

Composition and yield of non-cellulosic and cellulosic sugars in soluble and particulate fractions during consolidated bioprocessing of poplar biomass by *Clostridium thermocellum*

Ajaya K. Biswal

University of Georgia

Neal N. Hengge

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Ian M. Black

University of Georgia

Melani A. Atmodjo

University of Georgia

Sushree S. Mohanty

University of Georgia

David Ryno

University of Georgia

Michael E. Himmel

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Parastoo Azadi

University of Georgia

Yannick J. Bomble

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Debra Mohnen (✉ dmohnen@ccrc.uga.edu)

University of Georgia

Research Article

Keywords: Consolidated bioprocessing, Populus, Clostridium thermocellum, Cellulose, Lignin, Pectin, Hemicellulose (Xylan), Non-cellulosic wall polysaccharides

Posted Date: October 25th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-991856/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Biotechnology for Biofuels and Bioproducts on February 28th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-022-02119-9>.

Abstract

Background

Terrestrial plant biomass is the primary renewable carbon feedstock for enabling transition to a sustainable bioeconomy. Consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) by the cellulolytic thermophile *Clostridium thermocellum* offers a single step microbial platform for production of biofuels and biochemicals via simultaneous solubilization of carbohydrates from lignocellulosic biomass and conversion to products. Here, solubilization of cell wall cellulosic, hemicellulosic, and pectic polysaccharides in the liquor and solid residues generated during CBP of poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum* was analyzed.

Results

The total amount of biomass solubilized in the *C. thermocellum* fermentation platform was 5.8, 10.3, and 13.7% of milled non-pretreated poplar after 24, 48, and 120 h, respectively. When combined, these results demonstrate solubilization of 24% cellulose and 17% non-cellulosic sugars after 120 h, consistent with prior reports. The solubilization of non-cellulosic sugars by *C. thermocellum* compared to uninoculated control fermentations was 13 to 36% of arabinose (Ara), xylose (Xyl), galactose (Gal), mannose (Man), and glucose (Glc); and 15% and 3% of fucose and glucuronic acid, respectively. No rhamnose was solubilized and 71% of the galacturonic acid (GalA) was solubilized. These results indicate that *C. thermocellum* may be selective for the types and/or rate of solubilization of the non-cellulosic wall polymers. Xyl, Man, and Glc were found to accumulate in the fermentation liquor at levels greater than in uninoculated control fermentations, whereas Ara and Gal did not accumulate, suggesting that *C. thermocellum* solubilizes both hemicelluloses and pectins but utilizes them differently. After five days of fermentation, the amount of Rha in the solid residues increased 27%, indicating that the Rha-containing polymers (*i.e.*, rhamnogalacturonan I, RG-I) were not effectively solubilized by *C. thermocellum* CBP. Comparison of the sugars in the liquor versus solid residue showed that *C. thermocellum* solubilized hemicellulosic xylan and mannan but did not fully utilize them, solubilized and appeared to utilize pectic homogalacturonan, and did not solubilize pectic RG-I.

Conclusions

The significant increase in Rha in poplar solid residues following CBP indicates that *C. thermocellum* did not solubilize RG-I. These results support the hypothesis that this pectic glycan may be a barrier for efficient solubilization of poplar by *C. thermocellum*.

Background

We are at an inflection point in which a resource depletion, fossil fuel-based economy must be replaced by a sustainable carbon negative bioeconomy [1, 2]. This transition includes the use of biomass as the

major carbohydrate-rich renewable resource for production of transportation fuels, chemicals, and materials. Dedicated cellulosic feedstocks, such as trees and herbaceous grasses, along with residues from agricultural, forest restoration, and municipal solid waste are available at the scales needed for this transition. The development of large scale and cost effective processing technologies to convert the structurally complex plant biomass to bioproducts, however, remains challenging [3].

The bulk of carbohydrate in dedicated cellulosic feedstock is comprised of cellulose microfibrils, hemicelluloses, and lignin; with lesser amounts of pectin and glycoproteins [4–7]. The primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures of these components and their final associations and architecture in the cell wall have evolved to vary by cell type and developmental state, thus providing sessile plants and their cells structural and functional properties that enable survival in harsh biotic and abiotic environments. The primary challenge for the use of plant biomass as a resource for the production of biofuels, chemicals and biocommodities is therefore the development of processing strategies that overcome natural recalcitrance to deconstruction and provide high yields of the desired sugars for fermentation [1, 3].

While transportation fuel for light duty vehicles is expected to be replaced by electric energy, liquid fuel will be required into the foreseeable future for aviation and marine transportation. Thus, efforts to produce liquid fuel from biomass remain critical. Multiple biomass processing and fermentation strategies to obtain C5 and C6 sugars from lignocellulosic biomass have been developed, including thermal, chemical, biochemical and microbial processes [3]. However, none have proven sufficiently cost effective to yield a thriving biofuel industry due to hurdles that include the cost of pretreatment to “loosen” biomass structure and allow hydrolytic enzymes to gain access to cell wall polymers, the cost of exogenous enzymes needed in classical simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF), and the production of inhibitors of the fermentation process during biomass pretreatment. An approach that circumvents some of these obstacles is consolidated bioprocessing (CBP), in which a suitable microorganism can both produce enzymes able to solubilize sugars from the untreated biomass and ferment the sugars to biofuels, providing a single step process. An optimized CBP platform would eliminate the need for exogenous enzymes and pretreatment, improve efficiency, and decrease capital investment, steps important for development of a cost competitive process [1, 3].

A leading microbial candidate for CBP is the anaerobic Gram positive thermophile, *Clostridium thermocellum*, which is one of the most effective crystalline cellulose utilizing microbes found in Nature [3]. CBP using *C. thermocellum* offers distinct process advantages, including reduced risk of microbial contamination and increased solubilization of biomass polymers due to high growth temperatures, facile integration into existing industrial processing schemes due to reduced cooling requirements, and the production of highly efficient cellulosomes which provide unique solubilization properties on crystalline cellulose [3]. *C. thermocellum* can hydrolyze all three types of cell wall polymers, cellulose, hemicellulose (e.g., xylan) and pectin; the latter being a major component of the “glue” that holds the cells of plant biomass together [8–10]. Limitations in the use of *C. thermocellum* for the production of biofuels include the inability of native strains to utilize C5 sugars and a relatively low tolerance to ethanol [11].

Although *C. thermocellum* is very efficient at hydrolyzing and utilizing cellulose, and produces enzymes that solubilize the other two classes of non-cellulosic cell wall polysaccharides, hemicellulose and pectin, it has been reported that *C. thermocellum* cannot utilize the noncellulosic polymers for growth [11]. Numerous studies have confirmed that wild type *C. thermocellum* can hydrolyze xylan, the most abundant non-cellulosic polysaccharide in grasses and hardwood feedstocks, but cannot utilize xylose or arabinose for growth. There are fewer published studies on the metabolism of pectin by *C. thermocellum* and those that are available are contradictory. Aburaya and coworkers [12] reported that *C. thermocellum* cannot grow on pectin, whereas Spinnler and coworkers [13] showed that although *C. thermocellum* could not grow on monogalacturonic acid, a major glycosyl residue of pectin, it did grow well on polygalacturonic acid and sugar beet pectin. These contradictory results leave open the question as to whether or not *C. thermocellum* utilizes pectin.

