Course of Lactate, pH and Base Excess for Prediction of Mortality in Medical Intensive Care Patients - A Retrospective Cohort Analysis

Anja Schork (✉ Anja.Schork@med.uni-tuebingen.de)

Universitätsklinikum Tübingen Medizinische Universitätsklinik <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8849-4794>

Kathrin Moll

University Hospital Tübingen

Michael Haap

University Hospital Tübingen

Reimer Riessen

University Hospital Tübingen

Robert Wagner

University Hospital Tübingen

Research

Keywords: Lactate, pH, base excess, clearance, medical ICU, mortality

Posted Date: October 29th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-97829/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Course of lactate, pH and base excess for prediction of mortality in medical intensive care patients - a retrospective cohort analysis

Anja Schork¹⁻⁴, Kathrin Moll⁴, Michael Haap⁴, Reimer Riessen⁴, Robert Wagner¹⁻³

¹ Department of Internal Medicine IV, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetology, and Nephrology, University Hospital Tübingen, Germany.

² Institute of Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases (IDM) of the Helmholtz Center Munich at the University of Tübingen, Germany.

³ German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Tübingen, Germany.

⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Intensive Care Unit, University Hospital Tübingen, Germany.

Address for correspondence:

Anja Schork, MD

Department of Internal Medicine

Division of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Nephrology

University Hospital Tübingen

Otfried-Müller-Str.10

72076 Tübingen, Germany

E-mail: anja.schork@med.uni-tuebingen.de

Tel. +49-7071-2982711

Fax +49-7071-293174

Short running title: Lactate, pH and base excess and mortality in medical ICU patients

Keywords: Lactate, pH, base excess, clearance, medical ICU, mortality

Word count: Abstract 297, total 2807 (excluding Figure legends, tables and references)

Number of tables: 4; number of figures: 3

Number of references: 24

Abstract

Background:

We aimed to evaluate the value of lactate, base excess and pH for prediction of mortality in the course of the disease of medical intensive care patients.

Methods:

For lactate, pH and base excess, values at the admission to intensive care unit (ICU), at 24 ± 4 hours, maximum / minimum in the first 24 hours and in 24 – 48 hours after admission were collected from all patients admitted to the Medical ICU of the University Hospital Tübingen between January 2016 until December 2018 and investigated for prediction of in-hospital-mortality.

Results:

Mortality in the cohort of 4067 patients was 22 % and significantly correlated with all evaluated parameters. Strongest predictors of mortality determined by ROC were maximum lactate in 24 h (AUROC 0.74, cut off 2.7 mmol/L, hazard ratio of risk group with value > cut off 2.27) and minimum pH in 24 h (AUROC 0.71, cut off 7.43, hazard ratio for risk group 2.94). Kaplan Meier Curves stratified across these cut offs showed early and clear separation. Hazard ratios per standard deviation were highest for maximum lactate in 24 h (HR 1.65), minimum base excess in 24 h (HR 1.56) and minimum pH in 24 h (HR 0.75). In multiple logistic regression analysis, age, minimum pH in 24 h, pH at 24 h after admission, maximum lactate in 24 h, maximum lactate in 24 – 48 h, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 – 48 h were independent predictors of mortality.

Conclusions:

Lactate, pH and base excess were all suitable predictors of mortality in internal ICU patients, with maximum / minimum values in 24 and 24-48 h after admission altogether stronger predictors than values at admission. Base excess and pH were not superior to lactate for prediction of mortality.

Background

Estimation of the mortality of patients at intensive care unit (ICU) is necessary for treatment planning and treatment decisions as well as for support and advice for the patient's relatives. Various surrogate parameters and their significance for the assessment of mortality risk have been evaluated, in particular lactate as parameter of anaerobic metabolism and tissue perfusion ^{1, 2}. Elevated lactate level is common in patients admitted to ICU and a strong predictor of mortality in unselected ICU patients ^{3, 4} and lactate clearance was recently discovered as an even stronger parameter than initial lactate level for assessing mortality risk of critically ill patients ^{5, 6}.

