Cancer Patients' Experiences of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Incidental Pulmonary Embolism

Naima Elbashir Benelhaj (✉ naoma_73@yahoo.co.uk)

University of Hull

Ann Hutchinson

HYMS: Hull York Medical School

Anthony Maraveyas

HYMS: Hull York Medical School

Miriam J Johnson

HYMS: Hull York Medical School

Research Article

Keywords: Cancer patients experience, qualitative research, incidental pulmonary embolism, cancer-associated thrombosis, low-molecular-weight heparins, thematic

Posted Date: November 30th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-989082/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: The diagnosis of symptomatic cancer-associated thrombosis often causes distress and alarm for patients, especially for those unaware of the risk, or the signs and symptoms to look out for. There are few data about cancer patients' experiences of incidentally diagnosed pulmonary embolism (IPE), where lack of warning (recognised signs, symptoms) may cause delayed diagnosis and aggravate distress.

Objectives: To explore cancer patients' experience of the diagnosis of and living with incidental pulmonary embolism treated with anticoagulation.

Methods: A qualitative study using modified grounded theory approach. Semi-structured interviews were conducted as part of a mixed- methods prospective observational survey study of consenting patients with IPE. Data were subjected to thematic analysis. The qualitative findings are presented.

Findings: Eleven participants were interviewed (mean age 68.3 years, range 38-82 years; various forms of cancer and stages). Three major themes and one cross-cutting theme were generated. Theme (1): IPE is experienced in the context of cancer and concomitant comorbidities. Issues are understood in the shadow of – and often overshadowed by - current serious illness. Theme (2): Being diagnosed with IPE. Misattribution to cancer or other comorbidities caused delay in help-seeking and diagnosis. Theme (3): Coping with anticoagulation. Participants' incorporated anticoagulation treatment and its effects into their daily routine with acceptance and stoicism. Finally, the cross-cutting theme relates to a lack of information and uncertainty, contributing to distress throughout the experience

Conclusion: The diagnosis of IPE was upsetting and unexpected. Expert and timely information was valued by those with IPE. Education called for about the increased risk of cancer-associated thrombosis and the signs and symptoms to be aware of.

Key Message

This article describes cancer patients' experience of living with incidental pulmonary embolism. The study reports the need for information to be carefully delivered in the light of the incidental nature of the diagnosis and of diagnostic overshadowing and uncertainty. There is a need to include the possibility of IPE in patients' education materials.

Introduction

Incidental pulmonary embolism (IPE) is defined as a filling defect of one or more pulmonary arteries seen on imaging ordered for indications other than suspected PE. [1]The incidence of IPE is estimated to be 3.6% in cancer computed tomography (CT) scans [2] and IPE accounts for over one-third of cancer-associated PE. [3] Cancer-associated IPE carries a substantial risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism and mortality, despite anticoagulant treatment [3–5] and the survival rate of cancer patients with IPE is

poorer than those without. [5–8] Recent guidelines recommend the same treatment for both IPE and symptomatic PE. [9] The psychological impact of cancer-associated thrombosis (CAT) has been described previously. [10–11] Patients, and sometimes their clinicians, are unaware of their risk of CAT. [12–15] Therefore they do not recognise the signs or symptoms of CAT which often mimic those of the underlying cancer and are therefore misattributed to the cancer. [11–12] For some, the legacy of this experience is living with anxiety about a further, potentially fatal, thromboembolism. [16] Emerging evidence indicates that this may be even worse for people with IPE compared to those being in a process of being investigated for symptoms of CAT that entails some forewarning. We therefore explored the experience of diagnosis and anticoagulation in people with cancer-associated IPE.

Methods

Study Design

This qualitative study used semi-structured interviews and was part of a prospective observational survey study. We present the qualitative findings.