C. thermocellum is highly effective in solubilizing pure crystalline cellulose, with reports of up to 100% solubilization of Avicel [3]. The solubilization of the cellulose and non-cellulosic cell wall polymers in plant biomass by *C. thermocellum* is more difficult due to the heterogeneous nature of these polymers and their homo- and hetero-associations. The degrees of solubilization of biomass by *C. thermocellum* depends on the type of biomass, severity of the pretreatment, and methods used to quantify carbohydrate yields. For example, total carbohydrate fractional yields of 20-31% and 32-45% have been reported for *C. thermocellum* solubilization of milled non-pretreated poplar and switchgrass biomass, respectively [14]. Pretreatment of biomass can increase solubilization rates and amounts dramatically; however, these processes require additional energy and processing steps and therefore increase process cost. The methods generally used to calculate mass fraction solubilization are based on fractional glucose, xylose, and arabinose yields following microbial growth and fermentation. In such calculations, changes in the other non-cellulose sugars are typically not monitored since they represent only a small fraction of the sugars in the dedicated grass and woody feedstock. For example, galacturonic acid, the major pectic sugar, accounts for only ~6% of poplar wood and 1% of switchgrass tillers [15]. However, although a minor component, changes in the amount and structure of pectin have been shown to increase both grass and woody biomass yield and to make the biomass more amenable to enzymatic deconstruction, due to the role of pectin in polymer/polymer and thus cell/cell adhesion in the wall [4]. Thus, knowledge of the efficiency of *C. thermocellum* in solubilizing and utilizing pectin during CBP is important for understanding and potentially improving the CBP process.

The goal of this study was to better understand the solubilization of cellulose and non-cellulosic sugars from milled, non-pretreated Center for Bioenergy Innovation (CBI) reference poplar [16] during CBP by *C. thermocellum*. Determination of cellulosic and non-cellulosic sugar mass yields in both the fermentation broth and residues during CBP by *C. thermocellum* was carried out to identify potential cell wall structural and architectural changes and limitations to solubilization of non-pretreated poplar biomass. The results of this study provide information about non-cellulosic sugars and likely corresponding polymers that are not effectively solubilized from milled, non-pretreated poplar by *C. thermocellum*. This study also

provides a foundation for comparisons of the efficacy of *C. thermocellum* solubilization of biomass from mutants and variants with modified cell wall and yield properties; as well as the effects of different biomass pretreatment strategies on the ability of *C. thermocellum* to solubilize biomass at different biomass loadings.

Results

Solubilization of poplar biomass using *C. thermocellum*.

A schematic of the microbial fermentation and analysis procedure used to evaluate the solubilization of poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum* DSM1313 is shown in Additional File 1: Fig. S1. Fermentations (0.5 L) were performed with 5.68 g chipped and milled poplar biomass at 5 g/L total glucan loading corresponding to approximately 11.4 g/L total solids loading. Changes in total mass yield and cellulosic and non-cellulosic sugar composition in the liquid and solid fractions were measured at times zero, 24, 48 and 120 h (5 d). Microbial fermentation in reactors inoculated with *C. thermocellum* resulted in total solids solubilization of approximately 5.8%, 10.3%, and 13.7% after 24, 48, and 120 h, respectively, while control reactors without the microbe (henceforth called fermentation controls) resulted in 4.5%, 4.6% and 6.3% total solids solubilization at the same time points (Fig. 1 and Additional File 2: Fig. S2). The total amount of biomass solubilized specifically by *C. thermocellum* CBP was calculated by subtracting the end-point biomass weight recovered after CBP fermentation from the starting biomass, as described in the material and methods, and subtracting from this the amount of biomass solubilized by the fermentation controls which did not contain the microbe. When the amount of biomass solubilized due to the heat and medium conditions in the reactors (*i.e.*, as in the fermentation controls) was subtracted, the results showed that *C. thermocellum* CBP solubilized 1.3%, 5.7% and 7.4% of the poplar biomass after 24, 48, and 120 h, respectively.

Evaluation of dry mass content and glycosyl residue composition of post fermentation liquor from *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentations

After 24, 48, and 120 h of microbial and control fermentations, the content of each fermentation reactor was centrifuged to separate the liquor (*i.e.*, the supernatant representing the soluble fraction) from the solid residue. The CBP and fermentation control liquor samples were lyophilized for five days and the dry mass was recorded. There was a significant increase (16% to 23%) in the dry mass recovered from the CBP liquor compared to the controls at 24, 48, and 120 h, respectively (Fig. 2). These results showed that *C. thermocellum* solubilized biomass over the course of the fermentations. Since the amount of dry mass recovered from the liquor of the fermentation controls and the CBP fermentations was greater than the amount of total solids solubilized from the biomass (Fig. 2 and Additional File 2: Fig. S2), these results suggested that the additional mass recovered in the control liquor may have resulted from dried culture medium components and that the additional mass recovered in the *C. thermocellum* fermentations may have resulted from the culture medium components plus microbe culture components.

In order to determine which components of the poplar biomass were solubilized by *C. thermocellum* and recovered in the liquor during the CBP fermentations, the liquor samples from the control and *C. thermocellum* fermentations were analyzed for glycosyl residue composition by trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatization and GC-MS. This method specifically quantifies the non-cellulosic sugar composition [15]. Noteworthy, a large amount of non-cellulosic sugars were present in the fermentation controls, indicating that some of the non-cellulosic polysaccharides in the poplar biomass were solubilized by the temperature conditions and medium used during the fermentation. Significantly more sugars; however, were solubilized from the poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum* fermentations compared to the controls including 41% to 267% more xylose (Xyl), 35% to 80% more mannose (Man), and 19% to 35% more glucose (Glc) over the 120 h fermentation (Fig. 3 and Additional File 3: Fig. S3). The accumulation of these sugars in the liquor suggested that *C. thermocellum* was able to solubilize hemicelluloses, such as glucuronoxylan and glucomannan in poplar wood but did not completely take up or metabolize these sugars. Only trace amounts of acidic sugars (e.g., galacturonic acid, GalA) were detected in the liquid fractions.

Interestingly, arabinose (Ara), rhamnose (Rha), and galactose (Gal) were also detected in the liquor of both the control and *C. thermocellum* fermentations. However, their levels were significantly less in the liquor from the CBP fermentations compared to the liquor from the fermentation controls, being 36 to 57% less for Ara, 24 to 49% less for Rha, and 32 to 46% less for Gal at 48 h and 120 h). The reduction in the levels of these sugars in the liquor of the *C. thermocellum* fermentations compared to the control fermentations, suggested that *C. thermocellum* may have assimilated these sugars. However, since the levels of these sugars were greater in the control liquor than in the CPB liquor, it was not possible from these data alone to conclude whether or not *C. thermocellum* had solubilized these sugars from the biomass, or rather they had all resulted from the process conditions. To determine how much sugar *C. thermocellum* was able to solubilize from the biomass, the solid residues obtained during the fermentations were also evaluated.

Production of alcohol insoluble residues from poplar residual biomass and characterization of lignin, cellulose, and non-cellulosic sugars in the residual biomass

In order to determine the amount of lignin, cellulose and non-cellulosic polysaccharides remaining in fermentation residues during *C. thermocellum* CBP, alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) was produced from the post-fermentation biomass (solid residues) remaining after CBP and in the fermentation controls. The resulting AIR, which represents cell walls, was de-starched for 48 h with α -amylase and the resulting de-starched AIR was analyzed for cellulose, lignin and non-cellulosic sugars. The yield of total AIR per gram of solid residue from the fermentation controls and the *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentations after the first 24 h were comparable (Additional File 4: Fig. S4). However, the yield of AIR per gram of solid residue from the CBP solid residuals was 3% and 5% less than that from the controls after 48 and 120 h incubation, respectively. This slightly lower yield of AIR per gram solid residue in the *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentations may have been due to components contributed to the solid residues

by *C. thermocellum* over the course of the fermentation that were not recovered by the ethanol and chloroform/methanol extraction process used for AIR preparation.

Lignin content

To evaluate the amount and composition of lignin in the post-fermentation solid residues of poplar wood, the amount of total lignin, guaiacyl (G), *p*-hydroxyphenyl (H) and syringyl (S) lignin subunits and the lignin S/G ratio were measured by pyrolysis molecular beam mass spectrometry (py-MBMS) [4]. The total lignin content of the CBI reference poplar was significantly less (14%) than the total lignin content of the BESC standard poplar (Fig. 4A and Additional File 5: Fig. S5A). The CBI reference poplar line (GW-9947) was previously identified as a low recalcitrance poplar natural variant with reduced lignin and a high syringyl-to-guaiacyl (S/G) ratio [16], a difference confirmed by the py-MBMS lignin analysis results reported here.

