

Virulence and Genetic Characterization of Six Baculovirus Strains Isolated From Different Populations of Spodoptera Frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Ingrid Zanella-Saenz

Universidad de Guanajuato Division de Ciencias de la Vida

Elisabeth A. Herniou

Université de Tours Faculté des Sciences et Techniques: Universite de Tours Faculte des Sciences et Techniques

Jorge E. Ibarra

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN: Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politecnico Nacional

Universidad de Guanajuato - Campus Irapuato-Salamanca https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3749-7351

Ilse Alejandra Huerta-Arredondo

Universidad de Guanajuato

Research Article

Keywords: Spodoptera frugiperda, baculovirus, virulence, phylogeny, genetic comparison.

Posted Date: October 21st, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-988050/v1

License: (c) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Read Full License

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Archives of Microbiology on January 1st, 2022. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-021-02722-8.

1	Virulence and genetic characterization of six baculovirus strains isolated from
2	different populations of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
3	
4	Ingrid Zanella-Saenz ^{1&} , Elisabeth A. Herniou ² , Jorge E. Ibarra ³ , Ilse Alejandra Huerta-
5	Arredondo ⁴ and Ma. Cristina Del Rincón-Castro ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Departamento de Alimentos, Posgrado en Biociencias, División Ciencias de la Vida,
8	Campus Irapuato-Salamanca, Universidad de Guanajuato, Km. 9.0 Carr. Irapuato-León, C.P.
9	36500, Irapuato, Guanajuato México, ² Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insect, UMR
10	7261 CNRS- Université de Tours, Faculté des Sciences Parc Grandmont 37200 Tours,
11	France, ³ Departamento de Biotecnología y Bioquímica, Centro de Investigación y de
12	Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N. 36500 Irapuato, Gto. México, ⁴ Departamento de Agronomía,
13	División Ciencias de la Vida, Campus Irapuato-Salamanca, Universidad de Guanajuato, Km.
14	9.0 Carr. Irapuato-León, C.P. 36500, Irapuato, Guanajuato México.
15	
16 17 18 19 20 21	ORCID Ingrid Zanella-Saenz: 0000-0002-5850-1700 ORCID Elisabeth A. Herniou: 0000-0001-5362-6056 ORCID Jorge E. Ibarra: 0000-0002-1013-8260 ORCID Ilse Alejandra Huerta-Arredondo: ORCID Ma. Cristina Del Rincón-Castro: 0000-0002-3749-7351
22	*Corresponding author: Ma. Cristina Del Rincón-Castro. Km. 9.0 Carr. Irapuato-León,
23	36500 Irapuato, Gto., Mexico. Ph: 52-462-624-1889. <u>cdelrincon@ugto.mx</u>
24	
25	&Current address: Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Abasolo, Blvd. Cuitzeo de los
26	Naranjos No.401, Abasolo, C.P. 36976, Guanajuato México

ABSTRACT

27

28 Fall armyworm (FAW), Spodoptera frugiperda (Smith, 1797), is a polyphagous, voracious, and economically important agricultural pest. Biological control of FAW is a strategy that 29 must be further explored. This study evaluated six baculovirus strains isolated from infected 30 FAW larvae from Mexico, Argentina, Honduras, and the United States. Five 31 alphabaculoviruses (SfNPV-An₂, SfNPV-Arg, SfNPV-Fx, SfNPV-Ho and SfNPV-Sin) and 32 33 one betabaculovirus (SfGV-RV), were tested against FAW larvae, showing a wide diversity of virulence levels among strains when their estimated LC₅₀s were compared, being SfNPV-34 Arg, SfNPV-Ho and SfNPV-Fx more virulent than SfNPV-An₂, SfNPV-Sin and SfGV-RV. 35 36 To determine any virulence difference in vitro studies of these isolates, Sf9 cell cultures were used. Interestingly, only ODVs from four of the test SfNPV strains showed infectivity on Sf9 37 cell cultures, and some differences in virulence were observed. Genomic restriction analyses 38 and partial sequences of lef-8, lef-9, and polh/granulin genes showed little variability among 39 alphabaculoviruses, both, among them and with previously reported sequences. However, 40 sequences from SfGV-RV were closer to previously reported sequences from the SfGV-41 VG008 strain than the SfGV-Arg and SfGV-VG014 strains. The great difference in the in 42 vivo virulence was not correlated with great similarity among the isolates. The 43 44 characterization of these six baculoviruses isolates offers the basis for exploring their potential as biological control agents against S. frugiperda, as well the initial studies on their 45 specific infection mechanisms, evolution, and ecology. 46

47

48

49

KEYWORDS: *Spodoptera frugiperda*, baculovirus, virulence, phylogeny, genetic comparison.

Introduction

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

worldwide (Moya et al. 2018), and it is usually grown as an extensive monoculture system to satisfy human and animal consumption demand. At the same time, monoculture agricultural systems induce population increase of pests (Altieri et al. 2018). The fall armyworm (FWA), Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Smith, 1797), is a polyphagous, voracious, and economically important pest in North and South America (Cokola et al. 2021; Lee et al. 2020; Martínez et al. 2018), affecting a wide variety of plant species such as maize, sorghum, cotton and soybeans (Montezano et al. 2018), among many other crops. Management of FAW usually relies on the use of insecticides. However, resistance against chemical treatments has risen (Gutierrez et al. 2019; Yu 1991; Yu et al. 2003). Therefore, sustainable integrated pest management strategies must be explored, such as the use of biological control agents. Entomopathogens, parasitoids, and predators offer promising specific alternatives as they do not represent a risk to human health, the environment, or to other beneficial insects (Lacey et al. 2015; Melo et al. 2016; Tavares et al. 2010; Vega 2018; Wasim et al. 2009). Baculoviruses belong to the family Baculoviridae (Harrison et al. 2018). These viruses are highly selective pathogens to insects from orders Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera and Diptera (Fuxa 2004; Kong et al. 2018). They are a diverse group of viruses with supercoiled, circular double-stranded DNA genomes, ranging in sizes from 80 to 180 kb and encoding between 90 and 180 genes. The two commonly found virion phenotypes in baculovirus are occlusion-derived virions (ODV) and budded virions (BV) (Blissard and Rohrmann 1990; Blissard and Theilmann 2018; Herniou et al. 2011; Jehle et al. 2006). Occlusion bodies (OBs)

enclose the viral particles and allow viruses to survive in the environment. They are

Maize (Zea mays L. subsp. mays) (Poaceae) is one of the most important staple crops

composed of a crystalline matrix of protein, either polyhedrin in nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPV) and granulin in granuloviruses (GV) that occlude virions (Bilimoria 1991; Kelly et al. 2016). The Baculoviridae family is divided into 4 genera: *Alphabaculovirus* (Lepidoptera specific NPVs; group I and II), *Betabaculovirus* (Lepidoptera specific GVs), *Gammabaculovirus* (Hymenoptera specific NPVs), and *Deltabaculovirus* (Diptera specific NPVs) (Carstens and Ball 2009; Jehle et al. 2006).

