Subgraph of generalized co-maximal graph of commutative rings

Bijon Biswas (✉ bijonsujan@gmail.com)

Jadavpur University

Sukhendu Kar

Jadavpur University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3955-9464>

M . K. Sen

University of Calcutta

Research Article

Keywords: Connected graph, Euler graph, Genus, Line graph, Regular ring, Local ring

Posted Date: November 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-986943/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Soft Computing on January 21st, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-022-06748-y>.

Subgraph of generalized co-maximal graph of commutative rings

B. Biswas.*, S. Kar.†

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata - 700032, India

M. K. Sen‡

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, Kolkata - 700019, India

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with 1. In [3], we introduced a graph $G(R)$ whose vertices are elements of R and two distinct vertices a, b are adjacent if and only if $aR + bR = eR$ for some non-zero idempotent e in R . Let $G'(R)$ be the subgraph of $G(R)$ generated by the non-units of R . In this paper, we characterize those rings R for which the graph $G'(R)$ is connected and Eulerian. Also we characterize those rings R for which genus of the graph $G'(R)$ is ≤ 2 . Finally, we show that the graph $G'(R)$ is a line graph of some graph if and only if R is either a regular ring or a local ring.

Keywords : Connected graph, Euler graph, Genus, Line graph, Regular ring, Local ring.

AMS Subject Classification 2020 : 05C25

1 Introduction

The algebraic graph theory is an interesting subject for graph theorists as well as algebraists, since it relates two different areas of mathematics, involves some combinatorial approach and can be studied different levels of mathematical expertise and sophistication. One may associate rings to graphs for the benefit of studying ring structures to find some results about the graph structures and vice versa. We characterize the graphs over rings and find the connections between the ring structures and the corresponding graph structures. For example, we may refer to [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [9], [12] and [13].

The interplay between ring theoretic and graph theoretic properties was studied by several authors and this approach has since become increasingly very popular in abstract algebraic graph theory. The area of graph

*bijonsujan@gmail.com

†karsukhendu@yahoo.co.in

‡senmk6@yahoo.com

theory and ring theory both benefit from the study of subgraph of the generalized co-maximal graphs. The knowledge of algebraic properties of rings can innovate new ideas for studying several properties of graphs. On the other hand after translating algebraic properties of rings into graph theoretic language, difficult problems in ring theory might be more easily solved by using techniques from graph theory. Motivated by these techniques we try to apply the above method to the class of subgraph of the generalized co-maximal graphs. As an application, a graph theoretic problem that seems rather unmanageable when restricted to methods from graph theory is solved using a straight forward ring theoretic argument.

In [3], we defined the graph $G(R)$ on a commutative ring R with 1, having vertices as elements of R , where two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $aR + bR = eR$ for some non-zero idempotent e in R . In [3], we proved that chromatic number $\chi(G(R))$ is the number of regular elements of R . We also characterized completeness of the graph and some other properties related to the graph.

Motivated by the papers [9] and [13], in this paper we define the subgraph $G'(R)$ which is generated by non-units of the ring R and we study the substructure of the graph $G(R)$. The aim of this paper is to study the notions of diameter, Eulerian property and other graph theoretic properties of $G'(R)$. We also able to determine those rings R for which $G'(R)$ is connected, those rings R for which $G'(R)$ is Eulerian. In this paper, we characterize the class of rings for which genus of the subgraph $G'(R)$ is less or equal to 2. Finally, we derive some results related to the line graph $L(G'(R))$.

If a surface is homeomorphic to a sphere with g handles, then the genus of this surface is g . A graph can be drawn without crossings on the surface of genus g , but not on the one of genus $g - 1$, is called a graph of genus g . We write $\gamma(G)$ for the genus of a graph G . If a graph G can be embedded in a plane, we say the graph G is planar i.e., $\gamma(G) = 0$ and if a graph G can be embedded in a torus not a plane, we say the graph G is toroidal i.e., $\gamma(G) = 1$.

Throughout this paper, we assumed that the ring R is a finite commutative ring with identity, $U(R)$ stands for the set of units of R and $r(R)$ denotes the set of regular elements of R . The notation $\mathbb{F}_n$ stands for a finite field of order n and we use the notation $a \leftrightarrow b$ if a, b are adjacent in a graph G .

2 Connectedness and diameter of $G'(R)$

In this section, we determine some necessary and sufficient conditions for the connectedness of the subgraph $G'(R)$ of the graph $G(R)$ over a finite commutative ring R with 1. Also we determine some conditions under which $\text{diam}(G'(R))$ is 1 or 2.

From [3], we get the following Lemma which helps us to prove some Propositions and Theorems.

Lemma 2.1. [3] *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$ be a finite commutative ring with identity such that each R_i is local with unique maximal ideal M_i . Two distinct vertices $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ and $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ are adjacent if and only if $x_i R_i + y_i R_i$ is either R_i or $\{0\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ (but not $\{0\}$ for at least one i).*

Example 2.2. Consider the rings $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then the vertex set of the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 - U(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{0}, \bar{3}), (\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{1}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{2})\}$ and the vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 - U(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{0}, \bar{3}), (\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{1}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{1}), (\bar{2}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{3}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{3}, \bar{2})\}$.

Figure 1 : $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$

Figure 2 : $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$

From Figure 1, it follows that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is connected, where $\mathbb{Z}_3$ is a regular ring. It follows from Figure 2 that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is not connected as $(\bar{2}, \bar{2})$ is not adjacent with any other vertex in $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is not a regular ring.

From the above Example 2.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$. Then $G'(R)$ is connected if and only if at least one of R_i is regular.

Proof. We first assume that $G'(R)$ is connected. If possible, let no R_i be regular for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then each R_i has a unique non-zero maximal ideal M_i . Thus there exists $m_i \in M_i \setminus \{0\}$ for each i . Now we have a vertex $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_k)$ of $G'(R)$. Suppose $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ is an arbitrary vertex in $G'(R)$. Then at least one $a_i \in M_i$. Without loss of generality, let $a_1 \in M_1$. Since $a_1 R_1 + m_1 R_1 \subseteq M_1 (\neq e_1 R_1)$ for any idempotent $e_1 \in R_1$, $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \not\leftrightarrow (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$, by Lemma 2.1. Again since the vertex $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ is arbitrary, it follows that $\deg((m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)) = 0$ in $G'(R)$. This contradicts the connectedness of $G'(R)$. So at least one of R_i is regular.

