such as
18 volatilization, photo-oxidation, and biodegradation, which combine with air moisture before
19 atmospheric rainfall deposition impacting soil and water quality [39, 40]. The surface water of the
20 present study area is known to be laden with conjugated and straight-chain hydrocarbon [17, 41].

21 Results showed that ambient rainwater at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) and Ogale (O/E) had
22 elevated levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons in their combined forms (aliphatic and aromatic
23 petroleum hydrocarbons) from early rain to late rain (Table 3). Using USEPA, [42] and TPHCWG,
24 [19] petroleum hydrocarbons categories, there was the presence of both light and heavy carbon chains
25 (C8 – C40). C19 – C40 indicates the presence of fuel oils; other carbon groups are due to
26 anthropogenic activities. The highest TPH concentrations was predominant for C40 across all
27 locations and sampling regiments and shows that higher molecular weight hydrocarbons were
28 present. Specific range from Table 3 as carbon chain length shows TPHs value of 0.0046 of C21 -
29 TPHs (56.6551) of C40 (early rain); 0.0683 of C8 – TPHs of 6.5533 of C40 (mid rain) and 0.0142 of
30 C34 – 1.6351 (late rain) at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) while at Ogale (O/E), it ranged from
31 0.0500 of C19 – 1.8601 of C40 (early rain), 0.0342 of C8 – 22.237 (mid rain) and 0.0050 of C37 –
32 1.511 (late rain). Similar studies by Ali et al., [10] showed the presence of TPHs of River water in
33 significant levels in three-sampling stations as they got lower concentration in October 2018, while
34 January 2019 had a higher concentration in Al-Gharraf, Iraq, which was attributed to emission from
35 sewage releases into rivers and municipal wastes from cities and farmland but the levels obtained
36 were lower in comparison to the TPHs values presented here. According to Kennedy et al., [3],
37 rainfall reveals the overall and regional atmospheric quality inclusive of all forms of emissions to the
38 atmosphere, as similar assessment by Griffiths and Timperley [43] noted the presence of visible oil
39 sheens on vehicle screens with particulate matter.

40

41 **4.2. Chemometric assessment**

42 **4.2.1 Contamination factor and pollution load index**

43 Contamination factor (CF) and pollution load index (PLI) were conducted on aliphatic and aromatic
44 hydrocarbons within THGCWG [19] standards as shown in Table 4, aliphatic hydrocarbons via both

locations, C5 – C8 were < 1, implying low contamination, while other carbon aggregates (C9 – C16, C17 – C35 and C36 – C40) indicates high contamination except for early and late rain of C17 – C35 and C36 – C40 at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) while early and late rain of C36 – C40 at Ogale (O/E) respectively. Considering aromatic hydrocarbons, C5 – C8 at the R/R location were below one (1) while that of O/E early rain was considered contaminated, other carbon aggregates were highly present. Using PLI assignment, aliphatic hydrocarbons at R/R early rain were within safe background level except for mid and late rain that were above one (1), indicating high pollution of atmospheric rainwater, at Ogale (O/E), rainwater was highly polluted for all three rain periods but aromatic hydrocarbons at both R/R and O/E were high and polluted as they were above one (1) [44]. The contamination of water by TPH is associated with increases in particulate matter with fine particle size, high temperature, reduced dissolved oxygen, low salinity, and anaerobic reactions [45]. In addition, TPHs at higher carbon ranges are known to form oily films that prevent limited sunlight penetration thus influencing poor water quality leading to taste and odour issues over some time.

4.2.2 Principal component analysis

Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) were subjected to principal component analysis using rotated varimax as seen in Table 5. Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) had two factors with 29.50% cumulative variance, while Ogale (O/E) had 77.89% cumulative variance. There were positive and negative TPHs components across the two factors assigned. Using Liu et al., [23] at R/R, Factor 1 had moderate and strong grades except for C37 and C40, which had weak grades, while Factor 2 had moderate and strong grades, at O/E Factor 1 had predominant weak and strong grades across all TPHs components assessed except C31, while Factor 2 had strong, moderate and weak except for C16 – C27 and C37 – C40 that were very weak (< 0.30). As we can infer across both locations (R/R and O/E), positive components are due to the presence of the TPH components from varying sources either petrogenic or phytogenic petroleum sources. The negative components can be due to atmospheric reactions with pyrogenic petroleum sources (combustion of carbonaceous substances), marine sea sprays, and climatic conditions [46].

4.3. Petroleum hydrocarbon source identification

4.3.1 Carbon preference index

Carbon preference index (CPI) shown in Table 6, indicated that values above one (1) were due to natural sources (terrestrial vascular floras) as compared to CPI below one (1), more in number that was due to anthropogenic and petroleum activities from the combustion of crude oil, gas flares, refinery and petrochemical plant, emission of organics from industries as a major economic activity within the area. The TPHs aggregates at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) were highest at C8 – C11 (1.034 and 1.005) early rain. C18 – C25 and C26 – C33 have CPI of 1.287 and 1.630 (mid-rain). C26 – C33 has a CPI of 1.288 (late-rain) but at Ogale sampling area, C26 – C33 has the highest CPI value of 1.732 (early-rain), the mid-rain highest value is for C8 – C11 (2.768) and C12 – C17 (5.368) while late-rain exhibited a CPI value of 1.490, 1.592, 1.193 and 1.728 (C8 – C11, C12 – C17, C18 – C25 and C26 – C39), all these were greater than CPI value of one (1) but accounted for only 40% while values less than one (1) represent 60% hence CPI were dominated by anthropogenic origin of petroleum processing [13, 47].

41

4.3.2 Average carbon length (ACL)

Average carbon length (ACL) values as evaluated (Table 7) fluctuated for early rain as compared to mid rain and late rain and varied slightly for odd n-alkanes, showing there was a little anthropogenic

contribution to the odd carbon aggregates as compared to early rain respectively [48]. ACL of TPHs aggregates shows that for odd n-alkanes minimum and maximum values were C9 – C11 (9.446) and C35 – C39 (38.980), C9 – C11(10.238) and C35 – C39 (36.510); C9 – C11 (10.240) and C35 – C39 (36.934) for early, mid and late rain respectively. Considering even n-alkanes, the same trend of C8 – C10 (9.086) and C34 – C40 (39.985); C8 – C10 (9.912) and C34 – C40 (39.985); C8 – C11 (9.553) and C34 – C40 (39.688) at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) but for Ogale (O/E) area, the ACL of TPHs aggregates of odd n-alkanes were C9- C11 and C35 – C39 (9.631 and 36.647; 9.323 and 37.210; 9.362 and 35.115) for early, mid and late rain. Looking at even n-alkanes, C8 – C10 and C34 – C40 (8.596 and 39.365; 9.740 and 39.660; 9.240 and 36.727) for early, mid and late rain, again for n-alkanes, similar variation as seen in odd n- alkanes were attributed to slight anthropogenic input [49]. The results of odd ACL vs CPI plots for early rain, mid rain, and late rain reveal that regression (R^2) for Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) were 0.4264, 0.0067, 0.0048, while O/E were 0.0103, 0.5062, 0.3358 indicating an increase in ACL as CPI values fluctuate. The regression for even-n-alkanes ACL/CPI for R/R were 0.4882, 0.0389, 0.0266, O/E were 0.0242, 0.5976, 0.4357 signifying similar trends for odd-n-alkanes. This confirms that CPI assessments were influenced by both natural and anthropogenic sources.