Analysis of the lignin content of the CBI reference poplar biomass versus the lignin content in the solid residues of the 24 h, 48 h, and 120 h CBP fermentation controls revealed an unexpected reduction in the amount of total, S, and G lignin, suggesting that some lignin-containing wall material is solubilized during the process used for fermentation. The total, S, and G lignin content of the CBP solid residual samples following fermentation of the CBI reference poplar by *C. thermocellum* increased on average 25%, 24%, and 13% (per mg starting biomass dry weight), respectively, compared to the fermentation controls (Fig. 4A-D and Additional File 5: Fig. S5), whereas no major changes were observed in the H subunit content. The increase in the percentage of lignin in the residual biomass during CBP fermentation by *C. thermocellum* was at least partially associated with the solubilization of hemicellulose and cellulose (see below), leading to a greater weight percentage of total lignin in the residues.

The lignin S/G ratios were significantly increased (6 to 14%) in CBP solid residue compared to those of controls (Fig. 4E). A higher S/G ratio in the residual solids following *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentation of poplar wood has been previously described [14, 16-18]. One hypothesis for this result is that some of the hemicellulose or cellulose solubilized by *C. thermocellum* is associated with lignin that has a higher G/S ratio, which was preferentially solubilized along with the glycans. There were no major changes in the C5:C6 ratio of poplar solid residues in the control or *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentations (Fig. 4F).

Cellulose content

Two methods were used to determine the cellulose content in the post-fermentation solid residues. The first method involved measuring the amount of glucose present in non-crystalline polysaccharides (i.e., non-cellulosic cell wall glycans) by GC-MS of TMS-derivatized methyl glycosides and subtracting this value from the total cellulosic plus non-cellulosic glucose as obtained by Saeman (1945) hydrolysis of crystalline cellulose [19, 20] followed by glycosyl residue composition analysis (Additional File 6: Fig. S6A-C). This measurement of cellulose (Fig. 5A and Additional File 6: Fig. S6C) was in good agreement with published values of cellulose content for poplar wood [21, 22]. The second method used to measure cellulose content was the recently developed fully methylated alditol (MA) procedure which enables

analysis of insoluble polysaccharides through their permethylation in DMSO to produce methyl alditol derivatives that can be analyzed by GC-MS [23] (Fig. 5B and Additional File 6: Fig. S6D-F). The MA method thus also provides a measure of total Glc which, upon subtracting the amount of non-cellulosic Glc (see subsection below), provides the cellulose content. CBP fermentation of CBI reference poplar biomass for 5 d by *C. thermocellum* resulted in a significant 25% total reduction in the cellulose content (mg Glc/starting biomass) based on the Saeman hydrolysis method, which corresponded to a 21% reduction after subtracting the fermentation controls (Fig. 5A and Additional File 6: Fig. S6A-C). The MA method indicated a 29% total reduction in cellulose (mg Glc/starting biomass) and 25% reduction after subtracting the fermentation controls (Fig. 5B, Additional File 6: Fig. S6 D-F, Table 1). These values are comparable to those of Dumitrache and coworkers [21] who showed a 28% reduction in total glucose content of *P. deltooides* biomass after incubation of *C. thermocellum* ATCC27405 for 92 h at 60°C and confirmed that the microbe can solubilize a portion of the cellulose in non-pretreated poplar woody biomass.

Glycosyl residue composition of non-cellulosic sugars in solid residues

To study the solubilization of non-cellulosic cell wall glycans by *C. thermocellum* CBP, the glycosyl residue composition of CBI reference poplar solid residues after 24, 48, and 120 h of microbial hydrolysis was measured and compared to those of the solid residues in the fermentation controls (Fig. 6). Glycosyl residue composition analysis of the solid residues before and after *C. thermocellum* CBP revealed that the mg per mass starting biomass of six sugars was significantly decreased in the presence of *C. thermocellum* (Fig.6) with 7% to 14% decreased Xyl, 13% to 36% decreased Man, 13% to 23% decreased Glc, and 56% to 71% decreased GalA from 24 h to 120 h. There was also 9% to 13% decreased Gal at 48 h to 120 h and 15% decreased Ara at 120 h indicating hydrolysis by *C. thermocellum*. Similar relative changes in post-fermentation glucose content (18% decreased Glc/gram solid) has been reported for other high S/G woody phenotypes [17]. The particularly large reduction in the amount of GalA in the solid residue but little GalA in the liquor suggests the possible utilization of pectic sugars by *C. thermocellum*. Conversely, there was a significant trend for increased Rha (14% to 27%) in the CBP solid residues compared to the fermentation controls, suggesting that Rha containing polymers in the wall (e.g., RG-I) were not being solubilized and thus were found to increase in the final residue recovered. Although there was a small reduction in the total non-cellulosic sugars in the solid residue from the fermentation control, the 10% to 18% greater reduction in total non-cellulosic sugars in the solid residues following CBP fermentation by *C. thermocellum* indicates that the microbe solubilizes at least some of the non-cellulosic wall polymers including xylans and homogalacturonan (Fig. 6 and Additional File 7: Fig. S7).

A comparison of the amount of lignin, cellulose, and non-cellulosic sugars in the solid residues recovered over the 120 h fermentation (Fig. 7) shows that 24% percent of the cellulose was solubilized over 5 d of CBP fermentation by *C. thermocellum* (Fig. 7A, C). Simultaneously, 17% of the non-cellulosic sugars were solubilized suggesting that *C. thermocellum* hydrolyzes these sugars during fermentation (Fig. 7B). The negative value of mass and percentage of lignin solubilization is due to the increase in the lignin content in the residual biomass as the cellulose and non-cellulosic sugars are solubilized (Fig. 7C and Table 1).

An analysis of the percentage of the specific sugars solubilized (Fig. 7D and Table 1) reveals that Rha was the only sugar that was not solubilized by *C. thermocellum* during the fermentation (-21% compared to starting biomass, Fig. 7D; -27% compared to fermentation control, Table 1). This result suggests that Rha-containing cell wall polymers (i.e. RG-I) may be a key determinant limiting solubilization of poplar biomass during CBP fermentation by *C. thermocellum*.

Discussion

C. thermocellum secretes an extensive array of glycoside hydrolases with more than 70 types of cellulosomal proteins capable of hydrolyzing different types of lignocellulosic biomass [24-27]. However, without pretreatment of the lignocellulosic biomass, this cellulolytic microbe cannot completely depolymerize the native complex polysaccharides in the plant cell wall of either woody and mature grassy feedstocks. The objective of this study was to characterize the solubilization of both cellulose and non-cellulosic sugars from non-pretreated milled CBI reference poplar biomass [16] by *C. thermocellum* by evaluating the starting biomass, the post-fermentation liquors, and solid residues recovered during the CBP process. Our goal was to quantify the amount of cellulosic and non-cellulosic sugars solubilized during the CBP process to provide information about which polymers in woody biomass *C. thermocellum* solubilizes and to identify potential limiting factors that could inform the development of an economically viable consolidated bioprocess.

