Impact of Parylene Coating On Heating Performance of Intravenous Fluid Warmer: A Bench Study

Danielle K. Bayoro

Vyaire Medical

Herman Groepenhoff

Vyaire Medical

Daniel Hoolihan

Vyaire Medical

Edward A. Rose (✉ erose@20poms.com)

Vyaire Medical

Michael J. Pedro

Vyaire Medical

Andreas Waldmann

Vyaire Medical

Research Article

Keywords: Hypothermia, perioperative care, parenteral therapy, intravenous fluid warmer, fluid resuscitation

Posted Date: October 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-970986/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Anesthesiology on February 10th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-022-01585-w>.

Abstract

Background

Perioperative hypothermia is a common occurrence, particularly with the elderly and pediatric age groups. Hypothermia is associated with an increased risk of perioperative complications. One method of preventing hypothermia is warming the infused fluids given during surgery. The enFlow™ intravenous fluid warmer has recently been reintroduced with a parylene coating on its heating blocks. In this paper, we evaluated the impact of the parylene coating on the new enFlow's fluid warming capacity.

Methods

Six coated and six uncoated enFlow cartridges were used. A solution of 10% propylene glycol and 90% distilled H₂O was infused into each heating cartridge at flow rates of 2, 10, 50, 150, and 200 ml/min. The infused fluid temperature was set at 4°C, 20°C, and 37°C. Output temperature was recorded at each level. Data for analysis was derived from 18 runs at each flow rate (six cartridges at three temperatures).

Results

The parylene coated fluid warming cartridge delivered very stable output of 40°C temperatures at flow rates of 2, 10, and 50 ml/min regardless of the temperature of the infusate. At higher flow rates, the cartridges were not able to achieve the target temperature with the colder fluid. Both cartridges performed with similar efficacy across all flow rates at all temperatures.

Conclusions

At low flow rates, the parylene coated enFlow cartridges was comparable to the original uncoated cartridges. At higher flow rates, the coated and uncoated cartridges were not able to achieve the target temperature. The parylene coating on the aluminum heating blocks of the new enFlow intravenous fluid warmer does not negatively affect its performance compared to the uncoated model.

Introduction

Between 25 and 90% of all patients who undergo elective surgery experience hypothermia, defined as a body temperature below 36°C.¹ Anesthesia (regional and general) can interfere with the body's thermoregulatory processes.² The anesthetic drugs themselves can create challenges for temperature control either centrally or peripherally. The risk of hypothermia is influenced by the temperature of the operating room suite, the temperature of stock fluids and blood products, and the rate of administration of intravenous infusates.³

Hypothermia is associated with an increased risk of complications, some of which can be severe.⁴ Several authors have reported an association with poor wound healing, cardiac dysrhythmias, and increased bleeding.⁴⁻⁶ Even mild hypothermia of only 1-3°C is associated with increased complications such as delayed wound healing, ventricular tachycardia, poor anesthetic drug clearance, coagulopathy, and susceptibility to infection.⁷ In contrast, maintenance of normothermia reduces hospital costs and death rates.⁸

Numerous methods have been devised to prevent inadvertent hypothermia.⁹ Surgical personnel typically use warming blankets¹⁰, warm the surgical suite, warm the inspired air in the ventilator, utilize cabinet storage warmers for infusates¹¹, and reduce the rate of infusion of fluids and blood products. These and numerous other techniques have been described as the prevention of hypothermia has gained increased attention.^{9,12-14}

Current guidelines recommend incorporating a method of warming infused fluids during surgery.^{3,9,13,15} The heating performance of several fluid warmers has been evaluated in the past.

Kim et al. studied the effect of higher flow rates of fluid on output temperature over time.¹⁶ In their study set-up, they tested pressurized isotonic saline at 5°C and 20°C, flowing at 30, 50 and 100 ml/min for 6 minutes. They found that the ThermoSens® (Sewoon Medical Company, Seoul, Korea) and buddy light™ (Belmont Instrument Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) underperformed at higher flow rates compared to the uncoated enFlow™ (Vyaire Medical, Mettawa, IL, USA). Zoremba¹⁷ and colleagues found similar performance results using ice-cold saline comparing the Fluido Compact® (The 37° Company, Amersfoort, Netherlands) and the Thermosens® (Barkey, Leopoldshöhe, Germany) fluid warmers to the uncoated enFlow. Room temperature (24.4°C) fluid and chilled (6°C) fluid were run through the warmers at 25, 50, 75, and 100 ml/min. At a flow rate of 25 ml/min and 50 ml/min, the outlet temperature from enFlow was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) than the output temperatures of Fluido Compact and Thermosens warmers. Another study by Xu et al. used isotonic saline at infusion drip rates of 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, and 17.5 ml/min adjusted to room temperatures of 20°C, 22°C, and 24°C. They measured temperatures at the outlet of both the 3M Ranger™ (3M) dry heat transfer heater and the FT2800™ (Keewell Medical Technology, China) coaxial coil heater. Output temperatures were significantly affected by room temperature and flow rates.¹⁸ Even at only 17.5 ml/min, neither unit tested was able to reach its target temperature as flow rates increased.