To count for the ability to buffer a metabolic (lactate) acidosis, parameters of acid-base balance, such as base excess or pH, could represent the body's conditions as more general parameters than lactate. Acid-base parameters have recently been evaluated as parameters for estimation of mortality in different subgroups of patients: In patients after cardiac surgery, base excess at ICU admission was a stronger parameter for prediction of ICU mortality than lactate-levels ⁷. Lactate, anion gap and base excess were interchangeable biomarkers of traumatic shock ⁸ and base excess was a strong predictor of mortality in a large cohort of trauma patients ⁹. Bicarbonate and anion gap were associated with higher mortality in sepsis patients even if lactate levels were low ¹⁰. Metabolic acidosis at admission to ICU and early pH changes correlated with higher mortality in a small Indian cohort of critically ill patients ¹¹. However, for evaluation of acid base parameters as predictors of mortality of patients requiring treatment at a medical ICU, there is still a lack of data. We therefore aimed to evaluate parameters of acid-base balance obtainable by blood gas analysis as predictors of mortality in critically ill medical patients.

Methods

Patients and blood gas analysis

Data from all patients admitted to the Medical ICU of the University Hospital Tübingen between January 2016 until December 2018 was collected from the patient data management system (ICCA, Philips GmbH) of the University and evaluated retrospectively. Age, gender and SAPS II score at admission to ICU and need for invasive ventilation or dialysis during the treatment at ICU were documented. Laboratory data obtained included base excess, pH and lactate from arterial or venous blood gas analysis at admission to ICU and during the first 48 hours after admission to ICU. All blood gas analyses were performed with a Radiometer ABL90 FLEX. The following parameters were evaluated as predictors of mortality (Figure 1): value at admission, value after 24 ± 4 hours, maximum (lactate) / minimum (pH, base excess) value in the first 24 hours and between 24 – 48 hours after admission and slope of lactate, pH and base excess. Slope of the variables was calculated as difference between maximum (lactate) or minimum (pH, base excess) value during the first 24 hours and value at admission. Mortality was defined as positive if the patient died in hospital and negative if the patient was discharged from hospital alive. Primary diagnosis and cause of death were classified into groups according to the recorded ICD-10 (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems) classification.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.6.1, SAS JMP Pro 14.2.0 and MedCalc 19.1. Continuous variables were tested for normal and lognormal distribution. Distributions are reported as number (n) and percent for categorical parameters. Medians and interquartile range (IQ) are provided for continuous lognormal parameters. χ^2 test (nominal variables) and Wilcoxon test (continuous variables) were performed to test for differences between groups. Computation of receiver operating characteristics (ROC, C-statistics) was performed to evaluate the ability of parameters to predict mortality, with determination of the cut off value by Youden index ($J = \text{sensitivity} + (\text{specificity} - 1)$), and the area under the receiver operating

characteristics curve (AUROC) is reported. Hazard ratios were determined from Cox regression for risk groups divided by cut offs from ROC, or per increase of the variable of 1 standard deviation (SD). Kaplan Meier curves were constructed for groups stratified by cut-offs from C-statistics using log-rank test to test for differences. All baseline parameters were included in a stepwise least square linear regression model with forward and backward direction mode, probability to enter $p = 0.05$ and probability to leave $p = 0.1$, to create a multiple regression model for prediction of mortality and identify independent predictors of mortality. Statistical significance was determined by two-sided tests with an alpha of 0.05 ($p < 0.05$).

Results

Study cohort

The characteristics of the study cohort are listed in table 1. A total number of 4067 patients was admitted to the internal medicine intensive care unit of the University Hospital Tübingen between January 2016 until December 2018 and included in the analysis. $N = 913$ patients (22 %) died after a median of 8 (interquartile range 2 – 18) days (Figure 1).

Causes of death in the cohort classified by ICD-10 category were I ('diseases of circulatory system', including stroke, intracranial bleeding, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction, cardiomyopathy, valvular diseases, cardiac arrhythmias; 21 %), J ('diseases of the respiratory system', including pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 16 %), A + B ('certain infectious and parasitic disease', including sepsis; 16%), R57.0 ('cardiogenic shock'; 13 %), C + D ('neoplasms and diseases of the blood and hematopoietic organs and certain disorders involving the immune system'; 11 %), K ('diseases of the digestive system', including alcoholic cirrhosis of the liver; 7 %), and R57.2 ('septic shock'; 6 %).

Univariate analysis: ROC and Cox regression

All evaluated variables (value at admission, value after 24 ± 4 hours, maximum (lactate) / minimum (pH, base excess) value in the first 24 hours and in 24 – 48 hours after admission and slope of lactate, pH and base excess) showed significant differences between groups of patients discharged alive or died (Table 1) and were associated significantly with mortality in univariate analysis (Table 2). The variables with the highest area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUROC) were maximum lactate in the first 24 hours after admission (AUROC 0.74, sensitivity 0.56 and specificity 0.81 at a cut off value of 2.7 mmol/L) and minimum pH in the first 24 hours after admission (AUROC 0.72, sensitivity 0.60 and specificity 0.76 at a cut off value of 7.31).