Autographa californica multiple NPV (AcMNPV) polyhedrin (polh) gene was the first baculovirus gene sequenced (Hooft van Iddekinge et al. 1983; Rohrmann et al. 1981) and used for phylogenetic studies (Cowan et al. 1994; Zanotto et al. 1993). However, as genome sequences became available, some studies showed that different genes present different phylogenies (Harrison and Bonning 2004; Herniou et al. 2003), implying that to understand the evolution of baculoviruses, some genes were more valuable than others. This led to the definition of "core genes", and the selection of a set of conserved genes that together could offer robust phylogenetic results (Herniou et al. 2001; Herniou et al. 2003; Lange et al. 2004).

Another important aspect to consider in basic studies of baculoviruses as well as biological control agents is their potential large-scale, *in vitro* production, which has been possible since the establishment of the first cell line from pupal tissues of *Antheraea eucalypti* (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) (Grace 1962), followed by more insect cell lines (Echalier 1971; Goblirsch et al. 2013; Grasela et al. 2012; Lynn and Hung 1986; Smagghe et al. 2009). These include the established IPLB-Sf21 (Sf21) pupal cell line of *S. frugiperda* (Vaughn et al. 1977) and the Sf9, a monoclonal cell line derived from Sf21 (Pasumarthy and Murhammer 1994).

Several baculoviruses with activity towards *S. frugiperda* have been isolated and studied throughout the American continent (Barrera et al. 2011; Barreto 2005; Berretta et al.

1998; Escribano et al. 1999; Fuxa 1987; García et al. 2020; Gómez et al. 2010; Ordóñez et al. 2020; Vieira et al. 2012; Yasem et al. 2009). The Food and Plant Biotechnology laboratory of the Life Sciences Division, Campus Irapuato-Salamanca of the University of Guanajuato, Mexico, has a collection of six isolates of SfNPVs, with some molecular variations and different biological activities towards *S. frugiperda* (Rangel-Núñez et al. 2014; Rios-Velasco et al. 2011; Ríos-Velasco et al. 2012). In this study we characterize those isolates with activity towards FAW larvae at the biological and phylogenetic level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus Strains

Five SfNPVs and one SfGV isolates were previously isolated from *S. frugiperda* or soil at different locations. SfNPV-An₂ was isolated in Coahuila, Mexico; SfNPV-Arg in Argentina; SfNPV-Fx in the United States; SfNPV-Ho in Honduras and SfNPV-Sin was isolated in Sinaloa, Mexico. The granulovirus SfGV-RV was isolated in Coahuila, Mexico. The six isolates were previously characterized using restriction endonuclease patterns. The isolates SfNPV-Arg, SfNPV-Fx and SfNPV-Ho were previously characterized by their virulence, establishing their lethal media concentration (LC₅₀) on *S. frugiperda* (Rangel-Nuñez et al. 2014; Ríos-Velasco et al. 2011; Ríos-Velasco et al 2012).

Virus propagation

Viral particles were amplified using larvae of *S. frugiperda*. Larvae were maintained in a semi-artificial diet containing 100 mL distilled water, 12.5 g bacteriological agar, 120 g corn flour, 50 g yeast, 5 g wheat germ, 25 g ground corn spike, 2.5 g sorbic acid, 5 g ascorbic acid, 3.125 g methylparaben, 8.75 g salt mixture, 62.5 g soybean, 3.125 mL formaldehyde

37%, 0.75 mg streptomycin, and 18.75 g Vanderzant vitamin mixture. They were maintained under insectarium conditions ($60\pm10\%$ relative humidity, $26\pm2^{\circ}$ C and 16:8 h of light: dark photoperiod) in an environmental chamber (PERCIVAL) (Rangel Núñez et al. 2014). Insect infection was carried out by diet surface contamination, using 500 μ l of $1x10^{6}$ OB/mL and $10~2^{nd}$ instar FAW larvae per container. Larvae were placed individually within a grid on the diet and subsequently incubated for 6-7 days under the previously described conditions. The OBs from infected larvae were purified through sucrose density gradients by ultracentrifugation in a SW-32 rotor (Optia XPN-100, Beckman Coulter) at 24,000 rpm for 90 min. The concentrations of viral OBs were quantified with a hemacytometer and stored in aliquots of 500 μ l of distilled water at 4°C until required.

In vivo Virulence of Baculovirus Isolates

The LC₅₀s were estimated on FAW first instar larvae for the SfNPV-Sin, SfNPV-An₂ and SfGV-RV isolates and compared with those previously determined for isolates SfNPV-Arg, SfNPV-Fx and SfNPV-Ho (Rangel-Núñez et al. 2014). Bioassays were performed using 20 larvae per concentration, spreading ten larvae per petri dish containing the semi-artificial diet. Concentrations for NPV and GV isolates were quantified in a hemocytometer. The highest concentrations per bioassay were for SfNPV-Sin, 1.5x10⁴ OB/mm² of diet; for SfNPV-An₂, 3.2x10² OB/mm², and for SfGV-RV, 3.1x10⁴ OB/mm². These concentrations were selected based on preliminary bioassays. For each isolate, six concentrations were calculated, using a dilution factor between 0.5-0.75. Larval mortality was documented after five days of incubation, and the results were analyzed using Probit analysis. A mean LC₅₀ was estimated for each strain with the average of three replicates that satisfied the previously established statistical parameters (Ibarra and Federici 1987).