Conversely, suppose that at least one R_i is regular. Without loss of generality, let R_1 be regular. Since R_1 is local and regular, $R_1 = U(R_1) \cup \{0\}$. Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ be a vertex of $G'(R)$. Since $x_1 \in R_1$, $x_1 R_1 = e_1 R_1$ for some idempotent $e_1 \in R_1$. Consider a regular element $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \in R \setminus U(R)$. Since $x_1 R_1 + 0 R_1 = e_1 R_1$ and $x_i R_i + 1 R_i = R_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, so we have $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is adjacent with $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, by Lemma 2.1. Thus any vertex in $G'(R)$ is adjacent with $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \in R \setminus U(R)$. Therefore, the graph $G'(R)$ is connected. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$, where R_i is a local ring for each i . Then $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 1$ if and only if R is a regular ring.*

Proof. First suppose that $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 1$. If possible, let R be not a regular ring. This implies that at least one of R_i is not regular. Let us suppose that R_1 be not regular. Then $M_1 \neq \{0\}$, where M_1 is the unique maximal ideal of R_1 . Let $b_1 \in M_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have two elements $(b_1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ in the vertex set of $G'(R)$. Now $b_1 R_1 + 0 R_1 = b_1 R_1 \subseteq M_1$ and hence $b_1 R_1 \neq e_1 R_1$ for any idempotent $e_1 \in R_1$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, $(b_1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are not adjacent. This contradicts the fact that $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 1$. Hence R is a regular ring.

The converse part is trivial. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$. Then $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 2$ if and only if at least one of R_i is a regular ring but not all.*

Proof. Let $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 2$. Then by Theorem 2.4, it follows that R is not regular. Thus there exists at least one of R_i which is not regular. Since $\text{diam}(G'(R))$ is finite, $G'(R)$ is connected. Now by Theorem 2.3, at least one of R_i is regular. Thus there exists at least one R_i which is regular but not all.

Conversely, suppose that at least one of R_i is a regular ring but not all. Thus $\text{diam}(G'(R)) > 1$ (I).

Without loss of generality, let R_1 be a regular ring. Let us take two elements $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_k)$ and $y = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_i, \dots, b_k)$ in $R \setminus U(R)$. Now we consider an element $c = (0, 1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \in R \setminus U(R)$. Since R_1 is regular, $0R_1 + a_1R_1 = a_1R_1 = e_1R_1$ and $0R_1 + b_1R_1 = b_1R_1 = e_2R_1$ for some idempotents $e_1, e_2 \in R_1$. Since $a_1R_1 + 0R_1 = e_1R_1$ and $a_iR_i + 1R_i = R_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ and $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are adjacent, by Lemma 2.1. Similarly, $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ and $(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ are also adjacent. So we have a path $x \leftrightarrow c \leftrightarrow y$. Thus it follows that $d(x, y) \leq 2$. Hence $\text{diam}(G'(R)) \leq 2$ (II).

Now from (I) and (II), it follows that $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 2$. $\square$

From Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, we have the following characterizing result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a finite commutative ring with identity. Then there exists at least one direct summand of R which is regular if and only if $1 \leq \text{diam}(G'(R)) \leq 2$.*

3 Degrees and Eulerianity of $G'(R)$

In this section, we determine some necessary and sufficient conditions for the Eulerianity of the subgraph $G'(R)$ of the graph $G(R)$ over a finite commutative ring R with identity.

In [3], we proved the following result for the graph $G(R)$:

Theorem 3.1. [3] *Let $R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R_k$ be a finite commutative ring with identity such that each R_i is a local ring with unique maximal ideal M_i . Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ be an element of $R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R_k$. Then $\deg(a)$ in $G(R)$ is either*

- (i) $|R_1| \dots |R_q|(|U(R_{q+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - 1$ or
 - (ii) $|R_1||R_2| \dots |R_k| - 1$ or
 - (iii) $(|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - 1$ or
 - (iv) $|U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_m)||R_{m+1}| \dots |R_{m+n}|(|U(R_{m+n+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1)$ or
 - (v) $|U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_l)|(|U(R_{l+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1)$ or
 - (vi) $|U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_p)||R_{p+1}| \dots |R_k|$ or
 - (vii) $|U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$,
- where l, m, n, p, q are integers with $1 \leq l, m, n, p, q < k$.

Remark 3.2. For a finite commutative ring R with 1, the graph $G'(R)$ is the subgraph of the graph $G(R)$ and vertex set of $G'(R)$ is $R \setminus U(R)$, where R is the vertex set of $G(R)$. Then for any vertex x of $G'(R)$, $\deg_{G'(R)}(x) = \deg_{G(R)}(x) - |U(R)|$, where $\deg_{G'(R)}(x)$ is the degree of x in $G'(R)$ and $\deg_{G(R)}(x)$ is the degree of x in $G(R)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let R be a finite commutative ring with 1 except $R = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2. Then $|U(R)|$ is even.

Proof. Let R be a finite commutative ring with 1. Then it follows from [7], there exist local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ with unique maximal ideals $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$ respectively such that $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$.

Case 1 : Assume $|R|$ is odd. Then $|R_i|$ is odd for all i . This implies $|M_i|$ is odd for all i . Since R_i is local, $|U(R_i)| = |R_i| - |M_i|$ is odd as both $|R_i|$ and $|M_i|$ are odd. So $|U(R)| = |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ is even as each $|U(R_i)|$ is even.

Case 2 : Suppose $|R|$ is even.

Subcase 2a : Suppose that at least one $|R_i|$ is odd. Without loss of generality, let $|R_1|$ be odd. Then $|U(R_1)|$ is even. So $|U(R)| = |U(R_1)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ is even as $|U(R_1)|$ is even.