Methodology

A modified grounded theory informed approach was chosen [17–18] as although there were few data regarding experience of IPE - the phenomenon, [19] there were for non-incidentally diagnosed CAT. We sought to explore and describe cancer patients' experiences of diagnosis of and living with IPE.

Semi-structured interviews [20] used a topic guide developed through the team's experience, and published literature, and underpinned by Uncertainty Theory. [21] The questions were open-ended and flexible to ensure that the same issues were explored with all participants whilst allowing individuals to raise unanticipated issues to be explored in subsequent interviews.

Setting/ Recruitment

Participants were recruited from a nurse-led service pathway for patients with cancer diagnosed with IPE [22] at a single tertiary cancer centre (February to September 2017). This was a convenience sample of consenting participants completing an observational survey study. Potential patients were approached over the phone by NB and informed consent was obtained.

Data collection

Individual face-to-face semi-structured interviews were conducted within one month of the diagnosis of IPE by NB who was not part of the clinical team, had no prior relationship with participants and no access to their medical records. The interviews were conducted within the regular follow up at the hospital or at participants' homes according to the patient's preference and lasted for 15-30 minutes. Where carers were present and willing to participate, dyad interviews were conducted. The interviews were focussed and short in order to minimise the participants' burden. However, those who wished to talk for longer were able to, and breaks were offered as required. The topic guide included questions about participants'

experience of: i) life before the diagnosis of an IPE, ii) being diagnosed with an IPE, iii) anticoagulation in the context of incidental diagnosis, iv) the effect of the IPE on their life and their cancer journey, v) everyday life living with cancer and an IPE.

Reporting

This article is reported in accordance with the Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) checklist.[23]

Analysis

Transcripts were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Thematic analysis [24] was chosen to analyse the study results through the lens of Uncertainty Theory [21], driven by a grounded theory approach.[25]

The analysis involved five phases. First, familiarization with the data by listening to the recordings, reading and re-reading all the interview transcripts, then NB and MJ independently conducted a line by line coding of two transcripts. Following discussion (NB, MJ), a code book was formed and used by NB to code all the transcriptions, who remained alert to possible new codes.

Codes were then discussed (NB, MJ) and an initial thematic map generated. Finally, defined themes were then formed to “tell the story” of the participants’ experience. Carer and patient data were analysed together.

Findings

Thirteen eligible participants were invited; 11 participants consented (4 women; mean 68.3 years; range 38-80 years), two declined without giving any reason. The most common primary tumour sites were gastrointestinal cancer (n= 5), lung cancer (n= 3) and genitourinary cancer (n= 3). Ten had advanced stage cancer. ECOG status: grade 0 = 5; 1 = 4, 2 = 1, 1 = unknown). Two were interviewed with their carers. All participants were white British.

Themes

Three major themes, and a cross-cutting theme, were generated from the data. These are reported below with illustrative quotes (see also Table 1).

Table 1
Themes and subthemes summary

Themes	Subthemes	Exemplar Quotation
IPE in the context of cancer and concomitant comorbidities	Life with cancer	<p><i>"Well it was upsetting to find out it was growing again" (P02)</i></p> <p><i>"There is always ups and down but the chemo knocked me out" (P08)</i></p>
	IPE in context of cancer	<p><i>"If I get a pain I immediately related it to cancer, if I am a bit fluctuant or wind in my stomach I put it down to cancer" (P01)</i></p> <p><i>"I have heard of it, but I did not know it is associated with cancer treatment". (P02)</i></p>
	Symptoms misattribution	<i>"I am asthmatic (but under control) I have felt short of breath like going upstairs" (P02)</i>
Being diagnosed with IPE	Diagnostic process	<p><i>"They phoned me up and said there are a small blood clots in your lungs, would you please come in and we will deal with it." (P03)</i></p> <p><i>I was waiting for them to come and take the needle out of me, and the lady came over and asked me would I go with her to a little room and there was a doctor already, he explained that they this blood clot and he would be leaving a massage for one of the specialist nurses who should ring me back the following day." (P04)</i></p>
	Response to IPE diagnosis	<i>"It was a big shock. Well, I think I was such a chock I did not really take things in" (P02)</i>
	Need for information	<i>"So, I did what you shouldn't do and as soon as I get home I went on Google and I found out all about the symptoms and what it could be" (P07)</i>
Coping with anticoagulation	Acceptance	<i>"It has to be done isn't" (P03)</i>
	Side effects	<i>"I am all bruised all around here (abdomen), it is black and blue, the whole of my stomach, but that dose not affect me" (P05)</i>
Cross-cutting theme: Lack of information and uncertainty		<p><i>"not blaming the staff, I think I've should have more information, but it is not there if you know what I mean, it is not" (P01)</i></p> <p><i>"I mean, it is going to be a long while if the blood clot will ever disappear, which is worry for life really" (P01)</i></p> <p><i>"Each time you think Why me? Is it something I Have done wrong, or is it my life style" (P02)</i></p>