Intravenous fluid warmers based on aluminum heating blocks have been troubled with aluminum leaching into the fluid, leading to concerns about aluminum toxicity.¹⁹⁻²¹ The Food and Drug Administration recently issued a letter to health care providers in this regard, mentioning that multiple fluid warmers have been restricted or recalled.²² In response to this issue, the enFlow® intravenous fluid warmer (Vyaire Medical, Mettawa, IL) was recently redesigned to include a parylene coating over the heating block.²¹ As previous studies only evaluated the heating performance of the uncoated enFlow system, currently no published data are available on the performance of the new parylene-coated enFlow

cartridge. Therefore, we compared the uncoated and coated models of the enFlow fluid warmer at varying fluid rates at different fluid temperatures to evaluate if the parylene coating is an acceptable option to overcome the described issues with aluminium leaching, without impacting the heating performance of enFlow cartridge.

Methods

In this study, we evaluated the efficacy of two fluid warmers (parylene-coated and uncoated enFlow cartridges) under several conditions. A solution with thermal properties equivalent to 5% dextrose was produced using 10% propylene glycol (PG) and 90% distilled H₂O. A 10L capacity chiller tank (Polystat 3C15++; Cole-Palmer; Vernon Hills, IL) was filled with the 10% PG solution, and the solution was maintained in one of three different temperature ranges: cold (4°C), normal (around 20°C), and warm (more than 35°C). The conditioned samples were then maintained in the temperature range using the chiller tank. Five different flow rates were tested in this study: 2ml/min, 10ml/min, 50ml/min, 150ml/min, and 200ml/min. A pump (Masterflex L/S® Peristaltic Pump, Masterflex, Vernon Hills, IL, and Easy-Load® II Pump Head, Cole-Palmer; Vernon Hills, IL) was used to generate the different flow rates. The peristaltic pump was calibrated each time the tubing or cartridge was changed using a volume per unit of time procedure, according to the pump manual instructions. A standard non-insulated extension tubing set (Masterflex L/S® Higher Performance Precision Pump Tubing; Masterflex, Vernon Hills, IL) was connected at the fluid warmer outlet. Measurements were performed in a laboratory setting at 21-24°C room temperature. The fluid temperature was measured at the input (T_{in}) and the output (T_{out}) of the enFlow cartridge (see Figure 1) using a two-channel thermometer (Thermistors: Omega Engineering, part number TJ36-CAXL-020U-6, Norwalk, CT; and USB-TC DAQ, Measurement Computing Corporation, Norton, MA). The temperature was measured within a distance of 0.635 cm from the warming cartridge for the parylene-coated enFlow cartridge and between 10 and 20 cm from the warming cartridge for the uncoated enFlow warming cartridge. After starting each trial, the temperatures at the two positions (T_{in} , T_{out}) were recorded by a laptop computer with data acquisition terminal using Tera Term (Tera Term Project, open source).

Starting at 2 minutes after the fluid infusion was begun to allow for equilibration, the input and output fluid temperatures were recorded and averaged over 15 seconds. The measurements were repeated for six different coated and uncoated enFlow cartridges to evaluate inter-device variability. A total of 18 data points for T_{in} and T_{out} were obtained (six cartridges at three temperatures each) for each of five flow rates and used for the statistical analysis (total 90 data points for each model of enFlow cartridge).

Statistical analysis and graphical presentation were performed using GraphPadPrism 9.2.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA 92108).

Results

Figure 2 shows plots of input temperature vs. output temperature at each temperature and flow rate. The mean value of T_{out} is plotted with error bars representing the standard error of the mean. The parylene-coated fluid warming cartridge delivered target output temperatures at flow rates of 2, 10, and 50 ml/min regardless of the temperature of the infusate. At the lowest flow rates (2 ml/min and 10 ml/min), both enFlow cartridges achieved their target set temperature for all fluid temperatures.