Subcase 2b : Assume each $|R_i|$ is even and $R \neq F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2. Since $|R|$ is even, each R_i is a local ring of order 2^{r_i} . Also $R \neq F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$. This implies that there exists at least one R_i which is not a field. Assume that R_1 is a local ring which is not a field of characteristic 2. Since R_1 is not field, $M \neq \{0\}$. So $|M| = 2^m$ for $m \geq 1$. Now $|U(R)| = |R| - |M| = 2^n - 2^m$ is even. So $|U(R)| = |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ is even as $|U(R_1)|$ is even. $\square$

In Figure 1, we notice that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is connected and $\deg((\bar{0}, \bar{1})) = 7$. Thus the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is not Eulerian. So the graph $G'(R)$ is not always Eulerian. Now we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$ be a finite commutative ring with 1, where each R_i is a finite local ring, at least one R_i is regular and $k \geq 2$. Then $G'(R)$ is Eulerian if and only if either $|R|$ is odd or $R = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2.

Proof. It is given that at least one R_i is regular. This implies that $G'(R)$ is connected by Theorem 2.3.

Now we assume that $|R|$ is odd. This implies that $|R_i|$ is odd for each i and hence $|U(R_i)|$ is even, by Lemma 3.3. Let $x \in R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k \setminus U(R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k)$. By rearranging the components of $R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ if necessarily x is either $(u_1, \dots, u_q, 0, \dots, 0)$ or $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ or $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, u_{m+1}, \dots, u_{m+n}, 0, \dots, 0)$ or $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l, 0, \dots, 0)$ or $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p, u_{p+1}, \dots, u_k)$, where $a_i \in M_i \setminus \{0\}$ and $u_i \in U(R_i)$.

Case 1 : Assume $x = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then by Remark 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, we have $[deg(x)]_{G'(R)} = deg_{G(R)}(x) - |U(R)| = |R_1| \dots |R_q|(|U(R_{q+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)| - 1$ which is even, since $|R_i|$ and $|U(R_i)| + 1$ are odd for all i .

Case 2 : Clearly, $[deg((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0))]_{G'(R)} = deg_{G(R)}((0, 0, \dots, 0)) - |U(R)| = (|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) \dots (|U(R_i)| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)| - 1$ is even, since $|U(R_i)|$ is even for all i .

Case 3 : Assume $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, u_{m+1}, \dots, u_{m+n}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then by Remark 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, it follows that $[deg(x)]_{G'(R)} = deg_{G(R)}(x) - |U(R)| = |U(R_1)| \dots |U(R_m)||R_{m+1}| \dots |R_{m+n}|(|U(R_{m+n+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ which is even, since each $|U(R_i)|$ is even and each $|R_i|$ is odd.

Case 4 : Assume $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then by Remark 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, it follows that $[deg(x)]_{G'(R)} = deg_{G(R)}(x) - |U(R)| = |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_l)|(|U(R_{l+1})| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ which is even, since $|U(R_1)|$ is even.

Case 5 : Assume $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p, u_{p+1}, \dots, u_k)$ be a vertex of $G'(R)$. Then $[deg(x)]_{G'(R)} = |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_p)||R_{p+1}| \dots |R_k| - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| \dots |U(R_k)|$ which is even, since $|U(R_1)|$ is even.

Since $G'(R)$ is connected and degree of any vertex is even, it follows that $G'(R)$ is Eulerian.

Again we assume that $R = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2. Now $|U(R)| = |U(F_1)||U(F_2)| \dots |U(F_k)|$ is odd as each $|U(F_i)| = |F_i| - 1$ is odd. Let x be a vertex of $G'(R)$. Since $R = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$ is regular, x is adjacent with any other vertex of $G'(R)$. So $[deg(x)]_{G'(R)} = deg_{G(R)}(x) - |U(R)| = |R| - 1 - |U(R)|$ is even as $|R|$ is even and $|U(R)|$ is odd.

Since $G'(F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k)$ is connected and degree of any vertex is even, $G'(F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k)$ is Eulerian.

Conversely, suppose that $G'(R)$ is Eulerian. If possible, let $|R|$ be even and $R \neq F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2. Then by Lemma 3.3, $|U(R)|$ is even. Since $|R|$ is even, there exists at least one R_i whose order is 2^n . Let R_1 be such a local ring of order 2^n . Now $[deg((1, 0, 0, \dots, 0))]_{G'(R)} = |R_1|(|U(R_2)| + 1) \dots (|U(R_i)| + 1) \dots (|U(R_k)| + 1) - |U(R)| - 1$ is odd which is a contradiction. Hence either $|R|$ is odd or $R = F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_k$, where each F_i is a field of characteristic 2. $\square$

4 Study of genus of the graph $G'(R)$

In this section, we mainly study the genus of the graph $G'(R)$ of the graph $G(R)$. We discuss some known results from [8] which is used here.

Lemma 4.1. $\gamma(K_n) = \{\frac{1}{12}(n-3)(n-4)\}$, where $\{x\}$ is the least integer that is greater than or equal to x . In particular, $\gamma(K_n) = 1$ if $n = 5, 6, 7$.

Lemma 4.2. $\gamma(K_{m,n}) = \{\frac{1}{4}(m-2)(n-2)\}$, where $\{x\}$ is the least integer that is greater than or equal to x .

The following remark is an easy observation.

Remark 4.3. $\gamma(H) \leq \gamma(G)$ for all subgraphs H of G .

Lemma 4.4. Let R be a finite local ring and M be its unique maximal ideal. Then $|U(R)| \geq |M|$, where $U(R)$ is the set of units of R and hence $|r(R)| \geq \frac{|R|}{2} + 1$ if $|R|$ is even and $|r(R)| \geq \frac{|R|-1}{2} + 3$ if $|R|(> 3)$ is odd.

Proof. Case 1 : Let $|R| = 2n$ for some positive integer n . Let M be the unique maximal ideal of R . If $a \in M = J(R)$, then $1 - a \in U(R) = R - M$. This implies $|M| \leq |U(R)|$. Now $|R| = |M| + |U(R)| \leq |U(R)| + |U(R)| = 2|U(R)|$ implies $|U(R)| \geq \frac{|R|}{2}$. Since R is local ring, so $|r(R)| = |U(R)| + 1$. Hence $|r(R)| = |U(R)| + 1 \geq \frac{|R|}{2} + 1$.