In proportional hazard analyses using the above established cut-offs for stratification, the highest hazard ratios were found for base excess, lactate and pH at admission and for minimum base excess, minimum pH and maximum lactate in 24 hours after admission (Table 2), with hazard ratio for minimum / maximum values in the first 24 h overall higher than for values at admission. In proportional hazards determined per standard deviation, maximum lactate in 24 h, lactate at admission, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum pH in 24 h showed highest or lowest hazard ratio per SD (Table 2).

Kaplan Meier Curves

Kaplan Meier curves for groups stratified by cut offs from C-statistics are shown as an example for maximum lactate in 24 h after admission and minimum base excess and minimum pH in 24 h after admission (Figure 2A, B, C). Kaplan Meier curves for the cut offs maximum lactate in 24 h > 2.7 mmol/L, minimum base excess in 24 h < -4.9 mmol/L and minimum pH in 24 h < 7.31 showed a clear separation particularly in the first 20 days after admission to ICU (Figure 2A, B, C).

Multivariable logistic regression

Regressors of the multivariable logistic regression models fitted on mortality were selected from all baseline variables by a stepwise approach as described in the methods section. Age, minimum pH in 24 h, pH at 24 h after admission, maximum lactate in 24 h, maximum lactate in 24 – 48 h, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 – 48 h entered the final nominal logistic model and were independently associated with mortality (Table 3).

An exemplary multivariable ROC analysis is shown in Figure 3 for minimum or maximum values in 24 h after admission for lactate, pH and base excess, respectively, and combined parameters from multivariable logistic regression. AUROC of combined parameters from the multivariable model was 0.745 and significantly higher than AUROC of each individual parameter in multivariable ROC (Figure 3), however only slightly higher than the univariate AUROCs of the individual parameters (Table 2). From the individual parameters investigated in the multivariable ROC, maximum lactate in 24 h had the highest AUROC, which was significantly different from the AUROC of minimum base excess in 24 h but not from the AUROC of minimum pH in 24 h (Figure 3).

Subgroup analysis stratified by primary diagnosis

For subgroup analysis, patients were stratified into groups based on primary diagnoses as listed in Table 1: Infectious = ICD R57.2 + A + B (n = 290), cardiac = ICD R57.0 + I (n = 1482), respiratory = ICD J (n = 726), malignant = ICD C + D (n = 421) and other / uncertain (n = 1148). Kaplan Meier curves of groups of primary diagnosis showed highest mortality in the infectious disease group during the first 20 days and highest mortality in the malignant disease group after more than 80 days (Figure 2D).

Cox regression in the subgroups of primary diagnosis revealed maximum lactate in 24 h after admission as a strong predictor of mortality with high hazard ratios in every group (Table 4). In the cardiac disease group, additionally, base excess at admission and minimum base excess

in 24 h after admission showed high risk ratios (Table 4). Age and slope of pH showed high hazard ratios in the respiratory group (Table 4).

Discussion

In our cohort of medical ICU patients, all investigated parameters, lactate, pH and base excess, were suitable predictors of ICU mortality. Cut off values at admission to ICU for prediction of mortality were with 2.1 mmol/L for lactate and -3.8 mmol/L for base excess in a range consistent with the values determined in other studies (around 1.5 – 2.5 mmol/L for lactate and -4 - -6 mmol/L for base excess) ^{4, 12-14}.

However, base excess and pH were not superior to lactate for prediction of mortality in this unselected cohort of medical ICU patients. This is in contrast to patients after heart surgery at admission to ICU, where base excess was superior to lactate for prediction of mortality ⁷ and trauma patients, where base excess has been found a strong predictor of mortality ^{9, 14}. In our analyses, lactate was the strongest predictor of ICU mortality, followed by pH: Lactate values showed the highest AUROC in univariate and multivariable ROC analyses and the highest Hazard ratio per standard deviation increase; pH values had the second highest AUROC in multivariable ROC analysis; Kaplan Meier curve stratified by maximum lactate over the first 24 h and minimum pH over the first 24 h showed the clearest separations.