C. thermocellum can efficiently solubilize crystalline cellulose, with reports of 95% and 93% solubilization of Avicel at low (5 g L^{-1}) [28] and high (100 g L^{-1}) [29] solids loadings, respectively. However, it has been reported to achieve a much lower 17% solubilization of woody *Populus* biomass at low (10 g L^{-1} dry weight, DW) solids loading and the solubilization was affected by the composition of the biomass with 12% solubilization of low S/G lignin poplar (20 g L^{-1} DW) and 20% solubilization of high S/G lignin poplar (20 g L^{-1} DW) [17]. The amount of solubilization of biomass by *C. thermocellum* is affected by biomass loading, with total cellulose solubilization decreasing from 76% to 58% and 63% to 37% as switchgrass loadings were increased from 9.2 to 92 g L^{-1} and 10 to 50 g L^{-1} (dry weight), respectively, although Avicel was completely consumed at all loadings [30, 31]. In the work reported here, we achieved 14% solubilization of poplar wood at 11.4 g L^{-1} solids loading after 5-d of fermentation (Fig. 1 and Additional File 1: Fig. S1). The prior results and those reported here confirm that *C. thermocellum* can solubilize non-pretreated woody lignocellulosic biomass, but that additional pretreatment or alternative biomass modifications are necessary to achieve efficient conversion of biomass at high solids loadings [30, 32].

The plant biomass-solubilizing enzymes produced by *C. thermocellum* are expressed and mostly arranged on multi-enzyme structures known as cellulosomes and it appears that the microbe can regulate the enzymes on the cellulosomes based on the substrate and growth conditions [24-27, 33]. *C. thermocellum* hydrolysis of cellulose in poplar biomass was reported to result in solubilization of 17% to 18% of the glucan in recovered post-fermentation solid residues compared to the controls [17]. Another

study, using confocal microscopy, revealed a 49% reduction of cellulose signals in post-fermentation biomass and a concomitant 28% reduction in total glucose after CBP with *C. thermocellum* on *P. deltoides* [21]. In the current study, the cellulose content in post-fermented CBP solid residues was shown to decrease 21% and 25% based on Saeman hydrolysis (SH) and methylated alditol (MA) analysis, respectively (Fig. 5). These results compare well with the prior studies [17, 21]. The limited hydrolysis by *C. thermocellum* of cellulose in poplar biomass results in 75% to 79% of the cellulose being retained in the poplar solid residues after 5 d of fermentation.

It is interesting to consider the effect of lignin quantity and composition on the ability of *C. thermocellum* CBP to solubilize cellulose in poplar biomass. The CBI reference poplar has a mutation in the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-2-phosphate (EPSP) synthase gene and is among multiple low recalcitrance poplar natural variants affected in lignin biosynthesis with high S/G ratios that have been positively correlated with glucan solubilization [16]. Here, we confirmed that the total lignin content of the CBI reference poplar (23.3%) is significantly lower than that of the BESC standard poplar (27.05%) on a biomass dry weight basis [16]. Analysis of the fate of the lignin in the post-fermentation solid residues of the CBI reference poplar showed that after CBP there was greater total lignin (25%), syringyl (S) lignin (22 to 26%), and guaiacyl (G) lignin (11 to 15%) content and a higher S/G ratio (6 to 14%) in the solid residues, but no major change in the *p*-hydroxyphenyl (H) subunits compared to the fermentation control solid residues (Fig. 4a-e). These results are consistent with prior reports indicating that S/G ratios and lignin composition impact hydrolysis of poplar wood by *C. thermocellum* and that lignin content increases in the insoluble residue generated during CBP fermentation [16, 17, 21]. The substantial increase in the S- and G-lignin in the CBP fermentation solid residues agrees with the earlier finding that lignin accessible surface increased (S - lignin increased 30%, G - lignin increased 11%), whereas the cellulose surface decreased (49%) during microbial hydrolysis in poplar [21].

The hemicellulose content in poplar species is reported to range from 16% to 23% [22, 34]. The main non-cellulosic polysaccharide in hardwoods is the hemicellulose glucuronoxylan. Xylan constitutes from 13.4% to 22.4% of wood dry weight in poplar [22, 34]. The second most abundant non-cellulosic polysaccharide in hardwoods is either glucomannan or pectin [34]. In addition to cellulases, cellulosomes from *C. thermocellum* contain xylanases, pectinases, and other accessory glycoside hydrolase enzymes [24, 25]. For example, *C. thermocellum* expresses multiple hemicellulose degrading enzymes, including xylanases (XynA, XynC, XynD, XynE, XynY, XynZ) [35-40], xyloglucanase (XghA) [37], mannanase (Man26A, B) [41, 42], and other hemicellulases, such as (β -1,3-1,4-glucanase, LicB [43] and a chitinase (endochitinase, Chi18A [44]) the latter which, although not a hemicellulase hydrolyzes a structure with a comparable β -1,4-linked backbone. Interestingly, all the known cellulosomal xylanases contain either the carbohydrate-binding domain 22 (CBM22) or CBM6 which bind to xylan and cellulose [38, 45], suggesting that these enzymes are specifically targeting xylan associated with cellulose. However, although *C. thermocellum* is able to break down xylan, it is unable to ferment hemicellulose solubilized from the lignocellulosic biomass [24, 46]. Accordingly, we show that the amounts of non-cellulosic sugars attributable to hemicelluloses present in the liquor during *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentation are significantly greater than the amounts in the liquor of the fermentation controls. Specifically, the liquor

during *C. thermocellum* CBP fermentation contained 41% to 267% more xylose (69% to 368% mg/total solubilized liquor); 35% to 80% more mannose (61% to 130% mg/total solubilized liquor); and 19% to 35% more non-cellulosic glucose (42% to 72% mg/total solubilized liquor) (Fig. 3 and Additional File 3: Fig. S3) per mg/starting biomass than the liquor of the fermentation controls over the 120 h incubation period. Concomitantly, there was a significant 10-18% reduction in the total non-cellulosic sugar content in the post-fermentation CBP solid residues from 24 h to 120 h (Fig. 6 and Additional File 7: Fig. S7) including a 7 to 14% mg/starting material reduction in xylose, a 13 to 36% mg/starting material reduction in mannose and a 13 to 23% mg/starting material reduction in non-cellulosic glucose. The retention of significant amounts of the solubilized Xyl, Man, and non-cellulosic Glc in the fermentation liquor supports the hypothesis that *C. thermocellum* cannot grow on pentose sugars and also is not able to ferment hemicellulosic sugars like xylose and mannose from poplar wood [24, 47]. The hemicellulose-solubilizing enzymes are suggested to function by removing hemicelluloses from the biomass in order to expose the preferred cellulose substrate to *C. thermocellum* for effective cellulosomal solubilization [24, 48].

Enzymatic and chemical removal of xylan is known to enhance the accessibility of glucanases to cellulose in lignocellulosic biomass [49]. However, in the current study, the total solids solubilization of biomass by CBP was only 14% greater than in the fermentation controls. It has previously been reported that xylose oligomers have inhibitory effects on the enzymatic hydrolysis, conversion rates, and hydrolysis yield of *C. thermocellum* grown on plant biomass; as well as the hydrolysis of Avicel [30, 50-52]. When considered together with the reduced xylose content in the solid residues (Fig. 6 and Additional File 7: Fig. S7), the increased amounts of xylose in the *C. thermocellum* CBP liquor (Fig. 3 and Additional File 3: Fig. S3) are consistent with the hypothesis that xylose oligomers are accumulating and may be inhibiting the solubilization of poplar wood by *C. thermocellum*. These results indicate that efforts to enable *C. thermocellum* to metabolize and utilize hemicellulose may enable better solubilization of poplar biomass.