Case 2 : Let $|R| = 2n + 1$ for some positive integer n . Clearly, n is not a factor of $2n + 1$ but $|M|$ is a factor of $2n + 1$. Thus $|M| \neq n$ and $|M| \not\geq n + 1$. So $|M| \leq n - 1$. Thus $|U(R)| \geq 2n + 1 - n + 1 = n + 2 = \frac{|R|-1}{2} + 2$. Hence $|r(R)| \geq \frac{|R|-1}{2} + 3$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \leq 1$ for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{F}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_5$.

Proof. The vertex set of the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $V(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 - U(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then $|V(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2))| = 6$ and all the vertices are regular elements in $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. So the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_6$. Thus $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \gamma(K_6) = 1$, by Lemma 4.1.

Similarly, we have $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \gamma(K_5) = 1$ as $G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_5$.

The vertex set of the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 - U(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{2}, \bar{1})\}$.

The graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is shown in the following diagram :

Figure 3 : $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$

From above Figure 3, it is clear that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ can be drawn in the plane without crossing its edges. Thus $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is planar i.e., $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = 0$.

Since $G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$, so we have $\gamma(G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = 0$.

Again for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = 0$ as $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_4$ and for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = 0$ as $G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_3$. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let R be a finite commutative local ring of order 8. Then $\gamma(G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_8$. Then the vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 - U(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_9$. So by Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \gamma(K_9) = 3$.

Let $R(\neq \mathbb{F}_8)$ be a local ring of order 8 and M be the unique maximal ideal of R . Then $|M| = 4$, by Lemma 4.1 in [13]. Suppose $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, 0\}$ and $U(R) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, 1\}$. The vertex set of $G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $R \times \mathbb{Z}_2 - U(R \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = \{(u_1, \bar{0}), (u_2, \bar{0}), (u_3, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0}), (0, \bar{0}), (0, \bar{1}), (m_1, \bar{0}), (m_2, \bar{0}), (m_3, \bar{0}), (m_1, \bar{1}), (m_2, \bar{1}), (m_3, \bar{1})\}$. We divide the vertex set into two subsets $\{(u_1, \bar{0}), (u_2, \bar{0}), (u_3, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0})\}$ and $\{(m_1, \bar{0}), (m_2, \bar{0}), (m_3, \bar{0}), (0, \bar{0}), (m_1, \bar{1}), (m_2, \bar{1}), (m_3, \bar{1}), (0, \bar{1})\}$. Then $G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,8}$. So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.2, $\gamma(G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \geq \gamma(K_{4,8}) = \{\frac{(4-2)(8-2)}{4}\} = \{3\} = 3$. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = 1$ for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Proof. The vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 - U(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{4}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2})\}$. Since all the vertices are regular elements of $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, the subgraph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong K_7$. Thus $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = \gamma(K_7) = 1$, by Lemma 4.1.

Similarly, we can easily verify $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = \gamma(K_6) = 1$ as $G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong K_6$.

The vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 - U(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{1}), (\bar{2}, \bar{2})\}$. Clearly, the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ contains a subgraph generated by $\{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0})\}$ which is isomorphic to K_5 . So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \geq \gamma(K_5) = 1$ (I).

Now the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is shown in the following :

Figure 4 : $G(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$

In Figure 4, we note that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ can be drawn on the surface of genus one without crossing its edges. So $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \leq 1$ (II).

Therefore, by (I) and (II), $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = 1$.

Similarly, $\gamma(G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = 1$ as $G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$.

Again for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) = 1$ as $G'(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong K_5$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let R be a finite commutative local ring of order 8. Then $\gamma(G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_8$. Then the vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is $V_{G'} = \mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 - U(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$. Here $|V_{G'}| = 10$ and $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong K_{10}$. So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \geq \gamma(K_{10}) = 4$.

Let $R(\neq \mathbb{F}_8)$ be a local ring of order 8 and M be its unique maximal ideal. Then we have $|M| = 4$. Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, 0\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, 1\}$ be the set of units. The vertex set of $G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ is $R \times \mathbb{Z}_3 - U(R \times \mathbb{Z}_3) = \{(u_1, \bar{0}), (u_2, \bar{0}), (u_3, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0}), (0, \bar{0}), (0, \bar{1}), (0, \bar{2}), (m_1, \bar{0}), (m_2, \bar{0}), (m_3, \bar{0}), (m_1, \bar{1}), (m_2, \bar{1}), (m_3, \bar{1}), (m_1, \bar{3}), (m_2, \bar{2}), (m_3, \bar{2})\}$. Now we consider two vertex subsets $\{(u_1, \bar{0}), (u_2, \bar{0}), (u_3, \bar{0}), (1, \bar{0})\}$ and $\{(m_1, \bar{0}), (m_2, \bar{0}), (m_3, \bar{0}), (m_1, \bar{1}), (m_2, \bar{1}), (m_3, \bar{1}), (m_1, \bar{3}), (m_2, \bar{2}), (m_3, \bar{2})\}$ of the vertex set. Then the graph $G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,9}$. So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.2, $\gamma(G'(R \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \geq \gamma(K_{4,9}) = 4$. $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let R_1, R_2 be two commutative local rings with $|R_1| = 8$ and $|R_2| = 4$. Then $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $R_1 = \mathbb{F}_8$. Then the vertex set of $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2)$ is $V_{G'} = \mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2 - U(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2)$. Let $S = r(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2) - U(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2)$ be set of non-unit regular elements. Then $|S| = (|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = |U(R_1)| + |U(R_2)| + 1 \geq 8$. Since S forms a clique, $G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to K_8 . So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_8 \times R_2)) \geq \gamma(K_8) = 2$.