In vitro Virulence of Baculovirus Isolates

The possibility to observe some differences in the virulence of the baculovirus isolates on insect cell lines was tested. For this purpose, hemolymph of infected larvae with each isolate was inoculated to Sf9 (Thermofisher) cell cultures, supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. Due to negative results in all isolates, a less plausible alternative was tested, based on the inoculation of ODVs extracted from OBs. For each isolate, 2x10⁸ purified OBs were suspended in 300 µL of an alkaline buffer (0.1M Na₂CO₃, 0.1M NaCl, pH 10.8) and incubated at 50 rpm shaking for 10 min. Released virions were suspended in cell culture media Sf900 and sterilized by filtration (Lynn 2003). Filtrate was inoculated on cell line cultures and monitored for ten days using an inverted microscope (Zeiss Primo Vert). Supernatants from positive infections containing BV, were used to keep on the *in vitro* virus culture. Subsequent cell cultures started with 1X10⁶ cells in 25 cm² culture bottles and incubated for 24 h. These synchronized cell cultures were reinfected with a MOI of 10 and monitored for ten days to detect any virulence difference of each isolate, in terms of the number of cells showing OBs and the lysing period, when OBs were released.

Genetic Characterization

Restriction pattern analysis of isolates genomes and sequences of three core genes, were used to characterize each of the six baculovirus isolates, by comparing those sequences, both, among them, and with some previously reported.

DNA Extraction

The OBs from infected larvae were purified as described above. The purified OBs were resuspended in a TE buffer solution (0.01 M Tris-HCl, 0.001 M EDTA, pH 7.6) and an alkali solution (0.1 M Na₂CO₃, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 10.8) for 15 min in agitation. Virions were purified through sucrose density gradients at 28,000 rpm for 40 min. Virions were then suspended in buffer (10 mM Tris, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) and incubated during 15 min at 60°C. Then, 100 μg of proteinase K (Invitrogen) was added and incubated again for 30 min at 60°C. The mixture was washed with one volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min, then the aqueous phase was mixed with one volume of cold isopropanol and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, centrifuged again and the pellet was solubilized in sterile distilled water (Del Rincón-Castro and Ibarra 1997). DNA was quantified in a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and its integrity corroborated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels.

Genomic Restriction Pattern Analysis. Once integrity of the genomic DNA from the NPVs strains was corroborated, they were subjected to endonuclease digestion using *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI restriction enzymes (Invitrogen), followed by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gels. Digestion mixtures included 1 μg of genomic DNA, 1 μL restriction enzyme, 2 μL 10X enzyme buffer, and water to complete 20 μL. Mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 2 h, and restriction patterns were visualized in agarose gel electrophoresis carried out at 25V for 13 h. Patterns were recorded in a gel documentation system (Gel DocTM EZ Imagen, Bio Rad) and compared visually between each other. Differential bands between the isolates were detected by comparing three replicates electrophoresed under different conditions and DNA concentrations.

PCR Amplification of Core Genes

Fragments of *lef-8, lef-9* and *polh/gran* genes were amplified from genomic DNA extracted from the six baculovirus isolates by PCR, using degenerated primers (Table 1) (Jehle et al. 2006; Lange et al. 2004). These primers included universal primer tails (M13 Rev, M13 Fw, and BGH Rev) to facilitate direct sequencing of amplicons. The specific primers for gene *lef-8* should amplify a 702 bp fragment, while *lef-9* should amplify 295 bp, and *polh* gene amplifies a 540 bp fragment. Amplification of *lef-8* and *lef-9* genes fragments were performed by PCR touchdown (initial denaturalization at 95°C for 3 min; 15 cycles decreasing the alignment temperature -1°C each cycle, 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s; plus 20 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s; and final extension at 72°C for 7 min). Gene *polh* was amplified by conventional PCR (initial denaturalization 95°C for 4 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min; with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min). The PCR products were purified with the Pure Link PCR purification Kit (Invitrogen), and the amplicons were sequenced on the Illumina platform at MACROGEN Co. (Korea).

Phylogenetic analysis. Nucleotide sequences of *lef-8*, *lef-9* and *polh* were downloaded from the NCBI GenBank from the following reported genomes: SfNPV-3AP2 (EF035042), SfNPV-19 (EU258200), SfNPV-459 (MK503924), SfNPV-ColA (KF891883), SfNPV-214 ArgM (MW162628), SfNPV-281 (MK503923), SfNPV-B (HM595733), SfGV-VG008 (KM371112), SfGV-Arg (MH170055), and SfGV-VG014 (KJ698693, KJ698695 and KJ698691 for genes *lef-8*, *lef-9* and *polh*, respectively). Nucleotide sequences were compared to the partial sequences of the six FAW baculoviruses used in the present study.

SeqMan 5.0 software was used to assemble the sequences (DNASTAR Inc.). The nucleotide sequence alignment was performed in the Mega X program (Kumar et al. 2018) using the Muscle algorithm and fitting to the size of the fragments obtained from the sequencing, to be later concatenated in the Mesquite software (version 3.5.1). The phylogenetic analysis was completed in the Mega X software using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987). The nucleotide substitution model applied was p-distance. Gaps were treated as missing data. Bootstrap analyses (using 1000 replications) were used to assess the confidence in the branching order.

RESULTS

Virulence characterization.

In vivo Virulence of Baculovirus Strains. Once bioassays of the evaluated baculovirus strains on FAW 1st instar larvae, Probit analysis estimated a wide variability of LC₅₀s. The SfNPV-Sin strain showed an LC₅₀ of 1,619.24 OB/mm² of diet, while the SfNPV-An₂ isolate showed an LC₅₀ of 21.24 OB/mm², and the SfGV-RV isolate showed an LC₅₀ of 3,500 OB/mm² (Table 2). The virulence of the other three isolates included in the present study was previously estimated (Rangel Núñez et al. 2014), resulted in an LC₅₀ of 1.15 OB/mm² for SfNPV-Arg, 3.42 OB/mm² for SfNPV-Fx, and 4.36 OB/mm² for SfNPV-Ho (Table 2). The isolate SfNPV-Sin turned out to be 1,408 times less virulent than the most virulent strain (SfNPV-Arg). Strains SfNPV-Fx, SfNPV-Ho, and SfNPV-Arg showed no statistical difference, but a highly significant difference was observed between these three strains when compared with the SfNPV-An2 and SfNPV-Sin, which showed very low virulence. Comparisons with the SfGV-RV strain has no meaning, as OBs from NPVs and GVs are not

comparable in size and virion content. All statistical requirements were fulfilled, as previously described (Ibarra and Federici 1987).

In vitro Virulence of Baculovirus Strains. When Sf9 cell cultures were inoculated with released ODVs from OBs of each isolate, only SfNPV-An₂, SfNPV-Arg, SfNPV-Fx, and SfNPV-Ho were able of infect, replicate, and develop OBs within the cell. Isolate SfNPV-Sin showed no infectivity, after several trials, and SfGV-RV was not expected to be infectious, as it occurred, but still it was tested. The infected cells developed characteristic cell changes from the baculovirus infection, such as nuclear hypertrophy, development of virogenic stroma, presence of OBs in the nuclei, and cellular lysis. Additionally, the supernatants from positive isolates were infective to subsequent cultures.