For lactate, prognostic significance of the course or clearance has been evaluated ^{3, 13, 15-17}. In sepsis patients, lactate at 24 hours was found to be strongest predictor of mortality in serial lactate measurements ¹⁷ and early lactate clearance was associated with improved outcome ¹⁶. In other unselected cohorts of ICU patients, mortality was higher in patients developing high lactate levels after more than 24 hours following ICU admission or missing lactate clearance in the first 12 hours ³ and lactate at 24 hours after admission to ICU was strongest for prediction of mortality ¹⁸. There are systematic reviews available, that found that across different ICU cohorts lactate clearance was associated with a better outcome ^{19, 20}; the

significance of the course of lactate was thereby independent of the initial value and it was recommended to monitor the lactate level by measurements every 1 to 2 hours ²¹. Lactate-guided therapy with monitoring the course of lactate levels after admission to ICU has been suggested to improve treatment outcome ²². Our findings are consistent with these reports: In our cohort of medical ICU patients, from all lactate values, maximum lactate during the first 24 hours and during 24 to 48 hours after admission to ICU were strongest predictors for mortality in the total cohort and in primary diagnosis subgroups. Altogether, lactate values both at admission and during 48 hours after admission to the ICU are valuable indicators for prognosis assessment.

This results in the question, whether the course of values in the first hours after initiation of intensive care treatment should also be considered for other markers used for evaluation of mortality risk. In patients with extracorporeal life support after out of hospital cardiac arrest, lactate and base excess both showed best predictive power for values measured 3 h after initiation of extracorporeal life support ²³. In our cohort of medical ICU patients, initial values of pH and base excess were less predictive than values in the first 24 to 48 hours of the ICU stay. The strongest predictors were the maximum or minimum values during the first 24 hours after admission. These were also superior to the slope between value at admission and maximum or minimum value in the first 24 hours. Our study therefore corroborates the prognostic significance of the values of all parameters, lactate, pH and base excess, in the first 24 to 48 hours after admission to intensive care unit compared to the single value at admission.

SAPS II predicted mortality risk showed an AUROC of only 0.529 in our cohort, compared to 0.86 in the original validating sample from 1993 ²⁴. This could be due to a changed cohort of patients undergoing intensive care and improved methods and possibilities of treatment. Parameters and scores used for assessment of mortality risk must be reviewed repeatedly. Combination of parameters resulted in a marginal increase of AUROC for prediction of

mortality, indicating that mortality remains difficult to assess as it is dependent on many influenceable and non-influenceable factors.

Conclusions

In conclusion, lactate, pH and base excess appear to be consistently valid parameters for estimating mortality, and monitoring changes in these parameters during the first hours of intensive care treatment can improve the accuracy of mortality estimates.

List of abbreviations

ICU	Intensive care unit
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
AUROC	Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve
SD	Standard deviation
CI	Confidence interval
HR	Hazard ratio

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Evaluation by the ethics committee was waived for this retrospective data analysis using anonymized data.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding:

The study was financed from own resources.

Authors' contributions:

AS analyzed and interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. RR and MH provided the data, supported the analysis and interpretation of the data and reviewed the manuscript. KM helped analyzing and interpreting the data. RW was a major contributor of the analysis and interpretation of the data and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

Not applicable.

Table 1: Characteristics of study cohort

Values are n (%) for categorical variables and mean (interquartile range) for continuous variables. Differences of groups of patients who died, and patients discharged from hospital alive were tested and p values are reported from χ^2 test for nominal variables and Wilcoxon test for continuous variables.