At the higher flow rates (150 and 200 ml/min), the heating performance was also similar between both warmers. Output temperatures were clearly impacted by the input temperatures at 150 and 200 ml/min. With an input temperature of 4°C, the parylene-coated enFlow increased the temperature of the fluid by 23.5°C at 150 ml/min and by 18°C at 200 ml/min. With an input temperature of 20°C, the parylene-coated enFlow increased the temperature of the fluid by 19.7°C (to 39.8°C) at 150 ml/min and by 17.3°C (to 36.7°C) at 200 ml/min. With an input temperature of 37°C, the parylene-coated enFlow cartridge increased the temperature to 40°C at all flow rates.

Discussion

We compared the warming capacity of two different models of the enFlow intravenous fluid warming cartridge. We tested different flow rates and varying fluid input temperatures using the uncoated and coated fluid warming cartridges. At lower flow rates, both types of enFlow cartridge achieved warming of fluid to the target temperature, regardless of the input temperature. Direct comparisons are difficult due to differences in experimental setups. At higher flow rates, the results showed similar heating performance between the two devices. According to these results, the parylene coating does not appear to negatively impact the heating capacity of the cartridge.

While there were subtle differences in T_{out} between the devices, it is unclear whether these differences are in fact clinically significant. Although 1-2°C seems trivial at first examination, human temperature is very tightly controlled by the thermoregulatory system. Decreases of only 0.2°C are associated with homeostatic processes designed to maintain temperature, and a drop in core temperature of 1°C triggers shivering.^{7,23} While slow infusions of parenteral fluids that are 1°C below the target temperature may not be sufficient to reduce the core body temperature of the patient, clearly the goal of the health care practitioner is to deliver therapies that will not add further physiologic stress to the body. It would seem logical to select a warmer that delivered fluids at a physiologic temperature. The very low standard deviation in the coated enFlow cartridge suggests a highly consistent temperature output with excellent warming performance.

Parylene is a polymer that is applied to electrical circuits and medical devices. It forms a pinhole-free layer at 14 angstroms. It forms a chemical and moisture barrier at only 10 angstroms thick, effectively insulating the aluminum heating plates from the infusate.²⁴ Parylene is typically a thermal barrier as well as a chemical and electrical barrier. Parylene has a thermal conductivity rated at 0.082 watts/meters·Kelvin, while water and glass are rated at 1.13 W/m·K and silicone is 163 W/m·K.²⁵ Despite its apparent thermal insulating capabilities, our results indicate that the thin layer of parylene on the

aluminum heating blocks only minimally affected the heating capacity of fluid warmer. The heating capabilities of both the coated and the uncoated fluid warmer were less optimal at high flow rates of 150 and 200ml/min, although these flow rates are infrequently used in clinical settings. The fluid warmer increased the temperature of the fluid by at least 16.5 degrees using the coldest infusate temperature and at the highest flow rate.

There are several studies evaluating the performance of fluid warmers in the literature.^{16,17,26,27} The previous studies evaluated the effect of changing one or two variables on the output temperature among different fluid warmers. For example, the studies were descriptive of the effect of changing the flow rate up to 100ml/min or the initial fluid temperature on one device. Our results at the same flow rates are comparable to these previously published data.

This study has the strength that it evaluated for the first time the impact of a parylene coating on the heating performance of fluid warmers and tested the system in flow rates up to 200ml/min. Further for the first time, testing was repeated in six enFlow cartridges to confirm the repeatability of the results. Given the current concerns related to aluminum leaching of uncoated fluid warmers, this study shows that parylene coating does not negatively affect the heating performance of fluid warmers and might be therefore a solution to overcome the concerns about aluminium leaching raised in the literature¹⁹⁻²¹ and by the FDA²².

Notably, we were not able to identify any previous studies of fluid warmer performance at such high flow rates. Infusions at 150 or 200 ml/min are not unusual in the clinical setting and yet the performance of fluid warmers at these infusion rates has not been described. High infusion rates are often used for trauma patients requiring rapid expansion of blood volume due to hemorrhage, for example, or for patients undergoing open abdominal surgery who may lose fluid quickly through evaporation and third-spacing. The coated and uncoated enFlow system was able to warm the infusate towards physiologic temperature even at these high rates.