Synchronized cultures, inoculated with the same MOI, showed signs of infection at day three post-infection (PI). On day 10 PI a significant number of infected cells was evident in all isolates (Fig. 1). Almost all the cells infected with isolate SfNPV-An₂ showed OBs in their nuclei, but no lysed cell were observed at this time, while cells infected with SfNPV-Ho showed all the cell with OBs in their nuclei. All cells infected with SfNPV-Arg showed OBs in their nuclei and some lysis, showing some released OBs. In contrast, cells infected with SfNPV-Fx showed only some cells with OBs, because a great majority were already lysed, and many free OBs were apparent (Fig. 1).

Genetic characterization.

Genomic Restriction Pattern analysis. Electrophoretic patterns obtained from the digestion of the NPV strains genomes, using the *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI endonucleases are shown in figure 2. Differential bands are marked with an arrowhead. When the genomic DNA from each

isolate was digested with *Bam*HI (Fig. 2B), simple patters were obtained. Identical patterns were shown by isolates SfNPV-An2, SfNPV-Fx, and SfNPV-Ho, while isolates SfNPV-Arg and SfNPV-Sin showed identical patterns. Still, all patterns were highly similar. However, when the genomic DNAs from isolates were digested with *Eco*RI (Fig. 2A), more complex patterns were obtained. First, all patters showed at least one differential band when compared to each other. The highest similarity was observed between isolates SfNPV-Fx and SfNPV-Sin, while isolate SfNPV-An2 showed the greatest difference when compared to the rest of the isolates.

Sequencing of *lef-8*, *lef-9*, and *polh* genes. The sequences of *lef-8*, *lef-9*, and *polh/gran* genes coming from the six strains were submitted to GenBank under the access numbers MK501795 to MK501800 (*lef-8*), MK507900 to MK507905 (*lef-9*) and MK558035 to MK558040 (*polh/gran*). Seven reference sequences of the *lef-8*, *lef-9* and *polh* genes from SfNPVs and 3 reference sequences of the *lef-8*, *lef-9* and *gran* genes from SfGV were downloaded from the NCBI GenBank for sequence comparison.

The sequencing of the six baculovirus resulted in amplicons of approximately 702, 295, and 540 bp from *lef-8*, *lef-9*, and *polh/gran*, respectively. After the sequence editing, the sequence alignments sizes were 613 pb for gene *lef-8*, 163 pb for *lef-9*, and 486 pb for *polh*.

Using the SfNPV-3AP2 isolate as a standard SfNPV reference strain, sequence alignment showed that the nucleotide homologies of *lef-8* ORFs of SfNPVs were above 98.7%. The homologies of the deduced amino acid sequences of all isolates were 100%. The aligned sequences of *lef-9* did not show changes in nucleotides or amino acids. In *polh*, differences were not present in the nucleotide or amino acid sequences.

For the studied betabaculovirus strain, the SfGV-VG008 isolate was used as a standard SfGV reference. Sequence alignment showed that the nucleotide homologies of *lef-8* ORFs of SfGVs were above 98.25%. The homologies of the deduced amino acid sequences of all isolates were 99.03%. Sequence alignment showed that the nucleotide homologies of *lef-9* ORFs of SfGVs were above 97.32%. The homologies of the deduced amino acid sequences of all isolates were above 98.84%. Sequence alignment showed that the nucleotide homologies of *gran* ORFs of SfGVs were above 98.27%. The homologies of the deduced amino acid sequences of all isolates were above 98.84%.

Phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenetic analysis used a total of 499 positions of concatenated amino acid sequences from Lef-8, Lef-9, and Polh proteins of the five nucleopolyhedroviruses (SfNPV-Arg, SfNPV-Ho, SfNPV-Fx, SfNPV-An₂, and SfNPV-Sin) and the granulovirus SfGV-RV, as well as several SfNPVs and SfGVs downloaded from the NCBI GenBank which were used as references, for a total of 17 compared sequences. The optimal tree (Fig. 3) with the sum of branch length was 0.67489023. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic reconstruction showed a great similarity between all the compared SfNPVs sequences. Specifically, an identical identity between strain SfNPV-Arg and SfNPV-Sin, along with a reference strain in the same clade is observed. Similar, bit in a different clade appears the SfNPV-Fx strain, along two reference sequences. SfNPV-An2 and SfNPV-Ho share the same clade, whose sequences were identical, as well as two reference sequences. Of course, SfGV-RV is in a

totally different clade, whose most similar reference strain was SfGV VG008. Other reference strains showed more differences. The sequences from the gammabaculovirus Neodiprion lecontei NPV was used as an outgroup.

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

315

313

314

DISCUSSION

This research was focused on the comparison of six baculovirus strains isolated from FAW larvae in four different countries. Virulence and some genetic features were the main characteristics to compare. Although virulence was highly variable, genetic features showed only limited differences between them.

Virulence of isolates SfNPV-Sin, SfNPV-An₂, and SfGV-RV was compared with other baculovirus isolates involved in the present study (Rangel-Núñez et al. 2014). Although the isolate SfNPV-An₂ showed the highest virulence among the three isolates estimated here, it was still 18 times less virulent than the SfNPV-Arg isolate. This great difference in virulence contrasts with other reports (Rowley et al. 2010) where SfNPV isolates showed more consistent levels of virulence. Trying to explain such a big difference in virulence, it is important to notice that those isolates showing the lowest virulence (SfNPV-Sin and SfNPV-An2) were originally isolated within the Mexican territory and tested on a Mexican population of FAW. This may indicate that Mexican NPV strains and Mexican FAW populations have co-evolved during a significant long period of time, developing natural resistance by Mexican FAW populations towards their NPVs. It would be interesting to test these isolates on Argentinian and/or Honduran FAW populations to prove this hypothesis. About the virulence of the SfGV-RV isolate, no comparison was done as NPV OBs not only are much bigger than GV OBs but also NPV OBs contain a great number of virions per OB, as compared with the single virion of a GV OB. However, if SfGV-RV is compared with

other SfGV strains, the former is about 100 times less virulent than the latter (Cuartas et al. 2014). The same hypothesis can be postulated to explain this phenomenon, based on some genetic differences.