4.3.3 Petroleum source diagnostics

Petroleum hydrocarbon source diagnostic ratio assessed depicted in Table 8. Average carbon length (ACL) (odd n-alkanes) has the highest value of 37.854 (early rain) and 28.248 (late rain) for Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome (R/R) and Ogale (O/E) sampling sites. ACL (even n-alkanes) has 39.854 and 33.565 for early and mid-rain of both study areas. The two location's long-chain hydrocarbons/ short-chain hydrocarbon ratio (LHC)/SHC) of odd n-alkanes and LHC/SHC of even n-alkanes, the highest values were as follows: 22.268, 220.33 (early rain), and 4.019, 4.881 (late rain). C31/C19 showed that all three rainfall events evaluated were above 0.4, thus shows the impact of land sources as an array of emissions from crude oil processing, industrial flue gases, power plants, automobile, and heavy-duty vehicles, flare gases [50]. In addition, elevated temperature in the soil releases volatile organic compounds while decreases in temperature usually at night activate microbial decomposition of organic matter leads to the production of petroleum hydrocarbons, influences the TPH concentration in the atmosphere. Low molecular/high molecular (L/H) weight n-alkanes evaluated showed that the L/H ratio was below one (1), suggesting impact from phytogenic and pyrogenic sources. Long-chain hydrocarbons/short-chain hydrocarbons (LHC/SHC) assessed for odd and even n-alkanes reveals that at R/R early rain and O/E late rain were above one (1), indicating terrestrial floral sources, while below 1 is due to phytoplankton sources. LHC/SHC (even n-alkanes) were all above one (1), thus confirming all were from petroleum sources. Cumulative CPI assessed were below one (1) from a pyrogenic source. Cumulative average carbon length (ACL) (odd and even n- alkanes) showed fluctuating values confirming impact from anthropogenic sources. The plot of cumulative odd ACL against cumulative CPI via the influence of the three rain regimens showed that regression, R^2 were 0.9454 and 0.0607 at R/R and O/E, while even ACL versus CPI plot gave regression, $R^2 = 0.9594$ and 0.291 respectively. Therefore, we can infer that petroleum hydrocarbon in atmospheric rainwater of the study area was predominantly from anthropogenic sources. The ratio of pristane/phytane exceeded one (1) for R/R early rain and late rain in relation to O/E mid rain indicating biogenic (aerobic) sources, as anaerobic indices are possible for values less than one (1) for R/R mid rain and O/E early and late rains [51]. In addition, different assessment has shown that pristane/phytane less than 0.8 implies saline to the hypersaline condition due to extreme evaporation and carbonaceous deposition, whereas pristane/phytane above 3 is due to oxygenated to non-oxygenated degradation of planktons from anaerobic organisms [52]. Pristane and phytane are bi-products of chlorophyll in the aquatic environment, pristane are produced from the breakdown of zooplankton and phytoplankton (algae) in an oxygenated aquatic environment to form lipids, whereas

phytanes are from anaerobic degradation of aquatic planktons by microbial organisms (cyanobacteria) [53]

4.4 Risk assessment

The average daily intake (ADI) of aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons aggregates was assessed for ambient atmospheric rainwater from Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome (R/R) and Ogale (O/E). Two exposure pathways were calculated using ingestion (oral) and dermal (skin contact) to assess the average daily intake (ADI) of rainwater for adults and children as depicted in Tables 9 and 10, but from Table 9, aliphatic PHs of C33 – C40 were exposed to adult through dermal and ingestion by 5.47×10^{-02} and 5.62×10^{-02} via consumption of early rain from Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, the same for aromatic PHs of C33 – C40 (5.47×10^{-2} and 5.62×10^{-2}). At Ogale, the same adults are more at risk of aliphatic PHs of C19 – C32 and C33 – C40 (2.07×10^{-2} , 2.02×10^{-2} ; 2.82×10^{-2} and 2.74×10^{-2}) through ingestion of or dermal contact with mid-rain while they are highly exposed to C17 – C32 and C33 – C40 by 2.50×10^{-2} ; 2.04×10^{-2} ; 2.82×10^{-2} and 2.74×10^{-2} on contact with mid-rain through ingestion and dermal pathway and to C17 - C32 (the same exposure route) risk value of 1.21×10^{-2} and 1.18×10^{-2} (late rain). Non-carcinogenic risk assessment for adults and children evaluated having obtained hazard quotient (HQ) for exposed pathways as shown in Tables 11 and 12. Preliminary assessment of ADI and subsequent evaluation of HQ shows that children had elevated values compared to adults. Hazard index (HI) as shown in Fig 1 for aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbons reveals that at R/R, mid rain for adults and children were above one (1), thus there is an inherent health risk. At O/E, mid rain for children was above one (1), as compared to adults. The hazard index for aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons shown in Fig 2 shows that all locations including the rain period were above one (1). Risk-based mapping using carbon ranges were proposed by MADEP [54, 55] and TPHCWG [56] to assess the health-based risk over a period for all age grade, sex orientation, diet, family traits, lifestyle and current state of health to derive salient points for regulatory consideration and action plan models. The analysed HI values reveals that ingestion of TPHs contaminated rainwater by both adult and children leads to bioaccumulation resulting in disruption of biochemical and physiological activities in the human body causing negative health outcomes usually after a short period [11].