	Total cohort	Patients who died	Patients discharged from hospital alive	p value
Number	4067	913	3154	
Age	68 (55 – 78)	71 (60 – 80)	67 (53 – 77)	<0.0001
Gender (m, male; f, female)	m 2270 (56 %) f 1797 (44 %)	m 511 (56 %) f 402 (44 %)	m 1757 (56 %) f 1395 (44 %)	0.9151
SAPS II score, points	42 (28 – 54)	44 (29 – 57)	41 (28 – 53)	0.0383
Invasive ventilation	1894 (47 %)	480 (53 %)	1416 (45 %)	<0.0001
Dialysis	531 (13 %)	143 (16 %)	388 (12 %)	0.0079
Primary diagnosis,				<0.0001
- Infectious	290 (7%)	103 (11%)	187 (6%)	
- Cardiac	1482 (36%)	298 (33%)	1184 (38%)	
- Respiratory	726 (18%)	140 (15%)	586 (19%)	
- Malignant	421 (10%)	168 (18%)	253 (8%)	
- Other / uncertain	1148 (28%)	204 (22%)	944 (30%)	
Duration to death or discharge, days		8 (2 – 18)	9 (4 – 17)	0.0042
Base excess at admission, mmol/L	0.2 (-4.2 – 3.9)	-3.4 (-9.2 – 2.3)	0.8 (-2.9 – 4.3)	<0.0001
Base excess at 24h, mmol/L	1.1 (-2.2 – 5.0)	-0.3 (-4.0 – 3.4)	1.8 (-1.4 – 5.5)	<0.0001
Base excess minimum in 24h, mmol/L	-1.0 (-5.8 – 2.8)	-5.7 (-12.1 – 0.4)	-0.3 (-4.2 – 3.1)	<0.0001
Base excess minimum in 24-48h , mmol/L	3.2 (0.1 – 7.2)	1.85 (-1.5 – 5.8)	3.7 (0.6 – 7.5)	<0.0001
Lactate at admission, mmol/L	1.4 (0.9 – 2.4)	2.3 (1.2 – 5.8)	1.2 (0.8 – 2.0)	<0.0001
Lactate at 24h, mmol/L	1.1 (0.8 – 1.7)	1.5 (0.9 – 2.5)	1.0 (0.7 – 1.5)	<0.0001
Lactate maximum in 24h, mmol/L	1.7 (1.1 – 2.9)	3.0 (1.6 – 9.0)	1.5 (1.0 – 2.3)	<0.0001
Lactate maximum in 24-48h, mmol/L	1.4 (0.9 – 2.1)	2.0 (1.3 – 3.6)	1.2 (0.8 – 1.8)	<0.0001
pH at admission	7.39 (7.33 – 7.44)	7.35 (7.23 – 7.43)	7.40 (7.35 – 7.44)	<0.0001
pH at 24h	7.42 (7.37 – 7.47)	7.40 (7.33 – 7.45)	7.43 (7.39 – 7.47)	<0.0001
pH minimum in 24h	7.37 (7.28 – 7.41)	7.28 (7.13 – 7.37)	7.38 (7.32 – 7.42)	<0.0001
pH minimum in 24-48h	7.45 (7.41 – 7.49)	7.43 (7.39 – 7.49)	7.46 (7.42 – 7.5)	<0.0001

Definition of primary diagnosis groups: Infectious = ICD R57.2 + A + B; Cardiac = ICD R57.0 + I; Respiratory = ICD J; Malignant = ICD C + D; Other / uncertain

ICD-10:

A + B = Certain infectious and parasitic diseases;

C + D = Neoplasms and Diseases of the blood and hematopoietic organs and certain disorders involving the immune system;

E = Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases;

F = Mental and behavioral disorders;

G = Diseases of the nervous system;

I = diseases of circulatory system;

J = Diseases of the respiratory system;

K = Diseases of the digestive system;

R57.0 = Cardiogenic shock; R57.1 = Hypovolemic shock; R57.2 = Septic shock.

Note: Pneumonia and ARDS classified in category J (disease of respiratory system)

Table 2: Univariate correlations with mortality: ROC and Cox regression

Hazard ratios are of risk group defined by cut off from ROC (e.g. risk group with age ≥ 58 years compared to group with age < 58 years) and per standard deviation increase.

Values with $p < 0.05$ are listed only. There was no significant correlation of gender and mortality.

Abbreviations: AUROC, Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; n.s., not significant; min, minimum; max, maximum.

Parameter	AUROC	Risk group (Cut off)	HR of risk group (95% CI)	HR per SD (95% CI)
Age, years	0.575	> 58	1.61 (1.37 – 1.90)	1.35 (1.26 – 1.46)
SAPS II score, points	0.529	> 53	1.28 (1.07 – 1.53)	1.09 (1.01 – 1.19)
Base excess at admission, mmol/L	0.649	< -3.8	2.27 (1.99 – 2.59)	0.69 (0.65 – 0.74)
Base excess at 24h, mmol/L	0.604	< -1.2	1.57 (1.32 – 1.86)	0.79 (0.72 – 0.86)
Base excess min in 24h, mmol/L	0.680	< -4.9	2.47 (2.15 – 2.83)	0.64 (0.61 – 0.68)
Base excess min in 24-48h, mmol/L	0.602	< 2.2	1.53 (1.28 – 1.82)	0.76 (0.69 – 0.84)
Base excess slope, mmol/L	0.589	< -2.4	1.52 (1.31 – 1.75)	0.84 (0.81 – 0.89)
Lactate at admission, mmol/L	0.698	> 2.1	2.93 (2.57 – 3.34)	1.39 (1.34 – 1.44)
Lactate at 24h, mmol/L	0.652	> 1.4	2.06 (1.74 – 2.44)	1.26 (1.21 – 1.32)
Lactate max in 24h, mmol/L	0.735	> 2.7	3.20 (2.79 – 3.67)	1.40 (1.35 – 1.44)
Lactate max in 24-48h, mmol/L	0.702	> 1.7	2.20 (1.84 – 2.64)	1.30 (1.24 – 1.36)
Lactate slope, mmol/L	0.574	< -1.0	1.62 (1.40 – 1.86)	0.84 (0.80 – 0.88)
pH at admission	0.630	< 7.31	2.60 (2.28 – 2.97)	0.72 (0.68 – 0.75)
pH at 24h	0.640	< 7.36	1.89 (1.59 – 2.26)	0.76 (0.71 – 0.81)
pH min in 24h	0.715	< 7.31	2.94 (2.56 – 3.38)	0.64 (0.61 – 0.67)
pH min in 24-48h	0.592	< 7.43	1.73 (1.45 – 2.07)	0.77 (0.71 – 0.83)
pH slope	0.612	< -0.05	1.54 (1.36 – 1.80)	0.95 (0.93 – 0.98)