On the other hand, the *in vitro* virulence of the six strains tested on Sf9 cell cultures showed some differences, too, but not at the same level than those found in the *in vivo* bioassays. First, there is an unsolved question about why hemolymph from infected larvae failed to infect Sf9 cell cultures, while ODVs were able to infect them. It is important to notice that, when infection of Sf9 cells was finally achieved with ODVs, such infections occurred in a very limited number of cells, showing low infectivity, similar to results shown in some pioneering reports (Volkman et al. 1976; Volkman and Summers 1977). Subsequent cultures used supernatants containing BVs from the established cultures. Still, only four out of six isolates were able to replicate *in vitro* as SfNPV-Sin was unable to grow, which also showed very little virulence on larvae. Also, the SfGV-RV strain did not grow in cultured cells, although this result was expected, as very few cases of stale GV *in vitro* cultures have been achieved (Ma et al. 2019).

Differences were observed mostly on the length of the infection process. Three replicates of synchronous cultures, with the same cell concentration and the same inoculum consistently showed that infection with isolate SfNPV-An2 was slower than infections with isolates SfNPV-Arg, and SfNPV-Fx. To some extent, these results may explain those obtained in the *in vivo* bioassays.

In reference to the genetic characterization of the isolates, both, the genomic restriction analysis, and the sequence comparison of the three selected genes, they showed a high degree of similarity between the isolates. Minor differences were observed in terms of additional or missing bands in the restriction patterns of the NPVs tested. The great difference

in the *in vivo* virulence between isolates SfNPV-Sin and SfNPV-Arg contrasted with the almost identical restriction patterns (only one differential band). In fact, these results refuted our suspicion that SfNPV-Sin was not actually an SfNPV. However, it is.

Other reports have reported restriction patterns of SfNPVs isolates from other American countries such as Colombia, Nicaragua, and some Caribbean islands, additional to strains with the same geographical origin as ours (Argentina, Honduras, Mexico and, United States) (Barrera et al. 2011; Berretta et al. 1998; Escribano et al. 1999; Rangel-Núñez et al. 2014; Ríos-Velasco et al. 2012), showing more difference than those found in this report. Interestingly, some of those differences were correlated to the virulence level of those strains, opposite to our results.

On the other hand, sequencing of specific baculovirus genes have been widely used to establish phylogenetic relationships between different species and strains, using highly conserved genes (Kaur et al. 2014; Gani et al. 2017). Sequences of *lef-8*, *lef-9*, and *polh/granulin* genes have been recommended to be used as a minimum information to detect phylogenetic relationships between strains (Jehle et al. 2006). The size of the sequences obtained from the six isolates used in this report agree with other reports (Jehle et al. 2006; Lange et al. 2004); however, minimal variations were detected in the *lef8* and *lef9* genes, and no variation in the *polh* gene, among the SfNPVs studied here and when compared with reported sequences. Interestingly, identical sequences were detected when SfNPV-Arg and SfNPV-Sin were compared. Again, as observed in the genomic restriction analysis, a highly virulent strain shows identical sequences with the low virulent strain SfNPV-Sin, both from totally different origin. The same result was observed between the SfNPV-AN2 and the SfNPV-Ho strains. These results are not surprising as a previous report shows little variability on the *lef8* and *polh* genes, when 40 SfNPV isolates from the USDA-ARS collection were

compared (Rowley et al. 2010). Therefore, sequence variation on those genes cannot be used to identify the geographical origin nor the virulence of each strain. Genomic sequencing may clarify some of these questions. This study agrees with previously reported results by other authors regarding the high specificity exhibited by SfNPVs (Popham et al. 2021), which creates interest for deeper studies on virus-host interaction and their specificity mechanisms. The genotypic and phenotypic variability found between the studied SfNPVs isolates, mostly those related to the evident difference in virulence among isolates, emphasize the need for sequencing their genomes to compare virulence variability at the genomic level. All this information would make it possible to determine in the future which of these American isolates of SfNPV are the most suitable to be developed for biological control programs against the FAW.

Acknowledgments We thank Jonatan C. Rangel-Núñez for his technical assistance with all experiments and CONACYT for the ISZ's fellowship (No. 495732).

Author contributions Bioassays, analysis of genes, cell line infections [Ingrid Zanella Saenz]; manuscript writing [Ilse A. Huerta-Arredondo]; data analysis of the manuscript [Elisabeth A. Herniou]; data analysis, correction, and revision of manuscript [Jorge E. Ibarra]; design of the project, correction, and revision of manuscript [Ma. Cristina Del Rincón-Castro].

Funding This work was supported by L.E. Studium, Smart Loire Valley Fellowships Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement (No. 665790), and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) grant No. 140615.

109	Declarations
110	Conflict of interests The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
111	Declaration of human and animal rights This article does not contain any study with
112	human or animal participants carried out by any of the authors.
113	
114	References
115	Altieri MA, Farrell JG, Hecht SB, Liebman M, Magdoff F, Murphy B, Norgaard RB, Sikon
116	TO (2018) Chapter 3. Integrated Pest Management. In: Altieri MA (ed) Agroecology.
117	The science of sustainable Agriculture, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Florida pp. 448.
118	Barrera G, Simón O, Villamizar L, Williams T, Caballero P (2011) Spodoptera frugiperda
119	multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus as a potential biological insecticide: Genetic and
120	phenotypic comparison of field isolates from Colombia. Biol Control 58(2): 113-120
121	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.04.009
122	Barreto MR (2005) Effect of Baculovirus Spodoptera isolates in Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E
123	Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae and their characterization by RAPD. Neotrop
124	entomol 34(1): 67-75. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2005000100010
125	Berretta MF, Rios ML, Sciocco de Cap A (1998) Characterization of a nuclear polyhedrosis
126	virus of Spodoptera frugiperda from Argentina. J Invertebr Pathol 71(3): 280-282
127	https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1997.4731
128	Bilimoria SL (1991) Chapter 1. The biology of nuclear polyhedrosis viruses. In: Edouard
129	Kurstak (ed) Viruses of Invertebrates, 1st edn. Taylor & Francis Group, Texas, pp. 1-
130	12.
131	Blissard GW, Rohrmann GF (1990) Baculovirus diversity and molecular biology. Annu Rev
132	Entomol 35(1): 127-155. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.35.010190.001015