In the atmosphere, where free chloride ions exist in the presence of ultra-violet radiation and high-temperature conditions permit (Table 13), combines with aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbons (aerosols) to form toxic polychlorinated – n – alkanes (PCAs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). These subsequently dissolved in rainwater impacting water quality as when ingested over a period of time can cause detrimental health issues such as liver and kidney dysfunctions, dermatitis, dizziness, and severe headaches [17]. In addition, PAHs photochemical reactions form diones, nitro-PAHs, dinitro-PAHs, and other PAHs components known to cause carcinogenic and mutagenic effects and resulting in human health crises such as bone suppression and decreased blood cell production with reproductive complications [57]

TPHs ingestion via atmospheric rainwater has been associated with headaches, fatigue, nausea, diarrhoea, and irritation of gastrointestinal tracts over a long period [58]. Renal disorder, digestive disruption, and interstitial oedema are also negative health outcomes associated with consumption of contaminated rainwater [59]. Dermal contact with contaminated rainwater sources can cause skin inflammation and rashes, dermatitis, blisters, eye irritation, and degreasing burns where been reported by persons during bathing periods in amazon, Ecuador [60]. In children, the presence of dandruff and psoriasis has been attributed to TPHs [61]. Petroleum hydrocarbon represents all aliphatic and aromatic petroleum formulations. Aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbon is known to cause neurological disorders, cancer of the mouth, stomach, and uterine due to the presence of oil pollution [60].

Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons in the form of benzene, toluene, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons cause haematological, immunological, neurological, gastrointestinal disorders inclusive of possible death associated with these contaminants. Having assessed these possible health effects, children are most at risk as reports by Steven [60], give worrisome reports that need adequate attention to mitigates these issues in the long run.

Conclusion

The present study assessed total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in rainwater sampled via three regiments (early rain, mid rain, and late rain) at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome and Ogale in Rivers State, Nigeria. The TPH concentration at Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome decreased from early rain to late rain, while Ogale had a high concentration at mid rain, least for late rain that reveals the rainwater were relatively contaminated and unsafe for human consumption from crude oil and gas processing releases into the atmosphere and subsequently as rainwater. Chemometric assessment using total petroleum hydrocarbon criteria working group standards showed that both aliphatic and aromatic petroleum was relatively high. TPHs source identification showed that carbon preference index and average carbon length gave correlation, which implies that contaminations were due to anthropogenic sources, probably hydrocarbon been the major economic activity in the area. A risk assessment conducted showed that hazard index was above one (1) for aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons compared to aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbon that had varying levels. The pollution levels show that children were more at risk from continuous oral and dermal exposure to TPHs in rainwater. Government agencies with assistance from oil and gas stakeholders can set up a monitoring stations for air, soil, and water contamination sources to assist in the development of a cost-effective approach and remediation action plan to avert possible health issues in the future.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank BGI Laboratories Rumukwurushi, Rivers State, Nigeria for their technical assistance during the research process.

Data Information

We have no special data information to declare, every data pertaining to the work is as presented in the results

Financial Assistance

We did not receive any financial assistance for this research work

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Availability of Data and Materials

All the data generated in the work are included as tables and figures

Code Availability

Not Applicable

Ethics Approval

1 Not Applicable

2 **Consent to Participate**

3 Not Applicable

4 **Consent for Publication**

5 All the authors agreed to submit the manuscript to Scientific Report for consideration and possible
6 publication

7

8 **Research Funding**

9 None declared

10

11 **Conflict of Interest**

12 The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding this article.

13

14

15

16

17 **References**

18

19 1. NBS. Annual Abstract of Statistics. National Bureau of Statistics. <https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng>.
20 (2010)

21 2. Reijers, T. J. A, Petters S. W, Nwajide, C. S. The Niger Delta Basin. In Selected Chapters on
22 Sedimentary Geology and Stratigraphy in Nigeria. SPDC, Nigeria. 103 117. (1996)

23 3. Kennedy, P., Allen, G and Wilson, N. The management of hydrocarbons in stormwater runoff: a
24 literature review. Prepared by Golder Associates (NZ) Limited for Auckland Council.
25 Auckland Council technical report, TR 2016/010. ISBN 978-0-9941350-9-4. (2016)

26 4. Bolade, O.P., Adeniyi, K.O., Williams, A.B., Benson, N.U. Remediation and Optimization of
27 petroleum hydrocarbons degradation in contaminated water using alkaline persulphate. Journal
28 of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 105801: 1-7.
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105801>. (2021).

30 5. Tony GT, Fisk AT, Westmore JB, Muir DCG. Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology of
31 polychlorinated n-alkanes. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 158: 53 – 128. (1998)

32 6. Environment Canada. Priority substances program. CEPA assessment report, chlorinated parafins.
33 Commercial Chemicals Branch, Peterborough. (1993)

34

7. Ogoko, E.C., Kelle, H.I. Anions, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Soils of Aba Dumpsites. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology* 14(1): 1-8. <http://doi.org/10.9734/BJAST/2016/22084>. (2016).
8. HDOH. Collection and Use of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Data for the Risk-Based Evaluation of Petroleum Releases, Example Case Studies (draft January 2018): R. Brewer, M. Nagaiah and R. Keller, authors. Hawai'i Department of Health, Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response Office. Honolulu, Hawaii. (2018)
9. ATSDR. Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry. Toxic substances portal – Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Toxicological profile for total petroleum hydrocarbons. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/TP.asp?id=424&tid=75#bookmark10>. [Accessed 12 Feb 2021] (2018)
10. Ali, A.S., Al_Khafaji B.Y., Al-Gezi, H.R. Comparative study of hydrocarbon pollution before and after rainfall in Al-Gharraf River in Thi-Qar province – Iraq. *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics*. 1279: 1-13. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1279/1/012029>. (2019).
11. Kuppusamy S., Maddela N.R. Megharaj M., Venkateswarlu K. Impact of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons on human health. In: *Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons*. Springer, Cham. ISBN:978-3-030-24035-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24035-6> 6. (2020).
12. Faustorilla, M.V., Chen, Z., Dharmarajan R., Naidu, R. Determination of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Australian Groundwater Through the Improvised Gas Chromatography–Flame Ionization Detection Technique. *Journal of Chromatographic Science*. 55(8): 775–783 <http://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/bmx038.2017>. (2017).
13. Omokpariola, J.O. Omokpariola D.O. and Omokpariola, E.C.O. Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Oilfield Produced Water and Sea Water at Gulf of Guinea Oilfield, Nigeria. *Advanced Journal of Chemistry-Section B*, 3(1), 68-85. [CC10.22034/ajcb.2021.121909](https://doi.org/10.22034/ajcb.2021.121909) . (2021).
14. Akinola, J.O, Olawusi-Peters, O.O, Apkambang, V.O.E. Human health risk assessment of TPHs in brackish water prawn (*Nematopalaemon hastatus*, Aurivillius, 1898). *Heliyon* 6: 1-6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03234>. (2020).
15. Oyibo, J.N., Wegwu, M.O., Uwakwe, A.A., Osuoh, J.O. Analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and risk assessment of heavy metals in some selected finfishes at Forcados Terminal, Delta State, Nigeria. *Environmental Nanotechnological Monitoring Management* 9: 128–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2017.11.002> . (2018).
16. Anyakora C. A, Coker H. Determination of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in selected water bodies in Niger Delta. *African J. Biot.* 5 (21): 2024 – 2031.
17. Nduka J.K.C., Orisakwe O.E. Water quality issues in the Niger Delta of Nigeria: Polyaromatic and straight chain hydrocarbons in some selected surface waters. *Water Quality Exposure Health*. 2: 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-010-0024-5>. (2010a).