Our study has some limitations. We only studied the impact of parylene coating on one fluid type, and the efficacy of the device may be different using fluids with higher or lower viscosity such as saline, whole blood, fresh frozen plasma, or infusions high in lipids. Waldmann et al²¹ evaluated safety of the parylene coated fluid warmer using a wide variety of fluids but did not specifically address temperature output by fluid type. Patel et al²⁸ found minimal differences in the ability of warmers to heat crystalloid vs. blood products. Kim et al²⁹ found significant differences of delivered temperature depending on the length of the tubing and the temperature of the room. We did not vary infusion tubing length in our experiments, nor did we heat different types of fluids. Future studies should evaluate the heating capacity of the coated warming device vs. warmers made by other manufacturers using different types of infusions, varying tubing length, and changes in ambient temperature. Such studies are clinically important but were outside the scope of the current project. We were not able to identify studies to which to compare the higher flow rates tested in our study since other cartridges are not approved for such high rates of

flow. Finally, because of differences in setup related to the location of the temperature sensor distal to the warming cartridges, we were not able to directly compare coated vs. uncoated cartridges.

Conclusion

The parylene coated enFlow cartridges warm the infused fluids at a level and rate that are consistent with those of the uncoated enFlow cartridge. The parylene coating on the aluminum heating blocks of the new enFlow intravenous fluid warmer does not affect its performance compared to its uncoated model. Parylene coating overcomes the recently described aluminum leaching, without reducing the heating capacity of the enFlow system.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate. No humans or animals were used in this in vitro study, obviating the need for informed consent. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Vyaire Medical Affairs Department for safety and compliance with standard research methods.

Consent for publication. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript and consent to its submission.

Availability of data and materials. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests. DB, HG, AW, and MP are employees of Vyaire Medical. ER is a paid consultant for Vyaire Medical. Equipment and testing facilities were provided by Vyaire Medical.

Funding. none

Authors' contributions. DB, HG, AW, and MP were involved in the design, collection, interpretation of data, and writing and reviewing the manuscript. ER was involved in interpretation of the data and in writing and reviewing the manuscript.

Acknowledgements. none

References

1. Moola S, Lockwood C. Effectiveness of strategies for the management and/or prevention of hypothermia within the adult perioperative environment. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare* 2011;9(4):337–345.
2. Bindu B, Bindra A, Rath G. Temperature management under general anesthesia: Compulsion or option. *Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology* 2017;33(3):306.

3. Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *JACC* 2014;64(22):e77-e137.
4. Torossian A, Brauer A, Hocker J, Bein B, Wulf H, Horn EP. Preventing inadvertent perioperative hypothermia. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2015;112(10):166–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2015.0166>.
5. Frank SM, Fleisher LA, Breslow MJ, et al. Perioperative maintenance of normothermia reduces the incidence of morbid cardiac events. A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 1997;277(14):1127–34. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9087467>; <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/415143>).
6. Rajagopalan S, Mascha E, Na J, Sessler DI. The effects of mild perioperative hypothermia on blood loss and transfusion requirement. *Anesthesiology* 2008;108(1):71–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.anes.0000296719.73450.52>.
7. Sessler DI. Mild perioperative hypothermia. *New Engl J Med* 1997;336(24):1730–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199706123362407>.
8. Kurz A, Sessler DI, Lenhardt R. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shorten hospitalization. Study of Wound Infection and Temperature Group. *New Engl J Med* 1996;334(19):1209–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199605093341901>.
9. National Institute for Health Care Excellence. Hypothermia: prevention and management in adults having surgery. NICE Clinical guideline, No. 65 [CG65]. 2016.
10. O'Neill KA, Bernardo LM, Gardner MJ, Lucke J, Ford H. The effects of core and peripheral warming methods on temperature and physiologic variables in injured children. *Pediatric emergency care* 2001;17(2):138–142.
11. Steelman VM, Chae S, Duff J, Anderson MJ, Zaidi A. Warming of Irrigation Fluids for Prevention of Perioperative Hypothermia During Arthroscopy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Arthroscopy* 2018;34(3):930-942 e2. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2017.09.024>.
12. Crosland P. Hypothermia: prevention and management in adults having surgery. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2016;Clinical Guidelines [CG65] (<http://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30109616>).
13. Forbes SS, Eskicioglu C, Nathens AB, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for prevention of perioperative hypothermia. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2009;209(4):492–503. e1.
14. Shao L, Zheng H, Jia FJ, et al. Methods of patient warming during abdominal surgery. *Plos One* 2012;7(7):e39622. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039622>.
15. Mohanty S, Rosenthal RA, Russell MM, Neuman MD, Ko CY, Esnaola NF. Optimal Perioperative Management of the Geriatric Patient: A Best Practices Guideline from the American College of Surgeons NSQIP and the American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Surg* 2016;222(5):930–47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2015.12.026>.