Theme One: IPE in the context of cancer and concomitant comorbidities

Participants described living with cancer as a journey of ups and downs, hopes and disappointments.

"I thought cancer was getting better, till I was told that cancer was growing again. It was quite high, quite aggressive and it came down by hormone treatments." (P02)

Despite this, participants tried to live as normally as they could. Many described ways to overcome the side effects of cancer treatment, and other restrictions where possible, even when extensive.

"You know, I have to be strong both for my husband and for myself, you know it is not easy...it is not when we were first told, we have tears, and hugged and kissed and all thoughts... but, we have to get on with it." (P04)

Some were stable and pleased they were doing well. For those participants, an unsuspected complication was unexpected, but they took it in their stride, pleased that the cancer was responding to treatment.

"I was also over of the moon because he told me that my cancer has shrunk by 50% so I wasn't bothered by the clot, I was so happy that the cancer has shrunk." (P10)

For most participants the diagnosis of IPE was co-incident with the diagnosis of cancer or soon after, at the start of treatment. This added a significant burden on them at an already difficult time and seemed to contribute to a delay in seeking help.

"After I had the first session of the chemotherapy, I got the severe chest pain, I didn't do anything about it for a week, I wasn't, I should have done probably." (P05)

Although the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism was an incidental finding discovered on a CT scan ordered for cancer staging or follow up, participants reported having thrombosis (PE/DVT) related symptoms even though these had not been recognised where carers were present and willing to participate, dyad interviews were conducted. These new or worsening symptoms were ignored by participants relating them to side effects of cancer, its treatment or to other comorbidities leading to delays in seeking any medical help.

"...and I do occasionally feel out of breath and I have used my (inhaler) I am asthmatic (but under control) I have felt short of breath like going upstairs, ...even now I feel little out of breath, but is that the clots?" (P02)

Health care professionals also misattributed their symptoms. That not only delayed diagnosis of PE but put participants at risk of inappropriate medication for incorrect diagnoses.

"I woke up in the morning with top of my calf red, a bit sore and feeling hot... she [doctor] said oh no I don't think it is that [CAT], she said it is more likely cellulitis, so put me on a course of antibiotics but then of course 2 or 3 weeks..." (P06)

"After the first session of chemotherapy, I got really bad chest pain, so I was referred to the cardiology department to check on my heart. So the chemotherapy continued and every session get progressively worse." (P 05)

Eventually, P05 went to the GP who arranged a CT scan.

"So, when my last session of my chemotherapy was finished, the GP ... arranged for me to have a scan."
(P05)

Others had no, or minimal, new/worsening symptoms and thus had no warning of a new problem with their cancer or its complications

"I have no pain. No symptoms at all, nothing whatsoever." (P01)

Theme Two: Being diagnosed with IPE

Some received their diagnosis on the same day whilst still in hospital for their imaging, while others were told over the phone after they went home. Those who had their diagnosis on the same day appreciated being able to talk to a member of staff who referred them to the IPE service.