- 1 18. WSDE. (1997). Analytical Methods for Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Department of Ecology
2 Publications Distribution Centre, Olympia, Wash, Washington State Department of Ecology
3 USA,
- 4 19. THQCWG. Hydrocarbon Spills into Water Public Health Risk Assessment (PHRA). Ruth
5 McDermott, Keith Ian Quintyne, Regina Kiernan, Mary O Mahony and Ina Kelly. Based on an
6 original document written by Tessa Greally. (Date: 6th December 2019). (2019).
- 7 20. Enyoh CE, Verla AW, Egejuru NJ. pH Variations and Chemometric Assessment of Borehole
8 Water in Orji, Owerri Imo State, Nigeria. J Environ Anal Chem; 5(238): 1-9.
9 <http://doi:10.4172/2380-2391.1000238>. (2018)
- 10 21. Ravindra, K. Sokhi R. Van-Grieken, R. Atmospheric polyaromatic hydrocarbons: source
11 attribution, emission and regulation. Atmospheric Environment 42:2895-2921.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.010> . (2008).
- 13 22. Yang, F. Zhai, Y.B. Chen, L. Li C.T. Zeng G.M. The seasonal changes and spatial trends of
14 particle-associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the summer and autumn in Changsha
15 City. Atmos. Res., 96:122-130 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.12.004> . (2010)
- 16 23. Liu, C.W., Lin, K.H., Kuo, Y.M. Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater
17 quality in a black foot disease area in Taiwan. Science Total Environment. 313: 77-89.
18 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(02\)00683-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00683-6) . (2003).
- 19 24. Mudge. S.M. Methods in Environmental Forensics. CRC Press. 43-112. ISBN:13-978 0 8493
20 5007 8. (2009).
- 21 25. Murphy, B.L. Morrison. R.D. Introduction to Environmental Forensics. Elsevier Academic Press
22 Publication. 311-454 ISBN: 13 978-0-12-369522-2. (2007).
- 23 26. Wang, M. Zhang, W. Hou, J. Is average chain length of plant lipids a potential proxy for
24 vegetation, environment and climate changes? Biogeosciences Discussions. 12: 5477–5501.
25 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bgd-12-5477-2015>. (2015).
- 26 27. Jeng W.L. Higher plant n-alkane average chain length as an indicator of petrogenic hydrocarbon
27 contamination in marine sediments. Marine Chemistry. 102(3-4):242–251.
28 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.05.001> . (2006).
- 29 28. Adeniji, A.O., Okoh, O. Okoh A.I. Petroleum Hydrocarbon Fingerprints of Water and Sediment
30 Samples of Buffalo River Estuary in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. Journal of
31 Analytical Methods in Chemistry. 2017: 1-13 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2629365>. (2017).
- 32 29. Fagbote O. E., Olanipekun. E. O. Characterization and sources of aliphatic hydrocarbons of the
33 sediments of River Oluwa at Agbabu Bitumen deposit area, Western Nigeria,” Journal of
34 Scientific Research and Reports. 2(1):228– 248. <https://doi.org/10.9734/JSRR/2013/3063>.
35 (2013).
- 36 30. Ahmed, O. E. Mahmoud, S. A. Mousa A. E. M. Aliphatic and poly-aromatic hydrocarbons
37 pollution at the drainage basin of Suez Oil Refinery Company. Current Science International.
38 (1):27–44. (2015).
- 39 31. Jamaluddin, J., Fuqi, C., Syamsuddin, E. and Umar, E.P. Interpretation of paleodepositional
40 environment using biomarkers and carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) in Talang Akar Formation, South
41 Sumatra Basin, Indonesia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 279
42 (012024): 1-9.<http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/279/1/012024> . (2019).

32. Bharadwaj L, Machibroda, R. Human Health Risk Assessment Approach for Urban Park Development Arh Hig Rada Toksikol. 59:213-221 <http://dio.org/10.2478/10004-1254-59-2008-1882> . (2008).
33. USEPA. Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values for Complex Mixtures of Aliphatic and Aromatic Hydrocarbons; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Centre, National Centre for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development: Washington, DC, USA. (2009).
34. Pinedo, J., Ibáñez, R. Irabien Á. A comparison of models for assessing human risks of petroleum hydrocarbons in polluted soils. Environmental Modelling & Software. 55: 61-69 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.01.022> . (2014).
35. Kalagbor, I.A., Dibofori-Orji, A.N. Ekpete O.A. Exposure to Heavy Metals in Soot Samples and Cancer Risk Assessment in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Journal of Health and Pollution 9 (24): 191211. <http://doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-9.24.191211>. (2019).
36. Nduka, J.K. Amuka, J.O. Onwuka, J.C. Udowelle, N.A. Orisakwe, O.E. Human health risk assessment of lead, manganese and copper from scrapped car paint dust from automobile workshops in Nigeria. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 3 (20): 20341–20349, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7219-7>. (2016).
37. Nduka, J.K. Kelle, H.I. Amuka, J.O. Health risk assessment of cadmium, chromium and nickel from car paint dust from automobile at auto-panel workshops in Nigeria. Toxicology Report. 6: 449–456, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2019.05.007>. (2019).
38. Bona, C., Rezende, I., Santos, G., Souza, L. Effect of soil contaminated by diesel oil on the germination of seeds and the growth of Schinus terebinthifolius Raddi (Anacardiaceae) seedlings; Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology. 54: 1379–1387. (2011).
39. Nduka, J.K., Orisakwe, O.E. Precipitation Chemistry and Occurrence of Acid Rain over the Oil-Producing Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. The Scientific World JOURNAL. 10: 528–534 <http://10.1100/tsw.2010.61>. (2010b).
40. Nduka, J.K.C., Orisakwe, O.E., Ezenweke, L.O., Ezenwa, T.E. Chendo, M.N., Ezeabasili, N.G. Acid Rain Phenomenon in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: Economic, Biodiversity, and Public Health Concern. The Scientific World JOURNAL8: 811–818. <http://10.1100/tsw.2008.47> . (2008).
41. Orisakwe OE, Nduka JK. Assessment of pollution profiles of some surface water in Niger Delta. Lambert Academic Publishers. Saarbrucken, Germany. ISBN:978-3-8383-8123-7. 2011)
42. USEPA. Regional Screening Levels: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Superfund, May 2016. (2016).
43. Griffiths G, Timperley M. Auckland City stormwater - A summary of NIWA and other relevant studies. NIWA Client Report AKL2005-007. Prepared for Auckland City Council and Metro Water Limited. Auckland: NIWA. (2005).
44. Liu, W.H., Zhao, J.Z., Ouyang, Z.Y., Soderlund, L., Liu, G.H. Impacts of sewage irrigation on heavy metal distribution and contamination in Beijing, China. Environment International. 31:805 -812. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2005.05.042> . (2005).