Table 3: Multivariable logistic regression model of ICU mortality

All baseline parameters were included in a stepwise least square multiple regression model with forward and backward direction mode, probability to enter $p = 0.05$, probability to leave $p = 0.1$.

Abbreviations: AUROC, Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; min, minimum; max, maximum.

Parameter	Estimate	Standard error	Chi Square	p value
n = 1478; $r^2 = 0.1458$; $p < 0.0001$; combined AUROC 0.748				
Intercept	-42.20	6.55	41.51	<0.0001
Base excess min in 24-48h	0.05	0.02	6.70	0.0096
Base excess min in 24h	-0.06	0.02	11.52	0.0007
Lactate max in 24-48h	-0.25	0.04	35.11	<0.0001
Lactate max in 24	-0.12	0.03	16.96	<0.0001
pH at 24h	3.90	1.08	13.15	0.0003
pH min in 24h	2.25	0.83	7.28	0.0070
Age	-0.02	0.01	30.58	<0.0001

Table 4: Hazard ratios for subgroups of primary diagnosis

Values are Hazard ratio per standard deviation and 95 % confidence interval. Highest or lowest hazard ratios for every group of primary diagnosis are marked.

n.s. = not significant; for all other tests p-value was < 0.05.

	Primary diagnosis				
	Infectious n = 290	Cardiac n = 1482	Respiratory n = 726	Malignant n = 421	Uncertain / other n = 1148
Age	1.24 (1.00 – 1.56)	1.27 (1.09 – 1.49)	2.13 (1.65 – 2.79)	n.s.	1.61 (1.40 – 1.85)
SAPS II score	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	1.28 (1.05 – 1.57)	n.s.
Base excess at admission	0.76 (0.62 – 0.93)	0.54 (0.49 – 0.61)	0.77 (0.66 – 0.90)	0.75 (0.63 – 0.90)	0.75 (0.67 – 0.85)
Base excess at 24h	n.s.	0.76 (0.62 – 0.93)	0.79 (0.69 – 0.93)	n.s.	0.72 (0.59 – 0.87)
Base excess min in 24h	0.75 (0.63 – 0.90)	0.53 (0.47 – 0.59)	0.73 (0.62 – 0.86)	0.71 (0.60 – 0.84)	0.63 (0.56 – 0.72)
Base excess min in 24-48h	n.s.	n.s.	0.79 (0.65 – 0.95)	n.s.	0.65 (0.52 – 0.80)
Base excess slope	0.86 (0.77 – 0.97)	0.85 (0.77 – 0.95)	0.83 (0.73 – 0.96)	n.s.	0.83 (0.77 – 0.90)
Lactate at admission	1.37 (1.23 – 1.51)	1.46 (1.38 – 1.54)	1.49 (1.20 – 1.79)	1.40 (1.21 – 1.59)	1.33 (1.23 – 1.42)
Lactate at 24h	1.40 (1.25 – 1.55)	1.30 (1.16 – 1.42)	1.17 (1.00 – 1.32)	1.18 (1.03 – 1.37)	1.28 (1.19 – 1.37)
Lactate max in 24h	1.32 (1.20 – 1.45)	1.53 (1.44 – 1.62)	1.52 (1.28 – 1.76)	1.56 (1.01 – 1.26)	1.46 (1.36 – 1.57)
Lactate max in 24-48h	1.34 (1.19 – 1.50)	1.29 (1.18 – 1.39)	1.48 (1.13 – 1.85)	1.14 (1.00 – 1.25)	1.41 (1.29 – 1.52)
Lactate slope	n.s.	0.79 (0.69 – 0.93)	0.78 (0.73 – 0.84)	n.s.	n.s.
pH at admission	0.72 (0.63 – 0.84)	0.64 (0.60 – 0.69)	0.76 (0.66 – 0.87)	0.85 (0.76 – 0.96)	0.73 (0.65 – 0.82)
pH at 24h	0.70 (0.59 – 0.85)	0.69 (0.60 – 0.80)	0.81 (0.72 – 0.93)	0.78 (0.65 – 0.94)	0.72 (0.63 – 0.85)
pH min in 24h	0.71 (0.62 – 0.82)	0.60 (0.55 – 0.64)	0.66 (0.58 – 0.75)	0.76 (0.68 – 0.86)	0.61 (0.54 – 0.69)
pH min in 24-48h	0.82 (0.69 – 0.98)	0.75 (0.64 – 0.88)	0.75 (0.63 – 0.91)	0.76 (0.63 – 0.93)	0.73 (0.61 – 0.88)
pH slope	0.80 (0.65 – 1.00)	n.s.	0.54 (0.44 – 0.69)	0.64 (0.50 – 0.84)	0.58 (0.49 – 0.70)