Suppose $R_1 \neq \mathbb{F}_8$. Let M_1, M_2 be unique maximal ideals of R_1, R_2 respectively. Then we have $|M_1| = 4$. Let $M_1 = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, 0\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, 1\}$ be the set of units. Since $|R_2| = 4$, $|U(R_2)| \geq 2$, by Lemma 4.4. Let u be a non-identity unit. The vertex set of $G'(R_1 \times R_2)$ is $R_1 \times R_2 - U(R_1 \times R_2)$. Now we consider two subsets $\{(m_1, 0), (m_2, 0), (m_3, 0), (m_1, 1), (m_2, 1), (m_3, 1), (m_1, u), (m_2, u), (m_3, u)\}$ and $\{(u_1, 0), (u_2, 0), (u_3, 0), (1, 0)\}$ of the vertex set. Then $G'(R_1 \times R_2)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,9}$. So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.2, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq \gamma(K_{4,9}) = 4$. $\square$

Lemma 4.10. $\gamma(G'(R)) = 1$ for $R = \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$.

Proof. In $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 - U(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$, $S = \{(\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{0}, \bar{3}), (\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{4}, \bar{0})\}$ is the set of non-unit regular elements. So the subgraph generated by S is isomorphic to K_7 . Since $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to K_7 , so by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) \geq 1$ (I).

Now the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is shown below :

Figure 5 : $G(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$

In Figure 5, we note that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ can be drawn on the surface of genus one without crossing its edges. So $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) \leq 1$ (II).

Therefore, by (I) and (II), $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) = 1$.

Since $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})$, so $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 4.11. $\gamma(G'(R)) = 1$ for $R = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$.

Proof. In $G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$, $V(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4) = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4 - U(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$. Then $|V(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)| = 7$ and each vertex is regular in $\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4$. Thus $G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4) = K_7$. So by Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) = \gamma(K_7) = 1$.

The vertex set of the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 - U(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = \{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{3}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0}), (\bar{1}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{1}), (\bar{2}, \bar{3}), (\bar{3}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{2}), (\bar{2}, \bar{2})\}$. Clearly, the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ contains a subgraph generated by $\{(\bar{1}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{1}), (\bar{3}, \bar{0}), (\bar{0}, \bar{3}), (\bar{0}, \bar{0})\}$ which is isomorphic to K_5 . So $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) \geq \gamma(K_5) = 1$ (I).

The vertex set of the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4 - U(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4) = \{(\bar{1}, 0), (\bar{3}, 0), (\bar{2}, 0), (\bar{0}, 0), (\bar{0}, u_1), (\bar{0}, u_2), (\bar{0}, u_3), (\bar{2}, u_1), (\bar{2}, u_2), (\bar{2}, u_3)\}$, where $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, 0\}$. The graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$ contains a subgraph generated by $\{(\bar{1}, 0), (\bar{3}, 0), (\bar{0}, 0), (\bar{0}, u_1), (\bar{0}, u_2), (\bar{0}, u_3)\}$ which is isomorphic to K_6 .

So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) \geq \gamma(K_6) = 1$ (II).

Now the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ and $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$ are shown in the following :

Figure 6 : $G(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$

Figure 7 : $G(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$

In Figure 6, we note that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$ can be drawn on the surface of genus one without crossing its edges. So $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) \leq 1$ (III).

Therefore, by (I) and (III), $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) = 1$.

Since $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_4) \cong G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})$, $\gamma(G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_4)) = \gamma(G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})) = 1$.

In Figure 7, we note that the graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)$ can be drawn on the surface of genus one without crossing its edges. So $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) \leq 1$ (IV).

Therefore, by (II) and (IV), $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) = 1$.

Since $G'(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4) \cong G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{F}_4)$, $\gamma(G'(\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{F}_4)) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 4.12. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings R_i with 1 such that $|R_1| \geq |R_2| \geq \cdots \geq |R_k|$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$ and $k \geq 3$. Then $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq 2$ except for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. Case 1 : Assume $k = 3$ and $|R_1| = |R_2| = |R_3| = 2$. Then $R_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In this case $G'(R) \cong G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_7$. So $\gamma(G'(R)) = \gamma(K_7) = 1$.

Case 2 : Suppose $k = 3$ and $|R_1| \geq 3$. Then $|r(R_1)| \geq 3$. Now we consider three sets $S_1 = \{0, 1, u_1\} \subseteq r(R_1)$, $S_2 = \{0, 1\} \subseteq r(R_2)$ and $S_3 = \{0, 1\} \subseteq r(R_3)$. Clearly, $S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3 - \{(1, 1, 1), (u_1, 1, 1)\} \subseteq R - U(R)$. Now the elements of $S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3 - \{(1, 1, 1), (u_1, 1, 1)\}$ forms a complete subgraph of the graph $G'(R)$ which is isomorphic to K_{10} . Then by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq \gamma(K_{10}) = 4$.

Case 3 : Let $k \geq 4$. Then we have a subset $S = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, 1\} - \{(1, 1, \dots, 1)\} \subseteq R - U(R)$. Here $|S| \geq 2^k - 1 \geq 15$ as $k \geq 4$. Thus the subgraph induced by S is isomorphic to K_{2^k-1} and hence $G'(R)$ has a subgraph isomorphic to K_{15} . Then by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq \gamma(K_{15}) = 11$.

Therefore, by Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3, $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq 2$ except for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. $\square$

Lemma 4.13. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ for some commutative local rings R_1, R_2 with $|R_1| \geq |R_2|$. Then $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq 2$ if one of the following holds:

- (i) $|R_2| \geq 5$.
- (ii) $|R_1| \geq 7$.

Proof. Condition (i) holds i.e., $|R_2| \geq 5$. Then $|R_1| \geq 5$. So $|r(R_1)| = |U(R_1)| + 1$, $|r(R_2)| = |U(R_2)| + 1 \geq 5$, by Lemma 4.4. If S is the set of non-unit regular elements i.e., $S = r(R_1 \times R_2) - U(R_1 \times R_2)$, then $|S| = |r(R_1 \times R_2) - U(R_1 \times R_2)| = |r(R_1)||r(R_2)| - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = (|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = |U(R_1)| + |U(R_2)| + 1 \geq 4 + 4 + 1 = 9$. Thus the subgraph induced by S is isomorphic to K_9 . Therefore, by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq \gamma(K_9) \geq 2$.

Condition (ii) holds i.e., $|R_1| \geq 7$.

Case I : Suppose that $|R_1| = 7$. If $|R_1| = 7$ and $|R_2| \geq 2$, then $|U(R_1)| = 6$ and $|U(R_2)| \geq 1$. Let S be the set of non-unit regular elements of $R_1 \times R_2$. Then $|S| = |r(R_1 \times R_2) - U(R_1 \times R_2)| = (|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = |U(R_1)| + |U(R_2)| + 1 \geq 6 + 1 + 1 = 8$. The subgraph induced by S is isomorphic to K_8 . So by Remark 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq \gamma(K_8) = 2$.

Case II : Assume $|R_1| = 8$. If $|R_1| = 8$ and $|R_2| = 2$, then by Lemma 4.6, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq 2$. If $|R_1| = 8$ and $|R_2| = 3$, then by Lemma 4.8, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq 2$. If $|R_1| = 8$ and $|R_2| = 4$, then by Lemma 4.9, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq 2$. If $|R_1| = 8$ and $|R_2| \geq 5$, then by condition (i), $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq 2$.

Case III : Let $|R_1| \geq 9$ and $|R_2| \geq 2$. Then $|r(R_1)| \geq 7$ and $|r(R_2)| \geq 2$ by Lemma 4.4. So the number of non-unit regular elements is $|r(R_1)||r(R_2)| - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = (|U(R_1)| + 1)(|U(R_2)| + 1) - |U(R_1)||U(R_2)| = |U(R_1)| + |U(R_2)| + 1 \geq 6 + 1 + 1 = 8$. So the subgraph induced by non-unit regular elements is isomorphic to K_8 . Thus $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times R_2)) \geq \gamma(K_8) = 2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.14. *Let R be a finite commutative non-local ring with 1. Then $G'(R)$ is either toroidal or Planar i.e., $\gamma(G'(R)) \leq 1$ if and only if R is isomorphic to one of the following rings: $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$, $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4$, $\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4$, $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Assume that $\gamma(G'(R)) \leq 1$. Since R is a finite commutative ring with 1, $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$. We may assume that $|R_1| \geq |R_2| \geq \cdots \geq |R_k|$. By Lemma 4.12, we may assume that $k \leq 3$. However, if $k = 3$, then $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, by Lemma 4.12. In this case, $\gamma(G'(R)) = \gamma(K_7) = 1$, as $G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_7$.

Assume that $k = 2$. Then by Lemma 4.13, $|R_2| \leq 4$ and $|R_1| < 7$.

Case 1 : Let $|R_2| = 2$. In this case, $R_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Now we have R_1 is isomorphic to one of the following rings: $\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then by Lemma 4.5, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \leq 1$ for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Case 2 : Suppose $|R_2| = 3$. In this case, $R_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since $3 \leq |R_1| < 7$, so R_1 is isomorphic to one of the following rings: $\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Then by Lemma 4.7, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)) \leq 1$ for $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Case 3 : Suppose $|R_2| = 4$. Then $R_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$.

Subcase 3a : Suppose $R_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_4$. Since $4 \leq |R_1| < 7$, so $R_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$. The graph $G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_4) \cong K_8$ as $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_4$ is regular ring. Thus $\gamma(G'(\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) = 2$. Also for $R_1 = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{F}_4$, $\gamma(G'(R_1 \times \mathbb{F}_4)) = 1$, by Lemma 4.11.

Subcase 3b : Let $R_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$. Then $|R_1| \geq 4$ and by Lemma 4.13, $|R_1| < 7$. So R_1 is isomorphic to one of the following rings : $\mathbb{Z}_5, \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$. Thus by Lemma 4.10, $\gamma(G'(R)) = 1$, where $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$. Now by Lemma 4.11, $\gamma(G'(R)) = 1$, where $R \cong \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{F}_4, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$.

Conversely, assume that R is isomorphic to one of the following rings : $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{F}_4, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then by Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.10, Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12, $\gamma(G'(R)) \leq 1$. $\square$

From Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.14, we have the following necessary and sufficient condition for the planarity of $G'(R)$.

Theorem 4.15. *Let R be a finite commutative non-local ring with 1. Then $G'(R)$ is planar i.e., $\gamma(G'(R)) = 0$ if and only if R is isomorphic to one of the rings : $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

From Lemma 4.12, Lemma 4.13 and Theorem 4.14, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$, where R_i is a finite local ring for every i and $|R_1| \geq |R_2| \geq \cdots \geq |R_k|$. Then $\gamma(G'(R)) \geq 2$ if and only if one of these five conditions holds: (i) $k \geq 4$; (ii) $k = 3$ and $|R_1| \geq 3$; (iii) $k = 2, |R_2| \geq 5$; (iv) $k = 2, |R_1| \geq 7$ and (v) $k = 2, R_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $R_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_4$.*

5 Line graph of $G'(R)$

In this section, we characterize those rings for which $G'(R)$ is a line graph of some graph G . Here we also study some properties of the line graph $L(G'(R))$ of the graph $G'(R)$.

Definition 5.1. *The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph G is defined as follows : The vertices of $L(G)$ are taken as the edges of G and two vertices are adjacent whenever the corresponding edges of G are adjacent (i.e. they share a vertex in common).*

Theorem 5.2. *Let R be a finite commutative ring with 1. Then $G'(R)$ is a line graph of some graph G if and only if R is either a regular ring or a local ring.*

Proof. Suppose that R is either a regular ring or a local ring. First assume that R is a finite local ring. Then $G'(R)$ is a totally disconnected graph of order m , where $m = |R \setminus U(R)|$. So $G'(R) \cong L(mK_2)$. Again if R is a non-local regular ring, then $G'(R) \cong K_n$, where $n = |R \setminus U(R)|$ and hence $G'(R) \cong L(K_{1,n})$.

Conversely, assume that $G'(R)$ is a line graph of some graph G . If possible, let R be neither a regular ring nor a local ring. This implies that there exist local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ with unique maximal ideals $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$ of $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ respectively and $k \geq 2$ such that $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$ and at least one of R_i is not regular. Without loss of generality, let R_1 be not regular. Then $M_1 \neq \{0\}$. Let $a \in M_1 \setminus \{0\}$ be a non-regular element of R_1 . Now consider the subset $A = \{(a, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (a, 1, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ of $G'(R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k)$. Then the subgraph induced by A is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ which is forbidden for line graph, by Theorem 1 in [11] and hence $G'(R)$ is not a line graph. Thus R is either a regular or a local ring. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. ([10]) *Let G be a connected graph. Then $L(G)$ is a connected graph.*

Lemma 5.4. ([10]) *Let G be a simple connected graph. Then $\text{diam}(L(G)) \leq \text{diam}(G) + 1$.*

Lemma 5.5. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ with the unique maximal ideals $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$ respectively. If the subset $I = (M_1 \setminus \{0\}) \times (M_2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \dots \times (M_k \setminus \{0\}) \neq \phi$, then the subgraph induced by $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$ is a connected subgraph in $G'(R)$.*

Proof. Consider the set $A = \{(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 0, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)\}$ of the elements of $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$. It is obvious that the subgraph induced by A forms a clique. Again we consider an arbitrary vertex v from $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$. Then $v = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, where at least one of $a_i \in U(R_i) \cup \{0\}$ and not of all a_i is unit. Assume $a_1 \in U(R_1) \cup \{0\}$. Then $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \leftrightarrow (0, 1, 1, \dots, 0) \in A$. Since v is arbitrary, any vertex of $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$ is adjacent to some element of A which induces a clique. Therefore, the subgraph induced by $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$ is a connected subgraph in $G'(R)$. $\square$

Theorem 5.6. *Let R be a finite commutative non-local ring with 1 except $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $L(G'(R))$ is connected with $2 \leq \text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a finite commutative non-local ring. Then $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ with the unique maximal ideals $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$ respectively and $k \geq 2$.

Case 1 : Suppose at least one R_i is regular. Then by Theorem 2.3, $G'(R)$ is connected. So by Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, the line graph $L(G'(R))$ is connected with $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$. It can be easily verified that $L(G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)) \cong K_3$ as $G'(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong K_3$ but for others R , $G'(R)$ is isomorphic to neither $K_{1,n}$ nor K_3 . So we have $L(G'(R))$ is complete only for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) > 1$. So $2 \leq \text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$.

Case 2 : Suppose no R_i is regular. Thus $M_i \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ for each i . In the graph $G'(R)$, the subgraph induced by the subset $I = (M_1 \setminus \{0\}) \times (M_2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \cdots \times (M_k \setminus \{0\})$ is isomorphic to $|I|K_1$ and we denote it by G_I . It is easily observed that no vertex of I is adjacent to some vertices of $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$. The remaining vertices $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$ of $G'(R)$ forms a connected subgraph, by Lemma 5.5 and denotes it by G_{I^c} . Now the graph $G'(R)$ is the union of these two subgraphs i.e. $G'(R) = G_I \cup G_{I^c}$. So $E(G'(R)) = E(G_{I^c})$. This implies that $V(L(G'(R))) = E(G'(R)) = E(G_{I^c}) = V(L(G_{I^c}))$. Since G_{I^c} is connected subgraph, the line graph $L(G_{I^c})$ is connected, by Proposition 5.3. So the line graph $L(G'(R)) = L(G_{I^c})$ is connected.

Now we consider two arbitrary vertices $e_1, e_2 \in V(L(G'(R)))$. This implies $e_1, e_2 \in E(G_{I^c})$. Then there exists 4 vertices $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$, $(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_k^*)$, $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$ and $(y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_k^*)$ in $(R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$ such that $e_1 = [(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_k^*)]$ and $e_2 = [(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k), (y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_k^*)]$. Since $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in (R \setminus U(R)) \setminus I$, then at least one of $x_i \in U(R_i) \cup \{0\}$ and at least one of $y_i \in U(R_i) \cup \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $x_1 \in U(R_1) \cup \{0\}$ and $y_2 \in U(R_2) \cup \{0\}$.

If $y_1 \in U(R_1) \cup \{0\}$, then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k) \leftrightarrow (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Thus we have two vertices $e_3 = [(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)]$ and $e_4 = [(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1), (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_k)]$ such that $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_3 \leftrightarrow e_4 \leftrightarrow e_2$. So in this case, $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$.

Suppose $y_1 \in M_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Since $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \leftrightarrow (y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^*, \dots, y_k^*)$, so $y_1 R_1 + y_1^* R_1 = \{0\}$ or R_i . This implies $y_1^* \in U(R_1)$. Then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \leftrightarrow (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^*, \dots, y_k^*) \leftrightarrow (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Thus we have $e_3^* = [(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)]$ and $e_4^* = [(0, 1, 1, \dots, 1), (y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^*, \dots, y_k^*)]$. Then $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_3^* \leftrightarrow e_4^* \leftrightarrow e_2$. Since e_1 and e_2 are arbitrary, so $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$. It can be easily checked that $L(G'(R))$ is never complete. Hence $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) > 1$. Therefore, $2 \leq \text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$. $\square$

Theorem 5.7. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for some local rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$ with unique maximal ideals $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k$ respectively. Suppose $p = |\{i : R_i \text{ is non-regular local ring}\}|$. Then

$$\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ and } k \geq 3 \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $p \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume that R_1, R_2 are non-regular. Let $r_1 \in M_1 \setminus \{0\}$, $s_1 \in M_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Now we consider the edges $e_1 = [(r_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), (1, s_1, 0, \dots, 0)]$ and $e_2 = [(0, 0, 1, \dots, 0), (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)]$. Then we can see that the subgraph induced by $\{(r_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), (1, s_1, 0, \dots, 0),$

$(0, 0, 1, \dots, 0), (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ is isomorphic to $2K_2$. So in the line graph $L(G'(R))$, there is no vertex e_3 such that $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_3 \leftrightarrow e_2$. Thus $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) > 2$. So $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) = 3$, by Theorem 5.6.

Suppose that $p = 2$ and $k = 2$. Let e_1, e_2 be two vertices of $L(G'(R))$. Then there exist four vertices $(x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*), (y_1, y_2), (y_1^*, y_2^*)$ of $G'(R)$ such that $e_1 = [(x_1, x_2), (x_1^*, x_2^*)]$ and $e_2 = [(y_1, y_2), (y_1^*, y_2^*)]$. Then $(x_1, x_2) \leftrightarrow (x_1^*, x_2^*)$ and $(y_1, y_2) \leftrightarrow (y_1^*, y_2^*)$. This implies that $x_1 R_1 + x_1^* R_1 = \{0\}$ or R_1 , $x_2 R_2 + x_2^* R_2 = \{0\}$ or R_2 , $y_1 R_1 + y_1^* R_1 = \{0\}$ or R_1 and $y_2 R_2 + y_2^* R_2 = \{0\}$ or R_2 . Clearly, (x_1, x_2) is adjacent with either (y_1, y_2) or (y_1^*, y_2^*) . Thus we have an edge either $e_3 = [(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)]$ or $e_4 = [(x_1, x_2), (y_1^*, y_2^*)]$. Then $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_3 \leftrightarrow e_2$ or $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_4 \leftrightarrow e_2$ in $L(G'(R))$. So $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) = 2$ as e_1, e_2 are arbitrary vertices of $L(G'(R))$.

Assume that $p \leq 1$.

Case 1 : Let $p = 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose that R_1 is non-regular and $R_2, R_3, \dots, R_k$ are regular. Let e_1, e_2 be two vertices of $L(G'(R))$. Then there exist four vertices $(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k), (x_1^*, r_2^*, r_3^*, r_4^*, \dots, r_k^*), (y_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k)$ and $(y_1^*, s_2^*, s_3^*, s_4^*, \dots, s_k^*)$ of $G'(R)$ such that $e_1 = [(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k), (x_1^*, r_2^*, r_3^*, r_4^*, \dots, r_k^*)]$ and $e_2 = [(y_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k), (y_1^*, s_2^*, s_3^*, s_4^*, \dots, s_k^*)]$. Then $(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k) \leftrightarrow (x_1^*, r_2^*, r_3^*, r_4^*, \dots, r_k^*)$ and $(y_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k) \leftrightarrow (y_1^*, s_2^*, s_3^*, s_4^*, \dots, s_k^*)$. This implies that $x_1 R_1 + x_1^* R_1 = \{0\}$ or R_1 and $y_1 R_1 + y_1^* R_1 = \{0\}$ or R_1 . This implies either $x_1 = x_1^* = 0$ or at least one of x_1, x_1^* is unit and either $y_1 = y_1^* = 0$ or at least one of y_1, y_1^* is unit. Clearly, $(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k)$ is adjacent with either $(y_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k)$ or $(y_1^*, s_2^*, s_3^*, s_4^*, \dots, s_k^*)$. Thus we have an edge either $e_3 = [(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k), (y_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots, s_k)]$ or $e_4 = [(x_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, \dots, r_k), (y_1^*, s_2^*, s_3^*, s_4^*, \dots, s_k^*)]$. Then $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_3 \leftrightarrow e_2$ or $e_1 \leftrightarrow e_4 \leftrightarrow e_2$ in $L(G'(R))$. Hence $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) = 2$.

Case 2 : Let $p = 0$. Then each R_i is regular and hence R is regular. Then $G'(R)$ is complete. So $\text{diam}(G'(R)) = 1$. Thus $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq \text{diam}(G'(R)) + 1 = 2$. Since $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \geq 2$, it follows that $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) = 2$. $\square$

Corollary 5.8. Let $n = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$, where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ be distinct primes and $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$ be non-negative integers. Then

$$\text{diam}(L(G'(\mathbb{Z}_n))) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if at least two } r_i \geq 2 \text{ and } k \geq 3 \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Conclusion : The paper is divided into some sections. In sections 2 and 3 we identify those rings R for which the graph $G'(R)$ is connected and Eulerian. In section 4, we characterize those rings R for which the graph $G'(R)$ will be either toroidal or planar. In section 5, we prove that for any non-local ring R , $L(G'(R))$ is connected with $\text{diam}(L(G'(R))) \leq 3$. We are unable to characterize those rings R for which the genus of the graph $G'(R)$ is 2. Thus it will be more interesting to find the rings R for which the genus of the graph $G'(R)$ is 2 and there shall be big opportunities for further study of the graph $G'(R)$ with topological aspects in the future.

Acknowledgement : The authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for making several useful comments and suggestions which have definitely enriched this paper.

Compliance with ethical standards :

Conflict of interest : The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publishing of this paper.

Ethical approval : This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors

References

- [1] Anderson DD, Naseer M (1993) Beck's coloring of a commutative ring. *J. Algebra* 159: 500-514.
- [2] Asir T, Mano K (2020) Classification of non-local rings with genus two zero-divisor graphs. *Soft Computing* 24: 237-245.
- [3] Biswas B, Kar S, Sen MK, Dutta TK (2019) A generalization of co-maximal graph of commutative rings. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications (DMAA)* 11(1): 1950013 (13 pages).
- [4] Biswas B, Sen Gupta R, Sen MK, Kar S (2019) On connectedness of square element graphs over arbitrary rings. *Southeast Asian bull. Math.* 43: 153-164.
- [5] Biswas B, Sen Gupta R, Sen MK, Kar S (2020) Some properties of Square element graphs over semigroups. *AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics* 17(1): 118-130.
- [6] Beck I (1988) Coloring of a commutative ring. *J. Algebra* 116: 208-226.
- [7] Bini G, Flamini F (2002) *Finite commutative rings and their applications*. Springer Science + Business Media New York.
- [8] Harary F (1972) *Graph theory*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.,MA.
- [9] Maimani HR, Salimki M, Sattari A, Yassemi S (2008) Co-maximal graph of commutative rings. *J. Algebra* 319: 1801-1808.
- [10] Sharma A, Gaur A (2015) Line graphs associated to the maximal graph. *J. Algebra Relat. Top*, 3(1): 1-11.
- [11] Soltes L (1994) Forbidden induced subgraphs for line graphs. *Discrete Math.* 132: 391-394.
- [12] Sharma PK, Bhatwadekar SM (1995) A note on graphical representation of rings. *J. Algebra* 176: 124-127.
- [13] Wang HJ (2008) Graphs associated to co-maximal ideals of a commutative ring. *J. Algebra* 320: 2917-2933.