- 1 45. Van Dam, R.A., Camilleri, C., Turley, C. Preliminary assessment of petroleum hydrocarbons in
2 water and sediment at Yellow Water, Kakadu National Park Wetland Protection and
3 Management. Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist (ERISS). Locked
4 Bag 2, Jabiru, NT, 0886. Internal Report: 283. (1998).
- 5 46. Omokpariola, D.O. Nduka, J.K. Omokpariola P.L. Omokpariola, E.C.O. Ionic composition of
6 rainwater from different sampling surfaces across selected locations in Rivers, Nigeria. World
7 Scientific News. 150 (132-147). <http://www.worldscientificnews.com/> (2020).
- 8 47. De-Abreu-Mota, M. A., de Moura Barboza, C. A., B'icego, M. C., Martins, C. C. Sedimentary
9 biomarkers along a contamination gradient in a human-impacted sub-estuary in Southern
10 Brazil: a multi-parameter approach based on spatial and seasonal variability.
11 Chemosphere.103:156–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.11.052> . (2014).
- 12 48. Al-Baldawi, I. A. Abdullah, S. R. S. Anuar, N. Suja, F. Mushrifah I. Phytodegradation of total
13 petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) in diesel-contaminated water using *Scirpus grossus*. Ecological
14 Engineering. 74:463–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.11.007> . (2015).
- 15 49. Mohd-Ali, S.A., Tair, R., Yang, S.Z., Mohd-Ali, M. Petroleum hydrocarbon in surface sediment
16 from coastal area of Putatan and Papar, Sabah. Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences. 17(2):
17 286–290. (2013).
- 18 50. Zhang Z., Yan, X., Gao, F., Thai, P., Wang, H., Chen, D., Zhou, L., Gong, D., Li, Q., Morawska,
19 L., Wang, B. Emission and health risk assessment of volatile organic compounds in various
20 processes of a petroleum refinery in the Pearl River Delta, China. Environmental Pollution.
21 238:452–461. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.054> . (2018)
- 22 51. Al-Hejijem M.M. Al-saad, H.T, Hussain, N.A. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs), n-alkanes
23 and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Sediments of Shatt Al-Arab River – part
24 2. Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health Sciences 4(1): 95-100. (2015).
- 25 52. Mc Kirdy, K.D. and Powell, T. G. Relationship between Ratio of Pristane to Phytane, Crude Oil
26 Composition and Geological Environment in Australia. Nature Physical Science. 243 (124):
27 37–39. <http://doi.org/10.1038/physci243037a0>. ISSN 2058-1106. (1973).
- 28 53. Silva, R.A.; Grossi, V. and Alvarez, H.M. Biodegradation of phytane (2,6,10,14-
29 tetramethylhexadecane) and accumulation of related isoprenoid wax esters by *Mycobacterium*
30 *raistrakense* strain SD4 under nitrogen-starved conditions. FEMS Microbiology Letters.
31 272(2):220-228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00770.x>. (2017).
- 32 54. MADEP. Updated Petroleum Hydrocarbon Fraction Toxicity Values for the VPH/EPH/EPH
33 Methodology; Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Waste Site
34 Clean-up: Boston, MA, USA. (2003).
- 35 55. MADEP. MCP Numerical Standards Development Spreadsheets: Massachusetts. Department of
36 Environmental Protection, Bureau of Waste Site Clean-up. (Updated June 2014). (2014).
- 37 56. TPHCWG. Human health risk-based evaluation of petroleum release sites: implementing the
38 working group approach. Amherst, MA, Amherst Scientific Publishers (Total Petroleum
39 Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group Series, Vol. 5). (1999)
- 40 57. WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality 2017; Fourth edition incorporating the first
41 addendum, Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;

1 <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254637/1/9789241549950-eng.pdf?ua=1>. [Assessed
2 Feb 2, 2021]. (2017)

3 58. Orta-Martinez, M., Rosell-Mele, A., Cartro-Sabate, M., O’Callaghan-Gordo, C., Moraleda-
4 Cibrian, N., Mayor, P. First evidences of Amazonian wildlife feeding on petroleum-
5 contaminated soils: a new exposure route to petrogenic compounds. *Environmental Resources*
6 160:514–517 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.10.009> . (2018)

7 59. Fowzia A., Fakhruddin, A.N.M. A Review on Environmental Contamination of Petroleum
8 Hydrocarbons and its Biodegradation. *International Journal of Environmental Science National*
9 *Research*. 11(3): 555811. <http://10.19080/IJESNR.2018.11.555811>. (2018).

10 60. Steven D. Amazon Watch Blog – Chevron’s “Amazon Chernobyl” in Ecuador: the real irrefutable
11 truths about the company’s toxic dumping and fraud. [https://chevroninecuador.org/news-and-](https://chevroninecuador.org/news-and-multimedia/2015/0527-chevrons-amazon-chernobyl-in-ecuador-the-real-irrefutable-truths)
12 [multimedia/2015/0527-chevrons-amazon-chernobyl-in-ecuador-the-real-irrefutable-truths](https://chevroninecuador.org/news-and-multimedia/2015/0527-chevrons-amazon-chernobyl-in-ecuador-the-real-irrefutable-truths).
13 [Accessed March, 14 2021]. (2015).