Legends to figures

Figure 1: Overview of evaluation points of parameters

Figure 2: Kaplan Meier curve of mortality by maximum lactate in 24 h (A), minimum base excess in 24 h (B), minimum pH in 24 h (C) and by group of primary diagnosis (D)

Cut off values for A, B, C defined by ROC.

Abbreviations: Lac, lactate; BE, base excess.

Figure 3: Multivariable ROC for mortality

Combined parameters from multivariable model are age, minimum pH in 24 h, pH at 24 h after admission, maximum lactate in 24 h, maximum lactate in 24 – 48 h, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 – 48 h.

Pairwise comparison of ROC curves:

Combined parameters and maximum lactate $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum pH in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum pH in 24 h $p = 0.0947$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; minimum pH in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p = 0.0477$.

Abbreviations: AUROC, Area under the receiver operating characteristics curve.

Literature

1. Kraut JA, Madias NE. Lactic acidosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:2309-2319
2. Jansen TC, van Bommel J, Bakker J. Blood lactate monitoring in critically ill patients: a systematic health technology assessment. *Crit Care Med*. 2009;37:2827-2839
3. Haas SA, Lange T, Saugel B, *et al*. Severe hyperlactatemia, lactate clearance and mortality in unselected critically ill patients. *Intensive care medicine*. 2016;42:202-210
4. Juneja D, Singh O, Dang R. Admission hyperlactatemia: causes, incidence, and impact on outcome of patients admitted in a general medical intensive care unit. *J Crit Care*. 2011;26:316-320
5. Masyuk M, Wernly B, Lichtenauer M, *et al*. Prognostic relevance of serum lactate kinetics in critically ill patients. *Intensive care medicine*. 2019;45:55-61
6. Nichol A, Bailey M, Egi M, *et al*. Dynamic lactate indices as predictors of outcome in critically ill patients. *Crit Care*. 2011;15:R242
7. Zante B, Reichenspurner H, Kubik M, Kluge S, Schefold JC, Pfortmueller CA. Base excess is superior to lactate-levels in prediction of ICU mortality after cardiac surgery. *PloS one*. 2018;13:e0205309
8. Caputo ND, Kanter M, Fraser R, Simon R. Comparing biomarkers of traumatic shock: the utility of anion gap, base excess, and serum lactate in the ED. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2015;33:1134-1139
9. Jin WYY, Jeong JH, Kim DH, *et al*. Factors predicting the early mortality of trauma patients. *Ulusal travma ve acil cerrahi dergisi = Turkish journal of trauma & emergency surgery : TJTES*. 2018;24:532-538
10. Mitra B, Roman C, Charters KE, O'Reilly G, Gantner D, Cameron PA. Lactate, bicarbonate and anion gap for evaluation of patients presenting with sepsis to the emergency department: A prospective cohort study. *Emergency medicine Australasia : EMA*. 2019
11. Samanta S, Singh RK, Baronika AK, *et al*. Early pH Change Predicts Intensive Care Unit Mortality. *Indian journal of critical*

- care medicine : peer-reviewed, official publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. 2018;22:697-705
12. Smith I, Kumar P, Molloy S, *et al.* Base excess and lactate as prognostic indicators for patients admitted to intensive care. Intensive care medicine. 2001;27:74-83
 13. Filho RR, Rocha LL, Corrêa TD, Pessoa CM, Colombo G, Assuncao MS. Blood Lactate Levels Cutoff and Mortality Prediction in Sepsis-Time for a Reappraisal? a Retrospective Cohort Study. Shock. 2016;46:480-485
 14. Hamed R, Mekki I, Aouni H, *et al.* Base Excess usefulness for prediction of immediate mortality in severe trauma patients admitted to the Emergency department. Tunis Med. 2019;97:1357-1361
 15. Ryoo SM, Lee J, Lee YS, *et al.* Lactate Level Versus Lactate Clearance for Predicting Mortality in Patients With Septic Shock Defined by Sepsis-3. Crit Care Med. 2018;46:e489-e495
 16. Nguyen HB, Rivers EP, Knoblich BP, *et al.* Early lactate clearance is associated with improved outcome in severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2004;32:1637-1642
 17. Mahmoodpoor A, Shadvar K, Saghaleini SH, *et al.* Which one is a better predictor of ICU mortality in septic patients? Comparison between serial serum lactate concentrations and its removal rate. J Crit Care. 2018;44:51-56
 18. Hayashi Y, Endoh H, Kamimura N, Tamakawa T, Nitta M. Lactate indices as predictors of in-hospital mortality or 90-day survival after admission to an intensive care unit in unselected critically ill patients. PloS one. 2020;15:e0229135
 19. Zhang Z, Xu X. Lactate clearance is a useful biomarker for the prediction of all-cause mortality in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. Crit Care Med. 2014;42:2118-2125
 20. Chertoff J, Chisum M, Garcia B, Lascano J. Lactate kinetics in sepsis and septic shock: a review of the literature and rationale for further research. J Intensive Care. 2015;3:39
 21. Vincent JL, Quintairos ESA, Couto L, Jr., Taccone FS. The value of blood lactate kinetics in critically ill patients: a systematic review. Crit Care. 2016;20:257

22. Jansen TC, van Bommel J, Schoonderbeek FJ, *et al.* Early lactate-guided therapy in intensive care unit patients: a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2010;182:752-761
23. Jouffroy R, Lamhaut L, Guyard A, *et al.* Base excess and lactate as prognostic indicators for patients treated by extra corporeal life support after out hospital cardiac arrest due to acute coronary syndrome. *Resuscitation.* 2014;85:1764-1768
24. Le Gall JR, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F. A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. *Jama.* 1993;270:2957-2963

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1

Overview of evaluation points of parameters

Figure 1

Figure 1

Overview of evaluation points of parameters

Figure 2

Figure 2

Kaplan Meier curve of mortality by maximum lactate in 24 h (A), minimum base excess in 24 h (B), minimum pH in 24 h (C) and by group of primary diagnosis (D) Cut off values for A, B, C defined by ROC. Abbreviations: Lac, lactate; BE, base excess.

Figure 2

Figure 2

Kaplan Meier curve of mortality by maximum lactate in 24 h (A), minimum base excess in 24 h (B), minimum pH in 24 h (C) and by group of primary diagnosis (D) Cut off values for A, B, C defined by ROC. Abbreviations: Lac, lactate; BE, base excess.

Figure 3

Figure 3

Multivariable ROC for mortality Combined parameters from multivariable model are age, minimum pH in 24 h, pH at 24 h after admission, maximum lactate in 24 h, maximum lactate in 24 – 48 h, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 – 48 h. Pairwise comparison of ROC curves: Combined parameters and maximum lactate $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum pH in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum pH in 24 h $p = 0.0947$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; minimum pH in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p = 0.0477$. Abbreviations: AUROC, Area under the receiver operating characteristics curve.

Figure 3

Figure 3

Multivariable ROC for mortality Combined parameters from multivariable model are age, minimum pH in 24 h, pH at 24 h after admission, maximum lactate in 24 h, maximum lactate in 24 – 48 h, minimum base excess in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 – 48 h. Pairwise comparison of ROC curves: Combined parameters and maximum lactate $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum pH in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; combined parameters and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum pH in 24 h $p = 0.0947$; maximum lactate in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p < 0.0001$; minimum pH in 24 h and minimum base excess in 24 h $p = 0.0477$. Abbreviations: AUROC, Area under the receiver operating characteristics curve.