C. thermocellum expresses multiple pectin solubilizing enzymes including three endopectinylases (PL1A, PL1B and PL9) [53] and a reported rhamnogalacturonan I (RG-I) lyase (PL11 family: RGLf [54]). RGLf has activity against homogalacturonan and RG-I preparations containing homogalacturonan. All these enzymes exist as modular enzymes that contain a carbohydrate binding module and function in cellulosomes. Interestingly, whereas PL1A contains the carbohydrate-binding domain 6 (CBM6) that binds to cellulose or hemicellulose, RGLf; as well as the multifunctional PL1B and PL9, contains CBM35 that has recently been shown to bind RG-I [55], indicating that solubilization of pectin is genetically programmed into *C. thermocellum* for biomass solubilization. The cell wall sugar composition analyses reported here show the largest reduction of GalA (56–71%) content compared to the other non-cellulosic sugars in the CBP biomass residues compared to the fermentation controls (Fig. 6, Additional File 7: Fig. S7, Table 1). These results suggest that *C. thermocellum* efficiently utilizes polygalacturonic acid (homogalacturonan) in poplar wood as a carbon source, as previously reported for sugar beet [13]. Surprisingly; however, there was a significant increase in the amounts of rhamnose (Rha, 14% to 27%), a major sugar in the RG-I backbone, in the solids residue after the first 24 h of *C. thermocellum* fermentation compared to the control fermentations, suggesting that *C. thermocellum* was

not efficiently solubilizing RG-I. Also, there was no change in the amount of arabinose and galactose, sugars abundant in the side branches of RG-I.

Compared to the fermentation control, *C. thermocellum* hydrolysis of poplar biomass removed only 17% more of the total non-cellulosic sugars after 5 d of fermentation, indicating that the residual poplar wood still retained the bulk of the non-cellulosic wall polysaccharides (Additional File 7: Fig. S7B). Because fermentation of non-pretreated poplar by *C. thermocellum* resulted in 7% total solids solubilization compared to the fermentation control (Fig. 1) and there was a greater retention of glycosyl residues associated with RG-I in the post-fermentation solid residues than in the fermentation control, we hypothesize that RG-I and its side chains may be resistant to solubilization by *C. thermocellum* and potentially contribute to the limited hydrolysis of non-pretreated woody biomass by *C. thermocellum*. Our current hypothesis is supported by the recent finding that poplar wood RG-I is a key determinant of cell-cell adhesion in wood [10]. Yang and coworkers showed that treatment of poplar wood with acidic chlorite and dilute alkali after digestion with the RG-I degrading enzyme RG-lyase resulted in more separation of the poplar wood into single cells than treatment with acidic chlorite and dilute alkali alone, supporting a role for RG-I in cell:cell adhesion. Cell separation in the woody biomass was also increased by reducing the RG-I content in the wood by transgenic expression of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene encoding an RG-lyase (*AtRGIL6*) in poplar [10], confirming a role for RG-I in wood cell wall architecture and cell:cell adhesion.

The cellulosomal cellulolytic activities and non-cellulosic xylanases and pectinolytic activities makes *C. thermocellum* a promising CBP microbe for production of fuels and chemicals from lignocellulosic biomass. The microbe by itself; however, is not able to achieve effective solubilization of all the cellulosic and non-cellulosic polysaccharides from some biomass feedstocks. The low percentage solubilization of the untreated woody biomass is a bottleneck. We previously reported that pectin contributes to biomass recalcitrance and saccharification yield although it is present in relatively low abundance in woody biomass [4, 56-58]. Furthermore, the deletion of a pectinase gene cluster in the thermophile *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* resulted in reduced growth of the mutated microbe on *Arabidopsis*, switchgrass, and poplar biomass, supporting a role for pectin in biomass recalcitrance [59]. The present study suggests that *C. thermocellum* is unable to effectively degrade the RG-I component of pectin, making RG-I a potential target for improving the ability of *C. thermocellum* to effectively solubilize woody biomass.

Materials And Methods

Populus biomass preparation

Populus trichocarpa genotype GW-9947 was selected as the woody reference biomass for CBI (The Center for Bioenergy Innovation). GW-9947 is an elite natural variant from the BESC (BioEnergy Science Center) top line candidates with high ethanol yields, low lignin, and high S/G lignin [16]. Trees were

harvested, debarked, chipped, air dried and milled to a particle size of 20 mesh (0.85 mm). The ground samples were used for the described analyses.

Consolidated Bioprocessing (CBP)

***C. thermocellum* inoculum culture medium, growth medium and growth conditions**

Fermentations were carried out using *C. thermocellum* strain DSM1313. Cultures were grown in 1x MTC (Medium for Thermophilic Clostridia) solution. The MTC stock solutions were diluted with H₂O and the desired carbon source to reach 1x concentration. The medium was prepared as described in Holwerda and coworkers [60] with the only exception being that CBI reference poplar was used as the carbon source (5 g/L glucan loading) to replace Avicel or cellobiose. For seed train cultures, the same medium was used except that 5 g/L cellobiose was the primary carbon source for initial serum bottle seeds and 5 g/L Avicel was the primary carbon source for the seed bioreactors. All growth medium was prepared at pH 7.0 and maintained at this level for all bioreactor experiments.

For bioreactor preparation, a 2x MTC solution was prepared and filter sterilized without the carbon source. This solution was placed in an anaerobic chamber under 85% N₂, 10% CO₂, and 5% H₂ until the bioreactors for carrying out the fermentations were sterilized. This 2x stock was then aseptically added to the autoclaved reactors already containing water and CBI reference poplar under a sterilized biological safety cabinet to bring the total volume to a 1x MTC concentration.

Serum bottles were prepared by making a 1x MTC stock that included cellobiose and allowing the solution to reach anaerobic conditions in the anaerobic chamber described above. The medium was then transferred to the desired serum bottle size inside the chamber, capped, and stored at 4°C until ready for use. Serum bottle seeds were allowed to proliferate to an OD₆₀₀ of approximately 0.6 before transferring at 10% v/v to the 500 mL Avicel seed reactors. Avicel seed reactors were grown for approximately 24 h before transferring at 10% v/v to the CBI poplar containing reactors. Avicel seed cultures were monitored for ideal transferring phase by following total base addition (2M KOH) and visual confirmation of a healthy culture under a microscope.

Fermentations

Six Sartorius vessels of 0.5 L total working volume were set up identically for fermentation. A total of 5.68 grams of CBI poplar (80/20 mesh sieved) was loaded into each reactor to reach a glucan loading of 5 g/L (0.5% w/v). The reactor, biomass, and water were autoclaved for 30 min at 121°C. MTC media components were then added post-autoclaving as described above. Cultures were controlled at pH 7.0 with 2N HCl and 2N KOH as the acid and base, respectively. Temperature was maintained at 60°C, agitation at 150 rpm minimum to keep biomass from accumulating on the bottom of the reactor, and 50 ccm of N₂ was sparged through the reactor headspace to maintain anaerobic conditions. All reactors were inoculated with a 10% (v/v) inoculum of DSM1313 *C. thermocellum* from a common seed culture containing 5 g/L Avicel. At 24, 48, and 120 h, two reactors each were shut down and harvested

(Additional File 1: Fig. S1). Similar reactors were set up to act as controls that were not inoculated with *C. thermocellum* and instead brought up to the final volume with medium.

Separations and quantification of total biomass solubilization

To separate the undigested solids from the liquor, the reactor contents were transferred to centrifuge bottles and spun at 10,000 RPM for 15 min. The supernatant was removed by pipetting, frozen for storage, and this fraction was referred to as 'liquor'. The solids residue was washed with distilled water and centrifuged again under the same conditions. The wash fraction was removed by pipetting and the residual solids were dried for 5 d at 60°C. The dried biomass was weighed, and the total solids solubilization was calculated by dividing the total recovered biomass after fermentation by the initial biomass loaded into the reactors at the start of fermentation.

Cell wall analysis

Alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) from solid residues post fermentation was prepared as previously described [4, 15]. Prior to the analysis, AIR samples were treated with alpha-amylase (0.47 U per mg biomass, Sigma Cat # A6255) in 100 mM ammonium formate (pH 6.0) at 25°C for 48 h to remove starch, followed by three ddH₂O and two acetone washes. The AIR samples were then kept in fume hood for 72 h to dry. Glycosyl residue composition analysis of the AIR (~2 mg) and liquor (100 to 300 µg) was by GC-MS of trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatization of the monosaccharide methyl glycosides produced from the sample by acidic methanolysis as previously described [15, 57, 61]. Briefly, the samples were aliquoted into individual tubes and inositol (20 µg) was added as an internal standard. The samples were hydrolyzed for 18 h at 80°C in 1 M methanolic-HCl and the walls were then derivatized with 200 µL of TriSil and heated to 80°C for 20 min. After filtering through packed glass wool, the dried samples were resuspended in 150 µL hexane and 1 µL of sample was injected into the GC-MS as described earlier [15].

Saeman hydrolysis method of cellulose quantification

One method to determine cellulose content was to first quantify the total glucose content of the sample by Saeman hydrolysis of the cellulose in the sample [19, 20] followed by glycosyl residue composition analysis to give the total glucose (Glc) content and then subtracting from that the non-cellulosic glucose content as determined by TMS GC-MS (described above). The Saeman hydrolysis method hydrolyzes crystalline cellulose. Specifically, around 2 mg of AIR solid residue with 20 mg of inositol internal standard was hydrolyzed in 72% H₂SO₄ for 1 h with vortexing every 15 minutes at room temperature. Samples were diluted to 1 M H₂SO₄ and heated to 121°C for 1 h. Cooled samples were neutralized with 0.25 M Ba(OH)₂. Supernatants were collected after centrifugation at 3600xg for 20 min and dried down by lyophilization. The quantification of glucose in the Saeman hydrolysis sample was by the GC-MS of TMS-derivatized methyl glycosides as described above [15]. This value represented the total cellulosic plus non-cellulosic Glc in the sample. The amount of fibrillary cellulose was obtained by subtracting the

amount of non-cellulosic Glc obtained from a separate TMS GC-MS composition analysis from the total Glc value to give the total cellulose content of the sample.

Methyl alditol (MA) glycosyl residue composition method for cellulose quantification

Glycosyl residue composition analysis was performed by GC-MS of the per-*O*-methyl alditol (MA) derivatives of the monosaccharides produced from the sample as described previously by Black and coworkers [23]. Permethylation of the AIR samples (~100 µg) was by two rounds of treatment with sodium hydroxide (15 min) and methyl iodide (45 min). After extraction in DCM, the samples were hydrolyzed using 2 M TFA (2 h in sealed tube at 121°C), reduced with NaBD₄, and re-permethylated as before. The resulting MAs were analyzed on an Agilent 7890A GC interfaced to a 5975C MSD (mass selective detector, electron impact ionization mode); separation was performed on a 30 m Supelco Equity-1 bonded phase fused silica capillary column. The value of Glc obtained from the MA method represented the total Glc content of the sample. The Glc attributed to cellulose was calculated by subtracting the non-cellulosic Glc content as determined by TMS GC-MS (described above) from the total Glc content to give the cellulose content.

Lignin

The Complex Carbohydrate Research Center (CCRC) high-throughput pyrolysis molecular beam mass spectrometry (MBMS) method [62] was used to quantify the lignin content and S/G lignin monomer ratio of the solid residues post-fermentation that remained after CBP including the fermentation control. The samples were prepared in duplicate by weighing 1.5 to 3.0 mg into a stainless metal cup, which was single-shot pyrolyzed (Frontier Lab) at 500°C to produce volatile compounds. The volatile compounds were analyzed for lignin using a molecular beam mass spectrometer (Extrel Core Mass Spectrometers). The raw data were processed through UnscramblerX 10.1 software to obtain the principal components and raw lignin data. NIST 8492 (26.2% lignin content) and Aspen standards were also pyrolyzed and analyzed in the same manner and in the same batch as the unknown samples. Both standards were used for data quality control. Additionally, NIST 8492 was used to correct the raw lignin data.

Abbreviations

AIR:Alcohol-insoluble residue

Ara: arabinose

CBP. Consolidated bioprocessing

Gal: galactose

GALA: galacturonic acid

GC-MS: gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

Glc: glucose

Man: mannose

MA: Methylated Alditol

MBMS: Molecular beam mass spectrometer

Rha: rhamnose

RG-I: Rhamnogalacturonan I

TMS: Trimethylsilyl

Xyl: xylose

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All the authors agree to the publication of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Funding provided by The Center for Bioenergy Innovation a U.S. Department of Energy Research Center supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science. Some of the carbohydrate analyses were also partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, under award #DE-SC0015662. This work was authored in part by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, the manager and operator of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08G028308. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Authors' contributions

AKB, YJB, and DM planned and designed the research. AKB, NNH, IMB, MAA, SSM, DR, PA and MH performed and evaluated the research. AKB, NNH, YJB, and DM analyzed data. AKB, NH, YJB, MEH, and DM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank CCRC Analytical Services for lignin analysis. We also thank Sheilah Dixon Huckabee for administrative assistance, and Gene Osuoha for assistance with the sample processing.

Availability of data and material

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the article/supplementary material.

References

1. Lynd LR, Wyman CE, Gerngross TU. *Biocommodity Engineering* Biotechnol Prog. 1999;15(5):777–93.
2. Corona B, et al., *Towards sustainable development through the circular economy—A review and critical assessment on current circularity metrics*. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2019. **151**: p. 104498.
3. Akinosho H, et al. The emergence of *Clostridium thermocellum* as a high utility candidate for consolidated bioprocessing applications. *Frontiers in chemistry*. 2014;2:66–6.
4. Biswal AK, et al. Sugar release and growth of biofuel crops are improved by downregulation of pectin biosynthesis. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2018;36(3):249–57.
5. Tan L, et al. An Arabidopsis Cell Wall Proteoglycan Consists of Pectin and Arabinoxylan Covalently Linked to an Arabinogalactan Protein. *Plant Cell*. 2013;25(1):270.
6. Himmel ME, et al. Biomass Recalcitrance: Engineering Plants and Enzymes for Biofuels Production. *Science*. 2007;315(5813):804–7.
7. Somerville C, et al. Feedstocks for Lignocellulosic Biofuels. 2010;329(5993):790–2.
8. Caffall KH, Mohnen D. The structure, function, and biosynthesis of plant cell wall pectic polysaccharides. *Carbohydr Res*. 2009;344(14):1879–900.
9. Šola K, et al. RUBY, a Putative Galactose Oxidase, Influences Pectin Properties and Promotes Cell-To-Cell Adhesion in the Seed Coat Epidermis of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*. 2019;31(4):809.
10. Yang H, et al. Rhamnogalacturonan-I is a determinant of cell-cell adhesion in poplar wood. *Plant Biotechnol J*. 2020;18(4):1027–40.
11. Mazzoli R, Olson DG. Chapter Three - *Clostridium thermocellum*: A microbial platform for high-value chemical production from lignocellulose, *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, G.M. Gadd and S. Sariaslani, Editors. 2020, Academic Press. pp. 111–61.
12. Aburaya S, et al. Elucidation of the recognition mechanisms for hemicellulose and pectin in *Clostridium cellulovorans* using intracellular quantitative proteome analysis. *AMB Express*. 2015;5(1):29.

13. Spinnler HE, Lavigne B, Blachere H. Pectinolytic activity of *Clostridium thermocellum*: Its use for anaerobic fermentation of sugar beet pulp. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 1986;23(6):434–7.
14. Holwerda EK, et al. Multiple levers for overcoming the recalcitrance of lignocellulosic biomass. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2019;12(1):15.
15. Biswal AK, et al. Comparison of four glycosyl residue composition methods for effectiveness in detecting sugars from cell walls of dicot and grass tissues. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2017;10:182.
16. Akinosho H, et al. Lignin Exhibits Recalcitrance-Associated Features Following the Consolidated Bioprocessing of *Populus trichocarpa* Natural Variants. *ChemistrySelect*. 2017;2(33):10642–7.
17. Dumitrache A, et al. Consolidated bioprocessing of *Populus* using *Clostridium* (*Ruminiclostridium*) *thermocellum*: a case study on the impact of lignin composition and structure. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2016;9(1):31.
18. Akinosho HO, et al. Elucidating the Structural Changes to *Populus* Lignin during Consolidated Bioprocessing with *Clostridium thermocellum*. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry Engineering*. 2017;5(9):7486–91.
19. Persson S, et al. The *Arabidopsis* irregular xylem8 Mutant Is Deficient in Glucuronoxylan and Homogalacturonan, Which Are Essential for Secondary Cell Wall Integrity. *Plant Cell*. 2007;19(1):237.
20. Saeman JF, Bubl JL, Harris EE. Quantitative Saccharification of Wood and Cellulose. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, 1945. 17(1): 35–7.
21. Dumitrache A, et al. Cellulose and lignin colocalization at the plant cell wall surface limits microbial hydrolysis of *Populus* biomass. *Green Chem*. 2017;19(9):2275–85.
22. Sannigrahi P, Ragauskas AJ, Tuskan GA. Poplar as a feedstock for biofuels: A review of compositional characteristics. *Biofuels Bioprod Biorefin*. 2010;4(2):209–26.
23. Black I, Heiss C, Azadi P. Comprehensive Monosaccharide Composition Analysis of Insoluble Polysaccharides by Permethylation To Produce Methyl Alditol Derivatives for Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. *Anal Chem*. 2019;91(21):13787–93.
24. Raman B, et al. Impact of Pretreated Switchgrass and Biomass Carbohydrates on *Clostridium thermocellum* ATCC 27405 Cellulosome Composition: A Quantitative Proteomic Analysis. *PLOS ONE*. 2009;4(4):e5271.
25. Leis B, et al. Comparative characterization of all cellulosomal cellulases from *Clostridium thermocellum* reveals high diversity in endoglucanase product formation essential for complex activity. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2017;10(1):240.
26. Bayer EA, et al. From cellulosomes to cellulosomics. *The Chemical Record*. 2008;8(6):364–77.
27. Xu Q, et al. Dramatic performance of *Clostridium thermocellum* explained by its wide range of cellulase modalities. *Science Advances*. 2016;2(2):e1501254.
28. Ellis LD, et al. Closing the carbon balance for fermentation by *Clostridium thermocellum* (ATCC 27405). *Biores Technol*. 2012;103(1):293–9.

29. Holwerda EK, et al. The exometabolome of *Clostridium thermocellum* reveals overflow metabolism at high cellulose loading. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2014;7(1):155.
30. Shao X, Murphy SJ, Lynd LR. Characterization of reduced carbohydrate solubilization during *Clostridium thermocellum* fermentation with high switchgrass concentrations. *Biomass Bioenerg*. 2020;139:105623.
31. Verbeke TJ, Garcia GM, Elkins JG. The effect of switchgrass loadings on feedstock solubilization and biofuel production by *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2017;10(1):233.
32. Kothari N, et al. Biomass augmentation through thermochemical pretreatments greatly enhances digestion of switchgrass by *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2018;11(1):219.
33. Zverlov VV, Kellermann J, Schwarz WH. Functional subgenomics of *Clostridium thermocellum* cellulosomal genes: identification of the major catalytic components in the extracellular complex and detection of three new enzymes. *Proteomics*. 2005;5(14):3646–53.
34. Willför S, et al. Polysaccharides in some industrially important hardwood species. *Wood Sci Technol*. 2005;39(8):601–17.
35. Hayashi H, et al. Sequence of xynC and properties of XynC, a major component of the *Clostridium thermocellum* cellulosome. *J Bacteriol*. 1997;179(13):4246–53.
36. Hayashi H, et al. Nucleotide sequences of two contiguous and highly homologous xylanase genes xynA and xynB and characterization of XynA from *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 1999;51(3):348–57.
37. Zverlov VV, et al., *Two new major subunits in the cellulosome of Clostridium thermocellum: xyloglucanase Xgh74A and endoxylanase Xyn10D*. 2005. 151(10): p. 3395–3401.
38. Heinze S, et al. Identification of endoxylanase XynE from *Clostridium thermocellum* as the first xylanase of glycoside hydrolase family GH141. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):11178.
39. Grépinet O, Chebrou MC, Béguin P. Purification of *Clostridium thermocellum* xylanase Z expressed in *Escherichia coli* and identification of the corresponding product in the culture medium of *C. thermocellum*. *J Bacteriol*. 1988;170(10):4576–81.
40. Blum DL, et al. Feruloyl Esterase Activity of the *Clostridium thermocellum* Cellulosome Can Be Attributed to Previously Unknown Domains of XynY and XynZ. *J Bacteriol*. 2000;182(5):1346.
41. Halstead JR, et al., *A family 26 mannanase produced by Clostridium thermocellum as a component of the cellulosome contains a domain which is conserved in mannanases from anaerobic fungi*The GenBank/EMBL accession number for the sequence reported in this paper is AJ242666. 1999. 145(11): p. 3101–3108.
42. Kurokawa J, et al., *Sequence of the Clostridium thermocellum Mannanase Gene man26B and Characterization of the Translated Product*. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 2001. 65(3): p. 548-554.
43. Luo Z, et al. Cloning of LicB from *Clostridium thermocellum* and its efficient secretive expression of thermostable β -1,3-1,4-glucanase. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2014;173(2):562–70.

44. Zverlov VV, Fuchs K-P, Schwarz WH. Chi18A, the endochitinase in the cellulosome of the thermophilic, cellulolytic bacterium *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2002;68(6):3176–9.
45. Boraston AB, et al. Carbohydrate-binding modules: fine-tuning polysaccharide recognition. *Biochem J*. 2004;382(Pt 3):769–81.
46. Beri D, et al., *Development of a thermophilic coculture for corn fiber conversion to ethanol*. *Nature Communications*, 2020. **11**(1): p. 1937.
47. Lynd LR, et al. Microbial Cellulose Utilization: Fundamentals and Biotechnology. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 2002;66(3):506.
48. Lynd LR, et al., *Advances in Consolidated Bioprocessing Using Clostridium thermocellum and Thermoanaerobacter saccharolyticum*, in *Industrial Biotechnology*. 2017. p. 365-394.
49. Cheng F, et al. Novel xylanase from a holstein cattle rumen metagenomic library and its application in xylooligosaccharide and ferulic Acid production from wheat straw. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2012;60(51):12516–24.
50. Kumar R, Wyman CE. Effect of xylanase supplementation of cellulase on digestion of corn stover solids prepared by leading pretreatment technologies. *Bioresour Technol*. 2009;100(18):4203–13.
51. Qing Q, Yang B, Wyman CE. Xylooligomers are strong inhibitors of cellulose hydrolysis by enzymes. *Bioresour Technol*. 2010;101(24):9624–30.
52. Zhang J, Tang M, Viikari L. Xylans inhibit enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials by cellulases. *Bioresour Technol*. 2012;121:8–12.
53. Chakraborty S, et al. Role of pectinolytic enzymes identified in *Clostridium thermocellum* cellulosome. *PloS one*. 2015;10(2):e0116787–7.
54. Dhillon A, et al. A New Member of Family 11 Polysaccharide Lyase, Rhamnogalacturonan Lyase (CtRGLf) from *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Mol Biotechnol*. 2016;58(4):232–40.
55. Dhillon A, et al. The multi-ligand binding first family 35 Carbohydrate Binding Module (CBM35) of *Clostridium thermocellum* targets rhamnogalacturonan I. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2018;654:194–208.
56. Biswal AK, et al. Working towards recalcitrance mechanisms: increased xylan and homogalacturonan production by overexpression of GALactURonosylTransferase12 (GAUT12) causes increased recalcitrance and decreased growth in *Populus*. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2018;11:9.
57. Biswal AK, et al. Downregulation of GAUT12 in *Populus deltoides* by RNA silencing results in reduced recalcitrance, increased growth and reduced xylan and pectin in a woody biofuel feedstock. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2015;8(1):41.
58. Biswal AK, et al. Aspen pectate lyase PtxtPL1-27 mobilizes matrix polysaccharides from woody tissues and improves saccharification yield. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2014;7(1):11.
59. Chung D, et al. Deletion of a gene cluster encoding pectin degrading enzymes in *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* reveals an important role for pectin in plant biomass recalcitrance. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2014;7(1):147.

60. Holwerda EK, Hirst KD, Lynd LR. A defined growth medium with very low background carbon for culturing *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology*. 2012;39(6):943–7.
61. York WS, et al. Isolation and characterization of plant cell walls and cell wall components. In: *Methods in Enzymology*. Academic Press; 1986. pp. 3–40.
62. Hao Z, et al. Loss of *Arabidopsis* GAUT12/IRX8 causes anther indehiscence and leads to reduced G lignin associated with altered matrix polysaccharide deposition. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2014;5:357–7.

Tables

Table 1: Mass of lignin, cellulosic and non-cellulosic sugars in starting biomass and residual solids during CBP by *C. thermocellum*. All data in mg per fermentation reaction, rounded to nearest integer. Zero and negative values are in bold. Gross - total solubilization of residual pellet in CPB fermenter. Net - solubilization of CPB fermenter residual pellet minus fermentation control residual pellet. SH, Saeman hydrolysis; MA, Methylated alditol.

Type of Sugar	Starting Biomass (mg)	Final Solid Residue (mg)		Amount Solubilized by <i>C. thermocellum</i> CBP (mg)		Percentage (%) solubilization by <i>C. thermocellum</i> CBP	
		Control	CBP	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Cellulosic Sugar							
Glc (SH)	2307	2191	1722	585	469	25	21
Glc (MA)	2528	2394	1789	739	605	29	25
Non-cellulosic sugar							
Ara	54	49	42	12	7	23	15
Rha	25	20	25	0	-5	0	-27
Fuc	5	4	3	2	1	32	15
Xyl	1249	1160	995	253	165	20	14
GlcA	13	12	12	1	0	11	3
GalA	107	83	24	82	58	77	71
Man	65	61	39	26	22	40	36
Gal	53	49	42	11	7	21	13
Glc	158	148	114	44	34	28	23
Total	1729	1584	1296	433	288	25	18
Lignin							
S lignin	733	525	653	80	-128	11	-24
G lignin	321	267	298	23	-31	7	-12
H lignin	13	13	14	-1	-1	-8	-8
Total lignin	1323	1017	1281	42	-264	3.2	-26

Figures




Figure 1

Total solids solubilization of CBI reference poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum* DSM1313 over 5 d at 60°C. Poplar biomass was loaded at 5.68 grams per 500 mL reaction volume at the start of fermentation to achieve a 5.0 g/L glucan loading at 0.5 L bioreactor scale. Uninoculated controls were included to account for any non-biological solubilization. The percentage of total biomass solubilization was calculated from the data presented in Additional File 2: Fig. S2. Data are average of two technical replicates from each of two biological replicates (i.e. two separate fermentations) ± standard deviation, n = 4. Statistical analysis was by one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's least significant difference method; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.




Figure 2

Total dry mass recovered from the fermentation liquor after solubilization of milled un-pretreated CBI reference poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum* DSM1313 over 5 d at 60°C. Dry mass recovered from uninoculated controls was included to account for any non-biological solubilization. Liquor dry mass was collected post fermentation as described in Additional File 1: Fig. S1. Data are average from two technical replicates of each of two biological replicates (i.e. two fermentations) \pm standard deviation (SD), $n = 4$.

Statistical analysis was with one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's least significant difference method; *P < 0.05.




Figure 3

Glycosyl residue composition of post fermentation liquor as described in Fig. 2 by trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatization and GC-MS. The amount of sugar is represented as average mg from 5.68 g starting materials. Mean \pm SD of two biological (i.e. two fermentations) and two technical replicates (n = 4). P values are * P \leq 0.05, ** P \leq 0.001 at significant level (one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's least significant difference method).





Figure 4

Lignin content and S/G ratio of solid residue recovered after *C. thermocellum* CBP bioconversion. (A) Total lignin, (B) S lignin, (C) G lignin, (D) H lignin content, and (E) S/G ratio and (F) C5:C6 ratio of solid residue recovered from poplar biomass after CBP bioconversion with *C. thermocellum* over 120 h compared to fermentation controls. Total lignin, S, G and H lignin contents are presented as mg per

starting biomass. Statistical analysis was by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher's method. Mean \pm SD, Significant P values are expressed as *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

A Cellulose content - Saeman hydrolysis



B Cellulose content - MA method




Figure 5

Cellulose content of solid residues recovered during *C. thermocellum* fermentation and in fermentation controls. Cellulose content of alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) (i.e. cell walls) of solid residue recovered after consolidated bioprocessing and in fermentation controls by (A) Saeman hydrolysis [19, 20] and (B)

Methylated Alditol method [23]. See methods for details. The amounts of sugar are represented as average mg of glucose per starting biomass (5.68 g). Mean \pm SD of 2 biological and three technical replicates (n = 6). P value is ** P \leq 0.01 at significant level.





Figure 6

Glycosyl residue composition by trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatization and GC-MS of AIR (alcohol insoluble residues) from solid residues recovered after fermentation of CBI reference poplar biomass by *C. thermocellum*. The amounts of sugar are represented as average mg of 5.68 g starting biomass. Mean \pm SD of two biological and two technical replicates (n = 4). Stars indicate values that are different at * P \leq 0.05, ** P \leq 0.001 significant level.



Total mass and solubilization of lignin, cellulose, and non-cellulosic sugars from solid residue after CBP fermentation of 5.68 g starting milled poplar biomass. (A) Mass (mg/starting material) of total lignin, cellulose, and non-cellulosic sugars remaining in the solid residue. These data are from Fig. 4, Fig. 5, and Fig. 6. (B) Mass (mg/starting material) of total non-cellulosic sugars (Xyl, Glc, Man, Ara, Gal, Rha, Fuc, GalA, GlcA) remaining in the solid residues at the times indicated. The mass of total monosaccharide is presented as a stacked bar from data from Fig. 6. (C) Mass (left) and percentage (right) of non-cellulosic sugars, cellulose and lignin solubilized by *C. thermocellum*. All solubilization calculations were done based on the starting biomass. Negative solubilization indicates that this component accumulates in the solids. Detailed calculations are as follows:

Mass of polymer solubilized during control fermentation (without microbe) = A

A = mass (mg) of sugar polymer in starting biomass before CBP minus the mass of solids in 24 h, 48 h, and 120 h fermentation control.

Mass of polymer solubilized during the CBP process (i.e. solubilized by the process conditions plus solubilization by *C. thermocellum*) = B

B = mass (mg) of polymer in starting biomass before CBP minus the mass of solids in 24 h, 48 h, and 120 h CBP fermentations)

Mass of polymer solubilized by *C. thermocellum* = B-A.

Percentage % of polymer solubilization by ?? = $\frac{B-A}{\text{Starting Biomass}} \times 100$

(D) Mass and percentage of non-cellulosic sugars solubilized by *C. thermocellum*. Both mass and percentage of solubilization are calculated as described in Table 1. 24h: Glc (13%), Gal (4%), Man (12%), GalA (50%), GlcA (3%), Xyl (7%), Fuc (10%), Rha (-12%), Ara (-2%). 48 h: Glc (17%), Gal (9%), Man (21%), GalA (54%), GlcA (4%), Xyl (9%), Fuc (0%), Rha (-16%), Ara (2%). 120 h: Glc (21%), Gal (12%), Man (34%), GalA (55%), GlcA (3%), Xyl (13%), Fuc (1.2%), Rha (-21%), Ara (13%). Mass values are given in Table 1.

Figure 7

See image above for figure legend

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigureS1.tif](#)
- [FigureS2.tif](#)
- [FigureS3.tif](#)
- [FigureS4.tif](#)
- [FigureS5.tif](#)
- [FigureS6.tif](#)
- [FigureS7.tif](#)