14 61. Olof, L., Jonas, P. Oil contamination in Ogoni land, Niger Delta. *American. Biology*. 42:685–
15 701. (2013)

Table 1: Reference standards for petroleum hydrocarbons

Aliphatic	Reference values (mg/L)
C5 – C8	3
C9 – C16	0.065
C17 – C35	1
C36 – C40	10
Aromatic	Reference values (mg/L)
C5 – C8	0.13
C8 – C16	0.025
C17 – C35	0.02
C36 – C40	0.2
TPHCWG, 2019	

Table 2: Reference dose of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs)

Aliphatic	Dermal	Source	Ingestion	Source
Low Carbon range (C5 – C8)	5	TPHCWG, 2019	0.04	CEPA, 2009
Medium Carbon range (C9 – C18)	0.1	TPHCWG, 2019	0.01	USEPA, 2016
High Carbon range (C19 – C32)	2	TPHCWG, 2019	3	USEPA, 2016
High Carbon range (C33 – C40)	20	TPHCWG, 2019	30	PPRTV
Aromatic	Dermal	Source	Ingestion	Source
Low Carbon range (C6 – C16)	0.2	TPHCWG, 2019	0.004	USEPA, 2016
Medium carbon range (C17 – C32)	0.04	TPHCWG, 2019	0.04	USEPA, 2016
High carbon range (C33 – C40)	0.03	TPHCWG, 2019	0.4	HEAST

TPHCWG: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group, PPRTV: Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Value, HEAST: Health Effect Assessment Summary Table.

Table 3: Mean concentration of TPHs determined in rainwater samples

TPHS	Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor Local Government Area (LGA)			Ogale, Eleme Local Government Area		
	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
C8	0.0419	0.0683	0.1138	0.6713	0.0342	0.0211
C9	0.0948	0.9477	0.1848	0.7001	0.7284	0.0827
C10	0.0498	1.4817	0.3963	0.2850	0.2290	0.0344
C11	0.0272	1.5403	0.3017	0.3225	0.1403	0.0183
C12	0.0294	1.3199	0.3533	0.3214	0.2550	0.0179
C13	0.0121	2.2727	0.2963	0.1228	0.1380	0.0159
C14	0.0245	1.6737	0.1360	0.2343	0.0664	0.0506
C15	0.0098	0.8761	0.3332	0.3279	0.0571	0.0365
C16	0.0161	1.3738	0.2074	0.1304	0.0291	0.1412
C17	0.0090	0.4859	0.0463	0.1998	1.6863	0.2815
Pristane	0.0120	0.8945	0.2664	0.1118	2.4970	0.0775
C18	0.0208	1.0053	0.1172	0.4235	2.5371	0.4606
Phytane	0.0086	1.6272	0.1383	0.2979	1.8832	0.2612
C19	0.0122	1.3125	0.1710	0.0500	1.3617	0.5663
C20	0.0233	0.7409	0.2457	0.0513	2.2847	0.5956
C21	0.0046	0.9557	0.1274	0.1906	1.6645	0.1990
C22	0.0193	1.3712	0.2059	0.2061	1.0623	0.2579
C23	0.0280	1.2082	0.1362	0.1010	2.8227	0.6480

C24	0.0100	1.1233	0.2084	0.2274	1.9995	0.5236
C25	0.0290	1.9830	0.1881	0.3157	0.8231	0.7794
C26	0.0222	1.1604	0.1231	0.1463	2.2010	0.9508
C27	0.0050	1.7674	0.1348	0.2968	0.9965	1.1551
C28	0.0198	0.4857	0.1242	0.0924	1.5103	0.9357
C29	0.0122	0.6331	0.1461	0.2119	0.2411	0.7200
C30	0.0061	0.4414	0.0757	0.0746	1.1923	0.4986
C31	0.0093	1.2852	0.1376	0.0735	1.0964	2.4430
C32	0.0093	0.3896	0.0958	0.0866	1.4583	1.0476
C33	0.0131	0.3519	0.1211	0.1106	1.1497	1.6139
C34	0.0047	0.2803	0.0142	0.1100	0.7749	0.5486
C35	0.0187	0.5108	0.1129	0.2260	0.9056	0.6931
C36	0.0095	0.7341	0.0997	0.1129	0.6614	4.7606
C37	0.0057	0.113	0.0950	0.1438	0.7364	0.0050
C38	0.3627	0.2630	0.0367	0.1541	0.4592	0.0113
C39	4.3094	0.2877	0.1026	0.1367	1.2048	0.0180
C40	51.3652	6.5533	1.6351	1.8601	22.2371	1.5107
TOTAL	56.6551	39.5201	7.2283	9.1271	59.4323	21.9825

Table 4: Contamination Factor and Pollution Load Index of Petroleum hydrocarbons aggregates

		Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA			Ogale, Eleme LGA		
		Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
Aliphatic Hydrocarbons	C5-C8	0.0140	0.0228	0.0379	0.2238	0.0114	0.007
	C9-C16	4.0569	176.706	33.9846	37.6062	25.28154	6.115385
	C17-C35	0.2766	17.4918	2.5317	3.1941	27.768	14.9183
	C36-C40	5.6053	0.79511	0.1969	0.2408	2.52989	0.63056
	Mean CF	2.4882	48.7540	9.1878	10.316	13.89771	5.417819
	Σ CF	9.9527	195.016	36.751	41.2648	55.5908	21.67128
	PLI	0.5444	2.7350	0.8954	1.5949	2.1211	0.79755
Aromatic Hydrocarbons	C5-C8	0.3223	0.5254	0.8754	5.1638	0.2630	0.162308
	C9-C16	10.548	459.436	88.36	97.776	65.732	15.9
	C17-C35	13.830	874.590	126.585	159.705	1388.4	745.915
	C36-C40	280.26	39.756	9.8455	12.038	126.494	31.528
	Mean CF	76.241	343.577	56.4165	68.6707	395.222	198.3763
	Σ CF	304.96	1374.307	225.666	274.683	1580.89	793.5053
	PLI	10.714	53.824	17.6205	31.3884	41.7426	15.69569

Table 5: Principal component analysis of mean concentration of TPHs in rainwater

	Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor Local Government Area (LGA)		Ogale, Eleme Local Government Area (LGA)	
	Rotated Varimax		Rotated Varimax	
	1	2	1	2
C8	-0.667	-0.745	0.4673	0.884
C9	0.781	-0.624	-0.55	0.835
C10	0.688	-0.726	-0.323	0.946
C11	0.732	-0.681	0.0939	0.996
C12	0.681	-0.732	-0.328	0.945
C13	0.769	-0.639	-0.611	0.791
C14	0.803	-0.596	0.4134	0.911
C15	0.577	-0.817	0.4264	0.905
C16	0.759	-0.651	0.9977	-0.068
C17	0.797	-0.604	-0.998	-0.069
Pristane	0.651	-0.759	-1.000	-0.007
C18	0.786	-0.618	-0.999	-0.035
Phytane	0.796	-0.605	-1.000	8E-05
C19	0.771	-0.637	-0.913	-0.409
C20	0.633	-0.774	-0.968	-0.253
C21	0.767	-0.642	-1.000	-0.025
C22	0.761	-0.648	-0.997	-0.074
C23	0.789	-0.614	-0.978	-0.209
C24	0.735	-0.679	-0.984	-0.175
C25	0.795	-0.606	-0.549	-0.836
C26	0.791	-0.612	-0.914	-0.407
C27	0.800	-0.600	-0.323	-0.946
C28	0.702	-0.712	-0.795	-0.607
C29	0.708	-0.706	0.4725	-0.881
C30	0.747	-0.665	-0.919	-0.394
C31	0.784	-0.62	0.098	-0.995
C32	0.699	-0.715	-0.717	-0.697
C33	0.625	-0.78	-0.196	-0.981