433 B	Blissard GW, Theilmann DA (2018) Baculovirus entry and egress from insect cells. Annu		
434	Rev Virol 5(1): 113-139. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092917-043356		
435 C	arstens EB, Ball LA (2009) Ratification vote on taxonomic proposals to the International		
436	Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2008). Arch Virol 154(7): 1181-1188.		
437	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-009-0400-2		
438 C	okola MC, Ndjadi SS, Bisimwa EB, Ahoton LE, Francis F (2021) First report of		
439	Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Onion (Allium cepa L.) in South		
440	Kivu, Eastern DR. Congo Rev Bras Entomol 65: e20200083.		
441	https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9665-RBENT-2020-0083		
442 C	owan P, Bulach D, Goodge K, Robertson A, Tribe DE (1994) Nucleotide sequence of the		
443	polyhedrin gene region of Helicoverpa zea single nucleocapsid nuclear polyhedrosis		
444	virus: placement of the virus in lepidopteran nuclear polyhedrosis virus group II. J		
445	Gen Virol 75(11): 3211-3218. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-75-11-3211		
446 C	uartas P, Barrera G, Barreto E, Villamizar L (2014) Characterisation of a colombian		
447	granulovirus (Baculoviridae: Betabaculovirus) isolated from Spodoptera frugiperda		
448	(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. Biocontrol Sci Technol 24(11): 1265-1285.		
449	https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2014.933312		
450 D	el Rincón-Castro MC, Ibarra JER (1997) Genotypic divergence of three single nuclear		
451	polyhedrosis virus (SNPV) strains from the cabbage looper, Trichoplusia ni. Biochem		
452	Syst Ecol 25(4): 287-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-1978(97)00002-1		
453 E	chalier G (1971) Established diploid cell lines of <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> as potential		
454	material for the study of genetics of somatic cells. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 55:		
455	220-227. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65224-0_36		

156	Escribano A, Williams T, Goulson D, Cave RD, Chapman JW, Caballero P (1999) Selection
157	of a nucleopolyhedrovirus for control of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera
158	Noctuidae): structural, genetic, and biological comparison of four isolates from the
159	Americas. J Econ Entomol 92(5): 1079-1085. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/92.5.1079
160	Fuxa JR (1987) Spodoptera frugiperda susceptibility to nuclear polyhedrosis virus isolates
161	with reference to insect migration. Environ Entomol 16: 218-223
162	https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/16.1.218
163	Fuxa JR (2004) Ecology of insect nucleopolyhedroviruses. Agric Ecosyst Environ 103: 27-
164	43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.10.013
165	Gani M, Gupta RK, Zargar SM, Kour G, Monobrullah M, Kandasamy T, Mohanasundaram
166	A (2017) Molecular identification and phylogenetic analyses of multiple
167	nucleopolyhedrovirus isolated from <i>Lymantria obfuscata</i> (Lepidoptera:
168	Lymantriidae) in India. Appl Entom Zool 52: 389-399
169	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-017-0490-3
170	García DB, Tamayo FM, Pineda S, de la Rosa JIF, Lasa R, Chavarrieta JMY, Gervasio ER,
171	Zamora NA, Morales SI, Ramos SO, Valle J, Martínez AMC (2020) Biological
172	characterization of two Spodoptera frugiperda nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates from
173	Mexico and evaluation of one isolate in a small-scale field trial. Biol Control 149:
174	104316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104316
175	Grace TDC (1962) Establishment of four strains of cells from insect tissue grown in vitro
176	Nature 195: 788-789. https://doi.org/10.1038/195788a0
177	Grasela JJ, McIntosh AH, Ringbauer J Jr, Goodman CL, Carpenter JE, Popham HJ (2012)
178	Development of cell lines from the cactophagous insect: Cactoblastis cactorum

479	(Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and their susceptibility to three baculoviruses. In Vitro Cell				
480	Dev Biol Anim 48(5): 293-300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-012-9496-x				
481	Goblirsch MJ, Spivak MS, Kurtti TJ (2013) A cell line resource derived from honey bee				
482	(Apis mellifera) embryonic tissues. PLoS One 8(7): e69831.				
483	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069831				
484	Gómez JAV, Guevara EJA, Barrera GPC, Cotes AMP, Villamizar LFR (2010) Aislamiento,				
485	identificación y caracterización de nucleopoliedrovirus nativos de Spodoptera				
486	frugiperda en Colombia. Rev Fac Nal Agr Medellín 63(2): 5511-5520.				
487	Gutierrez RM, Mota DS, Blanco CA, Whalon ME, Terán HS, Rodriguez JCM, DiFonzo C				
488	(2019) Field-evolved resistance of the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to				
489	synthetic insecticides in Puerto Rico and Mexico. J Econ Entomol 112: 792.802.				
490	0 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy372</u>				
491	Harrison RL, Bonning BC (2004) Application of maximum-likelihood models to selection				
492	pressure analysis of group I nucleopolyhedrovirus genes. J Gen Virol 85(1): 197-210.				
493	https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.19556-0				
494	Harrison RL, Herniou EA, Jehle JA, Theilmann DA, Burand JP, Becnel JJ, Bauchan GR				
495	(2018) ICTV virus taxonomy profile: Baculoviridae. J Gen Virol 99: 1185-1186.				
496	https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001107				
497	Herniou EA, Luque T, Chen X, Vlak JM, Winstanley D, Cory JS, O'Reilly DR (2001) Use				
498	of whole genome sequence data to infer baculovirus phylogeny. J Virol 75(17): 8117-				
499	8126. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.75.17.8117-8126.2001				
500	Herniou EA, Olszewski JA, Cory JS, O'Reilly DR (2003) The genome sequence and				
501	evolution of baculoviruses. Annu Rev Entomol 48: 211-234.				
502	https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.48.091801.112756				

503	Herniou EA, Arif BM, Becnel JJ, Blissard GW, Bonning BC, Harrison R, Jehle JA,				
504	Theilmann DA, Vlak JM (2011) Baculoviridae. In: Adams MJ, King AMQ, Cartsens				
505	EB, Lefkowitz EJ (ed) Virus Taxonomy, IXth Report of the International Committee				
506	on Taxonomy of Viruses. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 163-174.				
507	Hooft van Iddekinge BJ, Smith GE, Summers MD (1983) Nucleotide sequence of the				
508	polyhedrin gene of Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus. Virol J 131(2):				
509	561-565. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(83)90522-6				
510	Ibarra JE, Federici BA (1987) An alternative bioassay employing neonate larvae for				
511	determining the toxicity of suspended particles to mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control				
512	Assoc 3(2): 187-192.				
513	Jehle JA, Blissard GW, Bonning BC, Cory JS, Herniou EA, Rohrmann GF, Theilmann DA,				
514	Thiem SM, Vlak JM (2006) On the classification and nomenclature of baculoviruses:				
515	A proposal for revision. Arch Virol 151(7): 1257-1266.				
516	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-006-0763-6				
517	Kaur B, Gupta VK, Jindal V (2014) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Indian strains of				
518	Helicoverpa armigera nucleopolyhedrovirus (HearNPV). Indian J Biotechnol 13(2):				
519	186-194.				
520	Kelly BJ, King LA, Possee RD (2016) Introduction to baculovirus molecular biology. In:				
521	Murhammer DW (ed) Baculovirus and Insect Cell Expression Protocols, 3rd edn.				
522	Springer, New York, pp. 25-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3043-2 2				
523	Kong M, Zuo H, Zhu F, Hu Z, Chen L, Yang Y, Lv P, Yao Q, Chen K (2018) The interaction				
524	between baculoviruses and their insect hosts. Dev Comp Immunol 83: 114-123.				
525	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2018.01.019				

526	Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary				
527	Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35(6): 1547-1549				
528	https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096				
529	Lacey LA, Grzywacz D, Shapiro-Ilan DI, Frutos R, Brownbridge M, Goettel MS (2015)				
530	Insect pathogens as biological control agents: Back to the future. J Invertebr Pathol				
531	132: 1-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.07.009				
532	Lange M, Wang H, Zhihong H, Jehle JA (2004) Towards a molecular identification and				
533	classification system of lepidopteran-specific baculoviruses. Virol J 325(1): 36-47.				
534	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2004.04.023				
535	Lee G, Seo B, Lee J, Kim H, Song J, Lee W (2020) First report of the fall armyworm,				
536	Spodoptera frugiperda (Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new migratory pest				
537	in Korea. Korean J Appl Entomol 59(1): 73-78.				
538	https://doi.org/10.5656/KSAE.2020.02.0.006				
539	Lynn DE (2003) Comparative susceptibilities of twelve insect cell lines to infection by three				
540	baculoviruses. J Invertebr Pathol 82(2): 129-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-				
541	2011(03)00002-8				
542	Lynn DE, Hung ACF (1986) Development of a continuous cell line from the insect egg				
543	parasitoid, Trichogramma pretiosum (Hymenoptera; Trichogrammatidae). In Vitro				
544	Cell Dev Biol 22(8): 440-442. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02623443				
545	Ma XL, He WY, Wang P, You MS (2019) Cell lines from diamondback moth exhibiting				
546	differential susceptibility to baculovirus infection and expressing midgut genes.				
547	Insect Sci 26: 251-262. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12533				

548	Martínez OAJ, Salas MDA, Díaz JAG (2018) Population growth curve of Spodoptera
549	frugiperda in maize in Irapuato, Guanajuato, Mexico. Agron Mesoam 29(2): 315-
550	323. https://dx.doi.org/10.15517/ma.v29i2.27126
551	Melo AL, Soccol VT, Soccol CR (2016) Bacillus thuringiensis: mechanism of action,
552	resistance, and new applications: a review. Crit Rev Biotechnol 36(2): 317-326.
553	https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2014.960793
554	Montezano DG, Specht A, Gómez DRS, Specht VFR, Silva JCS, Moraes SVP, Peterson JA,
555	Hunt TE (2018) Host plants of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in
556	the Americas. Afr Entomol 26: 286-300. https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0286
557	Moya GR, Cuevas RG, Pinedo JAE, Morales JGA (2018) Comparison of Leafhopper
558	(Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) diversity in maize and its wild ancestor teosinte, and plant
559	diversity in the teosinte habitat. Ann Entomol Soc Am 112: 99-106.
560	https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/say053
561	Ordóñez MG, Rios CV, Ornelas JdJP, Bustillos JCR, Acosta CHM, Berlanga DIR, Salas
562	MAM, Cambero OJC, Gallegos GGM (2020) Molecular and morphological
563	characterization of multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus from Mexico and their insecticidal
564	activity against Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Appl Entom
565	144(1-2): 123-132. https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12715.
566	Pasumarthy MK, Murhammer DW (1994) Clonal variation in the Spodoptera frugiperda
567	IPLB-SF21-AE insect cell population. Biotechnol Prog 10(3): 314-319.
568	https://doi.org/10.1021/bp00027a012
569	Popham HJ, Rowley DL, Harrison RL (2021) Differential insecticidal properties of
570	Spodoptera frugiperda multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates against corn-strain and

571	rice-strain fall armyworm, and genomic analysis of three isolates. J Invertebr Patho			
572	183: 107561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2021.107561			
573	Rangel-Núñez JC, Vázquez MFR, Del Rincón MCC (2014) Caracterización biológica y			
574	molecular de cepas exóticas de baculovirus SfNPV, con actividad bioinsecticida hacia			
575	una población mexicana del gusano cogollero del maíz Spodoptera frugiperda			
576	(Lepidóptera: Noctuidae). Interciencia 39: 320-326.			
577	Ríos-Velasco C, Gallegos GM, Del Rincón-Castro MC, Cerna EC, Sánchez SRP, Siller MC			
578	(2011) Insecticidal activity of native isolates of Spodoptera frugiperda multiple			
579	nucelopolyhedrovirus from soil samples in México. Fla Entomol 94(3): 716-718.			
580	https://doi.org/10.1653/024.094.0346			
581	Ríos-Velasco C, Berlanga DIR, Gallegos GM, Del Rincón MCC (2012) Characterization of			
582	baculovirus isolates obtained from soil by restriction fragment patterns. Afr J			
583	Microbiol Res 6: 4546-4549. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR12.161			
584	Rohrmann GF, Pearson MN, Bailey TJ, Becker RR, Beaudreau GS (1981) N-Termina			
585	polyhedrin sequences and occluded Baculovirus evolution. J Mol Evol 17(6): 329			
586	333. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01734354			
587	Rowley DL, Farrar RR, Blackburn MB, Harrison RL (2010) Genetic and biological variation			
588	among nucleopolyhedrovirus isolates from the fall armyworm, Spodoptera			
589	frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Virus Genes 40: 458-468.			
590	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-010-0462-y			
591	Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing			
592	phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4(4): 406-425.			
593	https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454			

594	Smagghe G, Goodman CL, Stanley D (2009) Insect cell culture and applications to research
595	and pest management. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 45(3): 93-105.
596	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-009-9181-x
597	Tavares WS, Costa MA, Cruz I, Silveira RD, Serrao JE, Zanuncio JC (2010) Selective effects
598	of natural and synthetic insecticides on mortality of Spodoptera frugiperda
599	(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its predator Eriopis connexa (Coleoptera:
600	Coccinellidae). J Environ Sci Health B 45(6): 557-561.
601	https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2010.493493
602	Vaughn JL, Goodwin RH, Tompkins GJ, McCawley P (1977) The establishment of two cell
603	lines from the insect Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). In Vitro 13(4):
604	213-217. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02615077
605	Vega FE (2018) The use of fungal entomopathogens as endophytes in biological control: a
606	review. Mycologia 110(1): 4-30. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2017.1418578
607	Vieira CM, Tuelher ES, Valicente FH, Wolff JLC (2012) Characterization of a Spodoptera
608	frugiperda multiple nucleopolyhedrovirus isolate that does not liquefy the integument
609	of infected larvae. J Invertebr Path 111(2): 189-192.
610	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2012.07.010
611	Volkman LE, Summers MD, Hsieh CH (1976) Occluded and nonoccluded nuclear
612	polyhedrosis virus grown in Trichoplusia ni: comparative neutralization, comparative
613	infectivity, and in vitro growth studies. J Virol 19:820-832.
614	https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.19.3.820-832.1976

616	Volkman LE, Summers MD (19//) Autographa californica nuclear polyhedrosis virus:
617	comparative infectivity of the occluded, alkali-liberated, and nonoccluded forms. J
618	Invert Pathol 30: 102–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(77)90045-3
619	Wasim A, Dwaipayan S, Ashim C (2009) Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their
620	benefits and hazards. Interdiscip Toxicol 2(1): 1-12. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10102-
621	<u>009-0001-7</u>
622	Yasem MGdR, Romero ER, Sos DG, Willink E (2009) Evaluación de aislamientos de
623	baculovirus para el control de Spodoptera frugiperda (Smith, 1797) (LEP.:
624	NOCTUIDAE), plaga clave del maíz en el noroeste argentino. Rev ind agric Tucumán
625	86(2): 7-15.
626	Yu SJ (1991) Insecticide resistance in the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E.
627	Smith). Pestic biochem phys 39: 84-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-
628	<u>3575(91)90216-9</u>
629	Yu SJ, Nguyen SN, Abo-Elghar GE (2003) Biochemical characteristics of insecticide
630	resistance in the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith). Pestic biochem
631	phys 77: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-3575(03)00079-8
632	Zanotto PM, Kessing BD, Maruniak JE (1993) Phylogenetic interrelationships among
633	baculoviruses: evolutionary rates and host associations. J Invertebr Pathol 62(2): 147-
634	164. https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1993.1090
635	
636	
637	
638	
639	

Figure Captions 640 641 Fig. 1 Genomic restriction analysis of the five SfNPV isolates. A) Genomes digested with 642 643 EcoRI; B) Genomes digested with BamHI. An2: SfNPV-An2, Ar: SfNPV-Arg: Fx: SfNPV-644 Fx, Ho: SfNPV-Ho, and Sin: SfNPV-Sin. MWM: Molecular weight marker. Differential 645 bands are pointed by an arrowhead 646 Fig. 2 Synchronized cultures of four SfNPV isolates cultured on Sf9 cells, after 10 days post 647 648 infection. A) SfNPV-An2; B) SfNPV-Ho; C) SfNPV-Arg; D) SfNPV-Fx 649 Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree constructed from concatenated nucleotide sequences of lef-8, lef-9, 650 and polh genes from five SfNPV strain and one SfGV strain, using the neighbor-joining 651 652 analysis method. Bootstrap resampling was done 1000 times, and resulting bootstrap values are shown on the corresponding branches. Eleven sequences were added from the NCBI 653 GenBank for comparison. The NeleNPV virus was used as outgroup. Scale bar indicates the 654 number of substitutions per site 655 656

Table 1 Primers used for the amplification of the genes *lef-8*, *lef-9*, and *polh/gran* of six
 baculovirus isolates with activity towards *S. frugiperda*.

Primer	Sequence ^{a, b}	Universal	bp ^c	Source
		primer		
lef-8	<u>CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC</u> CAYGGHGARAT	M13 Rev		(Lange et al.
forward	GAC		702	2004)
lef-8	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGAYRTASGGRTCYT	M13 Fw		
reverse	CSGC			
lef-9	<u>CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC</u> AARAAYGGITAY	M13 Rev		(Lange et al.
forward	GCBG		295	2004)
lef-9	GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTTGTCDCCRTCRC	M13 Fw		
reverse	ARTC			
Polh/gran	<u>TAGAAGGCACAGTCGAGG</u> NRCNGARGAY	BGH Rev		(Jehle et al.
forward	CCNTT		540	2006)
polh /gran	<u>CAGGAAACAGCTATGACC</u> DGGNGCRAAY	M13 Rev		
reverse	TCYTT			

^a *Underlined nucleotides* indicate standard sequencing M13 forward, M13 reverse, T7 and BGHrev primers (this part of the primer allows for the direct sequencing of polymerase chain reaction products); degenerate baculovirus primers are not underlined.

^c Expected size of the amplification product.

Table 2 Probit analysis parameters obtained from the bioassays of baculovirus strains included in this work, on FAW 1st instar larvae, as compared to those previously reported by Rangel-Núñez et al. (2014)

Viral isolates	n	Slope (±SE)	LC ₅₀ (OB/mm ²) (Fiducial limits)	X ²	Ref.
SfNPV-Sin	360	1.36.(±0.13)	1,619.24 (1,123.51-2,334.01)	2.23	This work
SfNPV-An ₂	360	0.96 (±0.10)	13.7 (21.24-83.32)	1.46	This work
SfGV-RV	360	1.31 (±0.21)	3,500 (2,200.10-5,700.43)	1.30	This work
SfNPV-Arg	360	1.72 (±0.19)	1.15 (0.65-2.14)	4.5	R-N (2014)
SfNPV-Fx	360	1.45 (±0.08)	3.42 (1.85-6.37)	4.8	R-N (2014)
SfNPV-Ho	360	2.06 (±0.14)	4.36 (2.39-7.95)	1.4	R-N (2014)

n: Number of evaluated larvae; X²: chi-square value; R-N (2014): Rangel-Núñez et al. 2014.