"Yes, he was very good, ... the radiographer was waiting in the room and he explained everything for me. And the following day it all went as he said would (sic)." (P04)

Those who received their diagnosis over the phone after they went home, described it as an inappropriate way of breaking bad news. They felt rushed with instruction to come the next day to start treatment with insufficient information which made them more worried.

"Which was a bit worrying because, being rushed when you got cancer you would say Oh what else?"
(P01)

"So I was still waiting to find out results of the cancer search, and then to find out I got this. It was in a big shock (sic). It came out of blue. It was quite a shock. It was a big shock." (P02)

Issues relating to information are reported in the cross-cutting theme below.

Some participants, especially those who were truly asymptomatic, seemed less bothered by this new finding taking the lack of symptoms as a sign that it was not serious.

"He said you know this does happen, with the cancer patients they formed the blood clot, it is a normal thing, but if it is normal, nothing to worry about it." (P10)

Theme three: Coping with anticoagulation

All participants started on low molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) and continued for six months. One patient transferred to Direct Oral Anticoagulants (DOACs) due to a drug interaction.

While some expressed preference to tablets instead of injections, participants considered the use of LMWH injection acceptable although unpleasant to do for months or longer.

"Tablets are easy, aren't they? A bit of water and down that is it." (07)

"You know six months, 180 days, 180 times I've got to have this, and again you will adapt to these things."(P10)

"The lady doctor diagnosed me said Oh you might have to inject for life, which was a big shock for me." (P02)

One patient highlighted the difficulties of doing the injection by himself due to concomitant comorbidity.

"...but if my hands won't shake I would have no worries what so ever." (P01)

Some found incorporating this alongside other cancer related issues a logistical challenge.

"I am on hormone Zoladex that is why I am trying to leave a space on my stomach." (P02)

"She got a colostomy bag, and she got bruises, few ones here, and we did one in her leg." (P08)

Bruising was a common side effect of LMWH; acceptable for most. Participants were aware of the risk of bleeding but expressed no concerns other than of needing to be more careful.

"Just need to be careful, if I am going anywhere, and I wrap gloves if I work in the garden." (P03)

Adherence was a burden for some participants; remembering it, taking treatments with them and finding somewhere to do the injection if they were out.

"Just remembering to do it some days, and some days else you say Oh well... that is the only thing. And I suppose if I go away or anywhere I have to take a supply with me." (P05)

Overall, participants found ways to cope with the new situation.

"...being negative, because does not do any good, just making you feel worse, just got to be positive all the time, I was fine by it, I was fine." (P08)

Cross-cutting theme: lack of information and Uncertainty

The overwhelming majority of participants reported lack of knowledge about the association between cancer, cancer treatment and the risk of thrombosis.

Participants were well aware and supported about the side-effects of chemotherapy and knew how to reduce the effects, but despite the study setting being in a hospital with CAT/IPE clinic, none remembered being informed about the risk of CAT, or the symptoms and signs to watch for prior to their diagnosis of IPE.

"No. It would be nice if I've been told. I mean I know there are the normal side effects of having chemotherapy, you know tiredness, whatever of loss of appetite, and bad mouth and that sort of things, but nothing about the blood clot."(P05)

This lack of knowledge contributed to the delays in diagnosis when participants misattributed their symptoms to cancer, its treatment, or other medical conditions.

Unless participants were seen immediately in the specialist IPE clinic, when information was provided it was unclear and insufficient. Participants therefore sought information from other sources, such as the internet, resulting in some receiving alarming information in an unsupported way and sometimes conflicting with professional advice already received.

"So I did what you shouldn't do and as soon as I get home I went on Google and I found out all about the symptoms and what it could be." (P07)

Others were left feeling it was their fault for not being more proactive in seeking information in clinic.

"I think, some of it is my fault. I've should have asked, if I asked it would be there...I'm not blaming the staff, but think I've should have more information, but it is not there if you know what I mean (sic)." (P01)

When the diagnosis of IPE was accompanied by poor information, this increased the level of uncertainty: why they (in particular) had developed this complication, what was the likely clinical course of IPE, what if they developed further blood clot and how would they know if it did, and would the anticoagulation treat the IPE successfully.

"I asked how I will find out if the clot been reduced. And the only way that they can tell me is by a scan which is in three months' time, so one worry is what happen in during three months' time? Is it going up or down? I also worried about you suddenly got a pain." (P01)

"...and each time you think Why me?" (P02)

"When you think emboli! What if it then moves? My mind was not happy." (P03)

The lack of immediate information, answers to questions, and support aggravated the distress in response to the diagnosis of IPE.

"I didn't know how severe having a blood clot, I mean no one said, they did not say oh a blood clot in your lung or in the bottom of both lungs. Maybe that would worried me more if they said that, that is why they don't." (P05)

"Upset, I wanted to speak to a consultant in here, ideally you want to speak to Dr XX, to get some advice." (P07)

Participants appreciated the specialist IPE clinic where they were able to access accurate, detailed information and have the chance to talk to an experienced specialist nurse who explained the situation to them.

"Once I came in and I have my blood taken and once I have been reassured about there been no chance of having a stroke then I wasn't bothered at all." (P06)

Discussion

The cancer and other significant comorbidities shaped the context in which the participants experienced the diagnosis of IPE. For those with signs and symptoms of PE, these had been misattributed by both patient (delay in help-seeking) and clinician (investigation for PE not initiated) and at times wrongly treated by clinicians. The diagnosis of IPE for many was therefore a shock, with distress at the delay in diagnosing a potentially life-threatening complication. The incidental diagnosis meant that patients had no forewarning unlike those who knew PE was a possibility. Those without symptoms seemed to fare better psychologically, assuming "asymptomatic" to be "less dangerous". For some, the relief of the cancer response shown by CT outweighed worry about the IPE. Lack of information was a major concern regarding; i) the risk of thrombosis, the signs and symptoms to watch for, how and when to access help, ii) the diagnosis of IPE, lack of timely information about the impact and treatment of IPE, and iii) how best to manage IPE treatment alongside the effects of and treatment for their cancer and other conditions. The specialist clinic was valued as providing expert information and professional support which reduced the uncertainty generated by this new occurrence.

Diagnostic overshadowing was first described for people with mental illness, where symptoms of physical illness were misattributed to their mental health state, leading in some cases to increased mortality. [26, 27] Diagnostic overshadowing by a cancer diagnosis [11] causing misattribution or missing of symptoms and signs of thrombosis is now a consistent finding in a number of CAT studies [10–16, 28, 29] leading to delays in seeking medical help, diagnosis, treatments, and increased patient burden. In this study some patients felt that the thrombosis - seen as potentially fatal - was worse than the cancer. Interestingly, French researchers found no such anxiety, although they did find poorer anticoagulation adherence. [14] This may have been because the seriousness of thrombosis was not realised, perhaps as a consequence of a more physician-led clinical decision making style. [14] Despite calls for routine education for patients regarding the risk of thrombosis [11] and a recent trial of a patient-education video reducing presentation delays from a mean 8.9 to 2.9 days supporting the use of education materials in this way, this still does not happen in practice. [30] Further, it brings into question oncologists' training, and suggests a need for a lower threshold to consider thrombosis as an explanation for new or worsening signs and symptoms.

In this study, participants not only were unaware of the risk of thrombosis, but also did not know of the possibility of incidentally diagnosed venous thromboembolism from routine cancer care scans. [12, 28] At the time of interview, all our participants had a defined treatment and follow-up plan under the care of a specialist IPE clinic. [22] However, not all received accurate, timely and tailored information quickly. Receiving the news of IPE by phone, with an urgent call to clinic added to their distress. Face to face discussion of these issues in a specialist clinic was positively viewed, but otherwise led to searching for information through unreliable sources which could feed their anxiety. This finding supports recent calls

for the same sensitive approach needed for any breaking bad news scenario [13, 29], and the need for person-centred information to redress uncertainty-related anxiety. [21] In our study, those with symptoms were more distressed and fearful consistent with post-traumatic distress from cancer-associated PE being related to perceived seriousness of the health threat [16, 31] this in the absence of the knowledge that their symptoms may also have adverse prognostic significance. [32]

As with most studies in countries with a culture of joint doctor-patient clinical decision making, self (or nurse)-injection of LMWH was accepted and incorporated into their established cancer care routine, although this could be challenging e.g., with an -ostomy, hormonal injections. Again, we found that although tablets were preferable, an injection was acceptable if this was effective.[15, 33, 34] However, participants had no prior warning and had to adjust to this more quickly than if they were aware that injections might be needed.

The uncertainty inherent in both incidental diagnosis of pulmonary embolism and long-term treatments again brought the importance of information into focus.

Strengths and limitations

When we conducted this study, it was the first to specifically explore experiences of cancer patients living with incidental-PE and still adds new data to previously reported experiences of patients with CAT.

The limitations are those inherent with all qualitative work; the findings are not and are not intended to be generalisable. Participants were recruited from a specialist cancer-related IPE out-patient nurse-led service and may represent care unavailable elsewhere. The main limitation is the small sample size, but no new codes arose from latter interviews, suggesting data saturation was achieved.

Implications

Information must be carefully delivered given the “out of the blue” nature of the diagnosis, diagnostic overshadowing and uncertainty. In our previous systematic literature review [11] we called for raising awareness and patient education about CAT. A recent study showed that an educational material (video) for patients as part of routine care led to earlier reporting of CAT, and chemotherapy nurse education led to changes in their perception and prioritization of potentially related symptoms.[30] Thrombosis education should be implemented routinely as part of the cancer treatment planning, in the same way as patients are educated about neutropenic sepsis and spinal cord compression. Diagnostic overshadowing is pertinent to patients with IPE; clinicians should be wary and have a low threshold for considering CAT.

Those diagnosed with thrombosis need timely and tailored information from trusted sources. Clinicians should acknowledge uncertainty-related anxiety caused by IPE and CAT in general.

Conclusion

Like people with CAT, those with IPE were distressed by the diagnosis, which was often delayed, with symptoms often missed or misattributed, “overshadowed” by their cancer or comorbidities. Distress was aggravated by the lack of warning, even if, in retrospect they had symptoms. Timely, face-to-face and expert tailored information was greatly appreciated and helped ameliorate uncertainty-related distress. Routine education for patients and clinicians should be provided.

Declarations

Funding

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work. This work was conducted as part of a self-funded PhD (N.B).

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Availability of data and material (data transparency)

Not applicable

Code availability

Not applicable.

Ethics approval

Ethical approval was granted by the East Midlands - Nottingham Research Ethics Committee and HRA (REC ref: 16/EM/0474) (IRAS project ID: 216188) approved 21/12/2016. The procedures used in this study adhere to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written consent, which was taken by the researcher prior to the interview commencing.

Consent to participate and Consent for publication

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study, including publishing part of their quotes with no identifiable data.

Author contributions

Authors contributed to the study conception and design are [Naima Benelhaj], [Miriam Johnson] and [Anthony Maraveyas]. Material preparation and data collection were performed by [Naima Benelhaj] under supervision of [Miriam Johnson] and [Anthony Maraveyas]. The analysis was performed by [Naima Benelhaj] under supervision of [Miriam Johnson]. The first draft of the manuscript was written by [Naima Benelhaj], the draft was reviewed and edited by [Ann Hutchinson], [Miriam Johnson], [Anthony Maraveyas]. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants who kindly shared their insights with us. This work would have not been successful without the following individuals for their generous time, space, and support of this project: The nurses staff at the IPE service at the Queen's Centre for Oncology and Haematology, Castle Hill Hospital, UK, Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust; Lyn Harrison (Clinical Research Data Manager), Hilary Clarke (Oncology Data Manager); Kathryn Date (Research Development Assistant) and the Macmillan cancer support staff.

References

1. Khorana AA, O'Connell C, Agnelli G, Liebman HA, Lee AY (2012) Incidental venous thromboembolism in oncology patients. *J Thromb Haemost* 10:2602-4.
2. Klok FA, Huisman MV (2017) Management of incidental pulmonary embolism. *European Respiratory Journal* 49: 1700275; DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00275-2017
3. Kraaijpoel N, Bleker SM, Meyer G, Mahé I, Muñoz A, Bertoletti, L et al (2019) Treatment and Long-Term Clinical Outcomes of Incidental Pulmonary Embolism in Patients with Cancer: An International Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 37(20):1713-20.
4. van der Hulle T, den Exter PL, Planquette B, Meyer G, Soler S, Monreal M, et al (2016) Risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism and major hemorrhage in cancer-associated incidental pulmonary embolism among treated and untreated patients: a pooled analysis of 926 patients. *J Thromb Haemost* 14(1):105-13.
5. den Exter PL, Hooijer J, Dekkers OM, Huisman MV (2011) Risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism and mortality in patients with cancer incidentally diagnosed with pulmonary embolism: a comparison with symptomatic patients. *J Clin Oncol* 29(17):2405-9.
6. Dentali F, Ageno W, Becattini C, Galli L, Gianni M, Riva N, et al (2010) Prevalence and clinical history of incidental, asymptomatic pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Thromb Res* 125:S166-S91.
7. O'Connell C, Razavi P, Ghalichi M, Boyle S, Vasan S, Mark L, et al (2011) Unsuspected pulmonary emboli adversely impact survival in patients with cancer undergoing routine staging multi-row detector computed tomography scanning. *J Thromb Haemost* 9(2):305-11.

8. Qdaisat A, Kamal M, Al-Breiki A, Goswami B, Wu CC, Zhou S, et al (2020) Clinical Characteristics, management, and outcome of incidental pulmonary embolism in cancer patients. *Blood Adv* 4(8):1606-14.
9. Key SN, Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Bohlke K, Lee A, Arcelus JI, et al (2020) Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis and Treatment in Patients With Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 38(5):496-520.
10. Mockler A, O'Brien B, Emed J, Ciccotosto G (2012) The experience of patients with cancer who develop venous thromboembolism: an exploratory study. *Oncology nursing forum* 39(3):E233-40.
11. Benelhaj NB, Hutchinson A, Maraveyas A, Seymour JD, Ilyas MW, Johnson MJ (2018) Cancer patients' experiences of living with venous thromboembolism: A systematic review and qualitative thematic synthesis. *Palliat Med* 32(5):1010-20.
12. Noble S, Prout H, Nelson A (2015) Patients' experiences of living with cancer associated thrombosis: the PELICAN study. *Patient preference and adherence* 9:337-45.
13. Noble S, Nelson A, Scott J, Berger A, Schmidt K, Swarnkar P, et al (2020) Patient Experience of Living With Cancer-Associated Thrombosis in Canada (PELICANADA). *Res Pract Thromb Haemost* 4:154-60.
14. Mahé I, Chidiac J, Pinson M, Pinson M, Swarnkar P, Nelson A, Noble S (2020) Patients experience of living with cancer associated thrombosis in France (Le PELICAN). *Thrombosis Research* 194:66-71. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.06.024>
15. Hutchinson A, Rees S, Young A, Maraveyas A, Date K, Johnson MJ (2018) Oral anticoagulation is preferable to injected, but only if it is safe and effective: An interview study of patient and carer experience of oral and injected anticoagulant therapy for cancer-associated thrombosis in the select-d trial. *Palliat Med* 33(5):510-7. doi: 10.1177/0269216318815377
16. Noble S, Lewis R, Whithers J, Lewis S, Bennett P (2014) Long-term psychological consequences of symptomatic pulmonary embolism: a qualitative study. *BMJ open* 4(e004561). DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004561
17. Glaser BG (1978) *Theoretical Sensitivity: Advances in the Methodology of Grounded Theory*. Sociology Press, Mill Valley California
18. Glase BG (2001) *The Grounded Theory Perspective: Conceptualisation Contrasted with Description*. Sociology Press, Mill Valley California
19. Morse JM, Field PA (1995) *Qualitative Research Methods for Health Professionals* 2 ed. Sage, Thousand Oaks
20. Rubin HJ, Rubin IS (2005) *Qualitative interviewing (2nd ed.): The art of hearing data (2nd ed.)*. SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://www.doi.org/10.4135/9781452226651>
21. Brashers DE (2007) A theory of communication and uncertainty management. In: Whaley B, Samter W (Eds) *Explaining communication theory*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp 201-218
22. Palmer J, Bozas G, Stephens A, Johnson MJ, Avery G, O'Toole L, Mraveyas A (2013) Developing a complex intervention for the outpatient management of incidentally diagnosed pulmonary embolism

- in cancer patients. BMC Health Serv Res 13 (235) <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-235>
23. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J (2007) Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care* 19(6):349-57
 24. Braun V, Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3:77-101 <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
 25. Heydarian NM (2016) Developing Theory With the Grounded-Theory Approach and Thematic Analysis. *APS observer* 29
 26. Reiss S, Levitan GW, Szyszko J (1982) Emotional disturbance and mental retardation: diagnostic overshadowing. *J Clin Nurs* 86(6):5674
 27. Harris EC, Barraclough B (1998) Excess mortality of mental disorder. *Br J Psychiatry* 173:11-53
 28. Font C, Nelson A, Garcia-Fernandez T, Prout H, Gee P, Noble S (2018) Patients' Experience of Living with Cancer-associated thrombosis in Spain (PELICANOS). *Supportive Care in Cancer* 9:3233-9.
 29. Woulfe T, Mann K, Pollack D, Swarnkar P, Nelson A, Noble S (2020) "Wolverine, I think it's called: Blood thinners but in tablets." Patients experience of living with cancer associated thrombosis in New Zealand (PELICANZ). *Thromb Res* 189:53-8
 30. Baddeley E, Torrens-Burton A, Newman A, Nelson A, Pease N, Nelson R, et al (2021) A mixed-methods study to evaluate a patient-designed tool to reduce harm from cancer-associated thrombosis: The EMPOWER study. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 5 (5):e12545
 31. Bennett P, Patterson K, Noble S (2016). Predicting post-traumatic stress and health anxiety following a venous thrombotic embolism. *Journal of health psychology* 21 (5):863-71
 32. Maraveyas A, Kraaijpoel N, Bozas G, Huang C, Mahé I, Bertoletti L, et al (2021) The prognostic value of respiratory symptoms and performance status in ambulatory cancer patients and unsuspected pulmonary embolism; analysis of an international, prospective, observational cohort study. *J Thromb Haemost.* doi: 10.1111/jth.15489
 33. Seaman S, Nelson A, Noble S (2014) Cancer-associated thrombosis, low-molecular-weight heparin, and the patient experience: A qualitative study. *Patient Preference and Adherence* 8:453-61
 34. Noble S, Finlay IG (2005) Is long-term low-molecular-weight heparin acceptable to palliative care patients in the treatment of cancer related venous thromboembolism? A qualitative study. *Palliat Med* 19 (3):197-201.