C34	0.821	-0.571	-0.748	-0.664
C35	0.725	-0.688	-0.727	-0.686
C36	0.770	-0.638	0.4215	-0.907
C37	0.103	-0.995	-0.987	0.159
C38	0.716	0.698	-0.956	0.293
C39	0.093	0.996	-0.997	0.071
C40	0.144	0.99	-1	-0.005
Eigenvalue	17.60	17.40	20.799	14.201
Variance (%)	8.717	20.782	33.681	41.204
Cumulative Variance (%)	8.717	29.499	33.681	77.885

Table 6: Carbon preference index (CPI) of TPHs aggregates

	Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA.			Ogale, Eleme LGA		
	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
C8-C11	1.034	0.611	0.362	0.732	2.768	1.490
C12-C17	0.441	0.832	0.970	0.948	5.368	1.592
C18-C25	1.005	1.287	0.801	0.724	0.846	1.193
C26-C33	0.69	1.630	1.288	1.732	0.548	1.728
C34-C40	0.084	0.116	0.174	0.226	0.118	0.105
CPI Standard	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 7: Average carbon length (ACL) for TPHs aggregates

	Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA			Ogale, Eleme LGA		
Odd n- alkanes	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
C9 - C11	9.446	10.238	10.240	9.631	9.323	9.362
C13 - C17	14.799	14.017	14.260	15.237	16.646	16.591
C19 - C25	23.000	22.415	22.097	23.076	21.931	22.496
C27 - C33	30.540	29.110	29.908	28.994	30.377	30.522
C35 - C39	38.980	36.510	36.934	36.647	37.210	35.115
Even n-alkanes						
C8 - C10	9.086	9.912	9.553	8.596	9.7402	9.240
C12 - C16	13.620	14.025	13.581	13.44	12.711	15.176
C18 - C24	20.504	21.232	21.301	20.523	20.64	20.919
C26 - C32	28.087	28.049	28.689	28.508	28.600	28.957
C34 - C40	39.985	39.340	39.688	39.365	39.660	36.727

Table 8: Petroleum hydrocarbons source diagnostic ratios.

	Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA			Ogale, Eleme LGA		
	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
TPHs	56.6551	39.5201	7.2283	9.1271	59.4323	21.9825
C ₃₁ /C ₁₉	0.762	0.979	0.805	1.47	0.805	4.314
L/H	0.007	0.690	0.740	0.787	0.211	0.120
Pristane/Phytane	1.3953	0.5497	1.9262	0.3753	1.3259	0.2967
ACL (odd n-alkanes)	37.705	21.148	21.246	20.845	25.234	28.248
ACL (even n-alkanes)	39.854	26.591	27.164	26.129	33.565	31.733
LHC/SHC (odd n-alkanes)	22.268	0.722	0.650	0.752	0.832	4.019

LHC/SHC (even n-alkanes)	220.33	1.015	1.111	1.034	3.589	4.881
--------------------------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Table 9: Average daily intake of TPHs exposure in rainwater for adults

Location	TPHs group	TPHs derivatives	Exposure medium	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	4.20E-05	6.84E-05	1.14E-04
			Dermal	4.09E-05	6.66E-05	1.11E-04
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	2.94E-04	1.30E-02	2.38E-03
			Dermal	2.86E-04	1.27E-02	2.31E-03
		C19-C32	Ingestion	2.11E-04	1.49E-02	2.12E-03
			Dermal	2.05E-04	1.45E-02	2.07E-03
		C33-C40	Ingestion	5.62E-02	9.11E-03	2.22E-03
			Dermal	5.47E-02	8.87E-03	2.16E-03
	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	3.06E-04	1.18E-02	2.33E-03
			Dermal	2.98E-04	1.13E-02	2.27E-03
		C17-C32	Ingestion	2.40E-04	7.96E-03	1.97E-03
			Dermal	2.34E-04	7.75E-03	1.92E-03
		C33-C40	Ingestion	5.62E-02	9.11E-03	2.22E-03
			Dermal	5.47E-02	8.87E-03	2.16E-03
Ogale, Eleme LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	6.72E-04	3.42E-05	2.11E-05
			Dermal	6.55E-04	3.33E-05	2.06E-05
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	3.07E-03	5.88E-03	1.14E-03
			Dermal	2.99E-03	5.72E-03	1.11E-03
		C19-C32	Ingestion	2.13E-03	2.07E-02	1.13E-02
			Dermal	2.07E-03	2.02E-02	1.10E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	2.86E-03	2.82E-02	9.18E-03
			Dermal	2.78E-03	2.74E-02	8.93E-03
	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	3.12E-03	1.68E-03	4.19E-04
			Dermal	3.04E-03	1.64E-03	4.08E-04
		C17-C32	Ingestion	2.75E-03	2.50E-02	1.21E-02
			Dermal	2.68E-03	2.04E-02	1.18E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	2.86E-03	2.82E-02	9.18E-03
			Dermal	2.78E-03	2.74E-02	8.93E-03