16. Kim H, Yoo S, Jung J-S, Lee S, Sun K, Son HS. The laboratory performance of the enFLOW®, buddy lite™ and ThermoSens® fluid warmers. *Anaesthesia* 2015;70(2):205–208.
17. Zoremba N, Bruells C, Rossaint R, Breuer T. Heating capabilities of small fluid warming systems. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2018;18(1):98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12871-018-0565-x>.
18. Xu X, Lian C, Liu Y, Ding H, Lu Y, ShangGuan W. Warming efficacy of Ranger and FT2800 fluid warmer under different room temperatures and flow rates. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2020;34(5):1105–1110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10877-019-00400-1>.
19. Perl T, Kunze-Szikszay N, Brauer A, et al. Aluminium release by coated and uncoated fluid-warming devices. *Anaesthesia* 2019;74(6):708–713. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/anae.14601>.
20. Perl T, Kunze-Szikszay N, Bräuer A, Roy T. Quantified aluminium levels released into blood and fluids using the Level 1 Fast Flow Fluid Warmer. *Anaesthesia* 2020;75(6):834–834. (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1111/anae.15020?download=true>).
21. Waldmann AD, Rose EA, Pedro MJ. Fluid warming with parylene-coated enFlow cartridge: Bench and pilot animal study of aluminum extraction due to prolonged use. *SAGE Open Medicine* 2021;9:20503121211026849.
22. Food and Drug Administration. Potential Risk of Aluminum Leaching with Use of Certain Fluid Warmer Devices - Letter to Health Care Providers. 09/15/2021 (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/letters-health-care-providers/potential-risk-aluminum-leaching-use-certain-fluid-warmer-devices-letter-health-care-providers>).
23. Lopez M, Sessler DI, Walter K, Emerick T, Ozaki M. Rate and gender dependence of the sweating, vasoconstriction, and shivering thresholds in humans. *Anesthesiology* 1994;80(4):780–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199404000-00009>.
24. Curtiss-Wright Corporation. Parylene History: History of Parylene Technology. (<https://www.parylene.com/Parylene-Technology/Parylene-History>).
25. Shin YS, Cho K, Lim SH, et al. PDMS-based micro PCR chip with parylene coating. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering* 2003;13(5):768.
26. Thongsukh V, Kositratana C, Jandonpai A. Effect of Fluid Flow Rate on Efficacy of Fluid Warmer: An In Vitro Experimental Study. *Anesthesiol Res Pract* 2018;2018:8792125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/8792125>.
27. Kim DJ, Kim SH, So KY, An TH. Mega Acer Kit® is more effective for warming the intravenous fluid than Ranger™ and ThermoSens® at 440 ml/h of infusion rate: an experimental performance study. *Korean journal of anesthesiology* 2017;70(4):456. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5548949/pdf/kjae-70-456.pdf>).
28. Patel N, Smith CE, Pinchak AC. Comparison of fluid warmer performance during simulated clinical conditions. *Can J Anaesth* 1995;42(7):636–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03011886>.
29. Kim H, Kim TK, Yoo S, Kim JT. Influence of flow rate, fluid temperature, and extension line on Hotline and S-line heating capability: an in vitro study. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2021;21(1):1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12871-020-01225-1>.

Figures

Figure 1

Experimental setup. Warmed or chilled fluid was run through the warming cartridge at a rate determined by the peristaltic pump. Temperature was measured before and after the warming cartridge. A denotes the location of the temperature sensor for the parylene-coated enFlow experiments (0.635cm); B denotes

the location of the temperature probe for the uncoated enFlow experiments (between 10 and 20 cm). Data was routed to a laptop computer for analysis. Fluid was recycled back into the chiller after infusion.

Figure 2

Comparison of input vs. output temperature recordings with parylene-coated fluid warmer cartridge (A) and uncoated fluid warmer cartridge (B). Lines indicate medians ± 95% confidence intervals.