Table 10: Average daily intake of TPHs exposure in rainwater for children

Location	TPHs group	TPHs derivatives	Exposure medium	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	7.65E-06	1.25E-05	2.08E-05
			Dermal	5.40E-04	8.80E-04	1.47E-03
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	5.36E-05	2.37E-03	4.33E-04
			Dermal	3.78E-03	1.67E-01	3.06E-02
		C19-C32	Ingestion	3.84E-05	2.71E-03	3.87E-04
			Dermal	2.71E-03	1.91E-01	2.73E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.02E-02	1.66E-03	4.05E-04
			Dermal	7.23E-01	1.17E-01	2.86E-02
	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	5.58E-05	2.11E-03	4.24E-04
			Dermal	3.94E-03	1.49E-01	2.99E-02
		C17-C32	Ingestion	4.39E-05	1.45E-03	3.60E-04
			Dermal	3.09E-03	1.02E-01	2.54E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.02E-02	1.66E-02	4.05E-04
			Dermal	7.23E-01	1.17E-01	2.86E-02
Ogale, Eleme LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	1.23E-04	6.24E-06	3.85E-06
			Dermal	8.65E-03	4.41E-04	2.72E-04
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	5.60E-04	1.07E-03	2.08E-04
			Dermal	3.95E-02	7.56E-01	1.47E-02
		C19-C32	Ingestion	3.88E-04	3.78E-03	2.07E-03
			Dermal	2.74E-02	2.67E-01	1.46E-01
		C33-C40	Ingestion	5.21E-04	5.14E-03	1.67E-03
			Dermal	3.68E-02	3.63E-01	1.18E-01
	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	5.69E-04	3.06E-04	7.65E-05
			Dermal	4.01E-02	2.16E-02	5.39E-03
		C17-C32	Ingestion	5.02E-04	4.55E-03	2.20E-03

	Dermal	3.54E-02	3.21E-01	1.55E-01
C33-C40	Ingestion	5.21E-04	5.14E-03	1.67E-03
	Dermal	3.68E-02	3.62E-01	1.18E-01

Table 11: Hazard Quotient for adult non-risk assessment.

Location	TPHs group	TPHs derivatives	Exposure pathway	Time of Exposure		
				Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	1.05E-03	171E-03	2.85E-03
			Dermal	8.17E-06	1.33E-05	2.22E-05
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	2.94E-02	1.30E+00	2.38E-01
			Dermal	2.86E-03	1.27E-01	2.31E-02
		C19-C32	Ingestion	7.02E-05	4.96E-03	7.08E-04
			Dermal	1.03E-04	7.24E-03	1.03E-03
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.87E-03	3.04E-04	7.40E-05
			Dermal	2.73E-03	4.43E-04	1.08E-04

Ogale, Eleme LGA	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	7.65E-02	2.89E+00	5.82E-01
			Dermal	1.49E-03	5.63E-02	1.13E-02
		C17-C32	Ingestion	6.01E-03	1.99E-01	4.93E-02
			Dermal	5.85E-03	1.94E-01	4.80E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.40E-01	2.28E-02	5.55E-03
			Dermal	1.82E+00	2.96E-01	7.21E-02
	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	1.68E-02	8.56E-04	528E-04
			Dermal	1.31E-04	6.67E-06	4.12E-06
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	3.07E-01	5.88E-01	1.14E-01
			Dermal	2.99E-02	5.72E-02	1.11E-02
		C19-C32	Ingestion	7.09E-04	6.92E-03	3.78E-03
			Dermal	1.04E-03	1.01E-02	5.52E-03
		C33-C40	Ingestion	9.53E-05	9.39E-04	3.06E-04
			Dermal	1.39E-04	1.37E-03	4.47E-04
	Aromatic PHs	C6-C16	Ingestion	7.80E-01	4.20E-01	1.05E-01
			Dermal	1.52E-02	8.18E-03	2.04E-03
		C17-C32	Ingestion	6.88E-02	6.24E-01	3.02E-01
			Dermal	6.70E-02	6.08E-01	2.94E-01
		C33-C40	Ingestion	7.15E-03	7.04E-02	2.29E-02
			Dermal	9.28E-02	9.14E-01	2.99E-01

Table 12: Hazard quotient for children non-risk assessment.

Location	TPHs group	TPHs derivatives	Exposure medium	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain
Rumuodomaya/ Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	1.91E-04	3.12E-04	5.20E-04
			Dermal	1.08E-04	1.76E-04	2.93E-04
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	5.36E-03	2.37E-01	4.33E-02
			Dermal	3.78E-02	1.67E+00	3.06E-01
		C19-C32	Ingestion	1.28E-05	9.05E-04	1.29E-04
			Dermal	1.35E-03	9.57E-02	1.37E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	3.41E-04	5.54E-05	1.35E-05
			Dermal	3.61E-02	5.86E-03	1.43E-03
		C6-C16	Ingestion	1.40E-02	5.28E-01	1.06E-01
			Dermal	1.97E-02	7.44E-01	1.50E-01
		C17-C32	Ingestion	1.10E-03	3.63E-02	8.99E-03
			Dermal	7.73E-02	2.56E+00	6.34E-01
		C33-C40	Ingestion	2.56E-02	4.15E-03	1.01E-03
			Dermal	2.41E+01	3.91E+00	9.52E-01
Ogale, Eleme LGA	Aliphatic PHs	C6-C8	Ingestion	3.07E-03	1.56E-04	9.63E-05
			Dermal	1.73E-03	8.81E-05	5.44E-05
		C9 - C18	Ingestion	5.60E-02	1.07E-01	2.08E-02
			Dermal	3.95E-01	7.56E-01	1.47E-01
		C19-C32	Ingestion	1.29E-04	1.26E-02	6.89E-04
			Dermal	1.37E-02	1.33E-01	7.29E-02
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.74E-05	1.71E-04	5.58E-05
			Dermal	1.84E-03	1.81E-02	5.90E-03
		C6-C16	Ingestion	1.42E-01	7.66E-02	1.91E-02
			Dermal	2.01E-01	1.08E-01	2.70E-02
		C17-C32	Ingestion	1.25E-02	1.14E-01	5.51E-02
			Dermal	8.49E-01	8.03E+00	3.89E+00
		C33-C40	Ingestion	1.30E-03	1.28E-02	4.18E-03
			Dermal	1.23E+00	1.21E+01	3.93E+00

Table 13: shows values of temperature and chloride ion in ambient rain water samples within the area

TYPE OF PARAMETER	OGALE, ELEME LGA			RUMUDOMAYA/ RUMUODOME, OBIO- AKPOR LGA.		
	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Rain	Early Rain	Mid Rain	Late Ran
Temperature (°C)	22.57 ± 0.26	24.00 ± 0.90	25.50 ± 0.10	22.87 ± 1.04	24.25 ± 0.35	25.55 ± 0.05
Cl ⁻ (mg/L)	4.77 ± 4.55	1.17 ± 0.71	0.71 ± 0.21	5.33 ± 4.60	2.01 ± 0.11	0.58 ± 0.38

R/R: Rumuodomaya/Rumuodome, Obio-Akpor LGA; O/E: Ogale, Eleme LGA

Fig. 1: Percentage composition of TPHs across sampling period

Fig 2: Hazard index of adults and children for aliphatic petroleum hydrocarbons

Fig 3: Hazard index of adults and children for aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons